STATE OF MICHIGAN

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF KALAMAZOO

SABRINA PRITCHETT-EVANS and KIMBERLY HARRIS,

pursuant to Notice.

Plaintiffs,

vs

Case No. 2023-0169-CZ Hon. Curtis J. Bell

REPUBLICAN PARTY OF KALAMAZOO
COUNTY, STATE OF MICHIGAN (KGOP);
KALAMAZOO GRAND OLD PARTY EXECUTIVE
COMMITTEE (KGOPEC); and (AKA)
KALAMAZOO COUNTY REPUBLICAN
COMMITTEE (KGOPEC), and SALLY SACKETT,

Defendant.

VIDEOCONFERENCE DEPOSITION OF JOEL STUDEBAKER, taken on Wednesday, July 19, 2023, at 10:00 a.m.,

Reported remotely by DEBORAH LINEHAN, CER 7251

Fortz Legal Support www.FortzLegal.com 844.730.4066



1	STATE OF MICHIGAN
2	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF KALAMAZOO
3	
4	SABRINA PRITCHETT-EVANS and KIMBERLY HARRIS,
5	Plaintiffs,
6	Case No. 2023-0169-CZ
7	vs Hon. Curtis J. Bell
8 9 10	REPUBLICAN PARTY OF KALAMAZOO COUNTY, STATE OF MICHIGAN (KGOP); KALAMAZOO GRAND OLD PARTY EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE (KGOPEC); and (AKA) KALAMAZOO COUNTY REPUBLICAN COMMITTEE (KGOPEC), and SALLY SACKETT,
	Defendant.
12	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
13	
14	
15	VIDEOCONFERENCE DEPOSITION OF JOEL STUDEBAKER,
16	taken on Wednesday, July 19, 2023, at 10:00 a.m.,
17	pursuant to Notice.
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	Reported remotely by DEBORAH LINEHAN, CER 7251

Γ

```
1
              APPEARANCES
 2
     Appearing for Plaintiffs:
     JAMES A. THOMAS P80931
     JAMES A. THOMAS LAW OFFICES
 3
     1925 Breton Road
 4
     Suite 250
     Grand Rapids, Michigan 49506
 5
     Telephone: 616.747.1188
     jimmy@jimmythomaslaw.com
 6
 7
 8
     Appearing for Defendant Sackett and attorney for
 9
     Defendants KGOP and KGOPEC per limited appearance:
     MATTHEW S. DEPERNO P52622
10
     DEPERNO LAW OFFICE, PLLC
     951 West Milham Avenue
11
     PO Box 1595
     Portage, Michigan 49081
12
     Telephone: 269.321.5064
     matthew@depernolaw.com
13
14
15
     ALSO PRESENT: Kim Harris, Charley Coss,
16
     Kelly Sackett, Sabrina Pritchett-Evans.
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	WITNESS INDEX
2	Witness Page
3	JOEL STUDEBAKER
4	Examination by Mr. DePerno6
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	EXHIBIT INDEX
13	(Exhibits retained by Mr. DePerno)
14	(Reporter was remote and did not receive or mark exhibits)
15	
16	Exhibit Description Page
17	None marked.
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 Wednesday, July 19, 2023 2 Approximately 10:31 a.m. 3 4 This deposition is being held via videoconferencing. The witness and reporter are not in 5 the same room. The witness is being sworn remotely 6 7 pursuant to agreement of all parties. All parties stipulate that the oath has the same force and effect 8 9 as if the witness was sworn in person. ** ** ** 10 11 JOEL STUDEBAKER 12 was thereupon called as a witness herein, and after 13 having been first duly sworn to tell the truth, the 14 whole truth, and nothing but the truth, was examined 15 and testified as follows: 16 MR. DEPERNO: Can you please state your full 17 name and spell your name? 18 THE WITNESS: Joel Studebaker. J-O-E-L, 19 S-T-U-D-E-B-A-K-E-R. 20 MR. DEPERNO: Have you ever had your 21 deposition taken before? 22 THE WITNESS: No. 23 MR. DEPERNO: So I'll go over some basic rules with you. The court reporter's here. 24 She'll be taking down what we say for the record. I'll be asking 25

1	questions. Please wait until I finish asking the
2	question before you answer so that we don't talk over
3	each other so we can get a clean record.
4	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.
5	MR. DEPERNO: Does that makes sense?
6	Does that sound good to you?
7	All right. Do you have any conditions that
8	would affect your memory?
9	THE WITNESS: No.
10	MR. DEPERNO: Do you have any conditions that
11	would affect your ability to answer questions today
12	completely and truthfully?
13	THE WITNESS: No.
14	MR. DEPERNO: Are you taking any medication
15	that would affect your memory?
16	THE WITNESS: No.
17	MR. DEPERNO: Are you taking any medication
18	that would affect your ability to answer questions
19	today completely and truthfully?
20	THE WITNESS: No.
21	MR. DEPERNO: Do you have any other reason to
22	believe or are there any other things that you believe
23	are affecting your memory today or your ability to
24	answer completely and truthfully today?
25	THE WITNESS: No.

1		** ** ** **
2		EXAMINATION
3	BY M	R. DEPERNO:
4	Q	What is your date and place of birth?
5	A	Fort Recovery, Ohio. July 3, 1980.
6	Q	And your home address?
7	A	200 24th Avenue, Hudsonville, 49426.
8	Q	How long have you lived at that address?
9	A	Five years.
10	Q	And where did you live before that address?
11	A	Byron Center.
12	Q	How long have you lived in Michigan?
13	A	Since 1998. So 25 years.
14	Q	Have you ever been convicted of fraud or any other
15		crime or misdemeanor or felony?
16	A	No.
17	Q	Have you ever been investigated for fraud or any other
18		crime, misdemeanor, or felony?
19	A	No.
20	Q	Have you ever been involved in any civil litigation?
21	A	I don't think so.
22	Q	So have you you've never been a plaintiff or a
23		defendant in a lawsuit?
24	A	I guess, technically, no.
25	Q	What do you mean technically? Is there some

- 1 A We had an Ottawa issue last fall, and apparently I was
- 2 not a plaintiff or a defendant even though -- I don't
- 3 know, but you can explain it.
- 4 Q Okay.
- 5 A It was a mess.
- 6 | Q So in the Ottawa County -- I think you're referring to
- 7 a lawsuit that was filed in Ottawa County regarding the
- 8 Ottawa County Republican Party?
- 9 A Correct.
- 10 | Q You were not --
- 11 A I was not listed.
- 12 0 -- who filed that lawsuit?
- 13 A Three delegates.
- 14 | Q And did they list as a defendant the Ottawa County
- 15 | Republican Party?
- 16 | A Ottawa County Republican Party Executive Committee.
- 17 | Q Understood. And you were a part of the executive
- 18 | committee?
- 19 A I was a part of the new executive committee, and we
- 20 were left out of the case effectively.
- 21 | Q And other than that case, you haven't been involved in
- 22 any other civil litigation?
- 23 A Correct.
- 24 | Q Where are you currently employed?
- 25 A I have my own business.

- 1 | Q And what is your business?
- 2 A I'm not willing to say because I don't want it used 3 against me.
- 4 Q Well, you have to answer the question.
- 5 A I don't want to answer the question. You can look it 6 up on LARA. I mean, it's listed so...
- Well, if it's listed, then you should have no problem telling us because --
- 9 A It's a digital marketing company.
- 10 | Q And what's the name of it?
- 11 A Is there any legal recourse? I don't want -- this
 12 can't be used against me in any way, shape, or form.
- 13 Q I don't know how it would be used against you, but
 14 we're certainly able to explore your experience, and
 15 what you do for a living. I mean, if you can explain
 16 what your concern is, I could try to help alleviate
 17 your concern.
- 18 A Yeah. That you and others would try to use it to
 19 damage my business, and go to people that would cause
 20 damage to my business.
- Q Well, I can assure you I have no interest in damaging
 your business. I'm just trying to understand what your
 work experience is and --
- 24 | A It's a digital marketing company.
- 25 | Q -- but, otherwise, you're not willing to tell me the

- 1 name of it?
- 2 A I need some sort of legal guarantee on that.
- 3 | Q So you're saying we can just look up your business on
- 4 LARA?
- 5 A Or whatever the LLC thing is, you could look it up.
- 6 Q And when you say digital marketing, what type of
- 7 digital marketing do you do?
- 8 A It's all online and indoor -- it's called an indoor
- 9 builder network.
- 10 Q Does that mean that you -- your clients hire you to
- 11 place ads on the internet; is that what it is?
- 12 | A No.
- 13 | Q Can you explain to me what you --
- 14 A On TVs and businesses, local businesses. All local --
- 15 local advertising.
- 16 | Q How many employees does your business have?
- 17 A Zero other than myself.
- 18 | Q Are there any other owners?
- 19 A No.
- 20 | Q Do you have a high school diploma?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 | Q Where'd you graduate high school?
- 23 A Genesee.
- 24 | Q Do you have a college degree?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 Q From where?
- 2 A Cornerstone.
- 3 | Q When did you graduate college?
- 4 A 2002.
- 5 Q And what type of degree do you have?
- 6 A BS in accounting.
- 7 | Q What years did you attend?
- 8 A '99 to '02.
- 9 Q Other than your driver's license, do you have any other
- 10 | licenses?
- 11 A No.
- 12 | Q Do you have a CPL?
- 13 A No.
- 14 | Q Do you have any certifications?
- 15 A What do you mean, professional or what?
- 16 | Q Yeah, professional certifications.
- 17 A No professional certifications.
- 18 | O I'll give you Exhibits 1 and 2. So Exhibit 1 is titled
- 19 Amended Notice of Deposition. Have you seen that
- 20 document?
- 21 | A Yep.
- 22 | Q Is this how you knew that you were required to be here
- 23 today?
- 24 A Yeah.
- 25 | Q And you understand today that you're here as part of a

- 1 | lawsuit, correct?
- 2 A Correct.
- 3 Q The plaintiffs in this case are Sabrina Pritchett-Evans
- 4 and Kimberly Harris, correct?
- 5 A Yep.
- 6 Q And the defendants are the Republican Party of
- 7 Kalamazoo County, State of Michigan; the Kalamazoo
- 8 Grand Old Party Executive Committee; and the Kalamazoo
- 9 County Republican Committee and Kelly Sackett, correct?
- 10 A Sure.
- 11 Q What did you do today to prepare for your deposition?
- 12 | A Effectively nothing.
- 13 | Q Did you talk to anybody?
- 14 A No.
- 15 | O Exhibit 2 --
- 16 A To be clear, nothing beyond a little chitchat. I don't
- 17 know what you're looking for but...
- 18 | Q Well, I mean, the question is, did you talk to anybody
- about your deposition? So you're saying there was
- 20 chitchat then who did you talk to?
- 21 | A Well, me and Jimmy had a short conversation out in the
- 22 hallway. Effectively tell the truth and I'm not
- coaching you. I mean, because I need to be able to
- 24 speak the truth and carry the integrity that I always
- 25 do. That would be it.

- 1 Q Did you bring any documents with you today?
- 2 A No.
- 3 | Q I see some papers in front of you.
- 4 A This is my papers.
- 5 Q Okay. Exhibit 2 is a subpoena that was served on you.
- 6 Do you recall that?
- 7 A Yep.
- 8 | Q And as of today you don't have any documents that you
- 9 would produce pursuant to the subpoena?
- 10 A Correct.
- 11 | Q You mentioned earlier that you had some involvement
- 12 | with the Ottawa County Republican Party. Can you
- 13 describe when that involvement started?
- 14 | A I was elected a precinct delegate in August of last
- 15 | year.
- 16 | Q That's 2022, correct?
- 17 A Correct.
- 18 | Q Prior to August of 2022, have you ever been a precinct
- 19 | delegate?
- 20 A No.
- 21 | Q Prior to August of 2022, had you been a member of the
- 22 Ottawa County Republican Party?
- 23 A No.
- 24 | Q Have you been a member of any county Republican party?
- 25 A No.

- 1 Q Have you been a member of any other political
- 2 organization?
- 3 A No.
- 4 | Q After August 2022, did you -- were you elected as the
- 5 county chair for Ottawa County Republican Party?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 | Q When was that election?
- 8 A December 15 and January 16. It happened twice.
- 9 Q Why did it happen twice?
- 10 A There was a lawsuit that I referred to earlier. That
- 11 the result of which forced us to redo our convention to
- 12 elect our executive committee, and our election of our
- 13 officers.
- 14 Q So the first election or county convention was
- December 15, 2022, correct?
- 16 A The convention was December 1, and then according to
- 17 MCL 168.599 the election was December 15.
- 18 | Q Do you know the names of the people who filed the
- 19 | lawsuit?
- 20 A Not off the top of my head. It's been a while. A lot
- 21 has happened.
- 22 | Q But you're saying they were delegates?
- 23 A There were three delegates.
- 24 | 0 Why did they file a lawsuit?
- 25 A They didn't like how the convention was -- how it was

- 1 run or how it occurred.
- 2 | Q Can you be more specific in telling me what
- 3 specifically they didn't like?
- 4 A I don't know. They just didn't like it.
- 5 Q Did the Ottawa County Republican Party hire an
- 6 attorney?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q Who was the attorney?
- 9 A Well, wait a minute. The Ottawa County Republican
- 10 | Party, no.
- 11 | Q The Ottawa County Republican Executive Committee?
- 12 | A Which one, old or new?
- 13 | Q Well, the old one. I guess the one -- well --
- 14 A The old one hired an attorney and so did we.
- 15 | O Okay. So the -- was the old executive committee a
- 16 plaintiff or a defendant?
- 17 A Defendant.
- 18 | Q And what about the new executive committee, were they
- 19 also a defendant?
- 20 A We still don't know. It was a messed up case, and we
- 21 don't know.
- 22 | Q So in terms of the polls --
- 23 A I can tell you what the judge ruled.
- 24 | Q -- okay. Let's -- let's -- Yeah, that's a good idea.
- 25 A The ruling would have indicated that the old executive

1 committee was the defendant. So who did the old executive committee hire as their 2 3 attorney? 4 I can't remember his name. 5 Who did the new executive committee hire as an Q 6 attorney? 7 Jimmy. James Thomas. Α 8 Was there -- what was the ultimate decision made by the Q 9 Court? They ruled that the -- what's it called? --10 Α 11 MR. THOMAS: Consent judgment. 12 THE WITNESS: -- consent judgment, thank you. 13 They ruled the consent judgment stood, and so we had to 14 redo our convention to elect our executive committee. 15 BY MR. DEPERNO: 16 So if I understand this correctly, three delegates sue the old executive committee, and then the parties to 17 18 the case enter into a consent judgment --19 Uh-huh. Α -- stating that the convention has to be redone? 20 21 Correct. Α 22 MR. THOMAS: Just so you know, Denhollander was also a defendant in that case. 23 24 MR. DEPERNO: Okay. 25 MR. THOMAS: It was the OCREC and

1 Denhollander. THE WITNESS: He was not listed. 2 3 MR. THOMAS: He was listed and he consented 4 to the judgment. BY MR. DEPERNO: 5 Well, that doesn't sound very fair to the new executive 6 0 7 committee, does it? 8 We would agree. Α 9 So you then redo the convention in January on Q 10 January 16th? 11 Α Correct. 12 And what was -- was there a different result from January 16th compared to December 1st? 13 14 So we have 24 delegate members, and 23 were the same Α 15 and one changed. 16 And then you were elected a second time as the county 0 chair? 17 18 Yep, that same evening. Α Yep. Have you ever been the chair of the district committee? 19 20 No. Α 21 Do you have any training in parliamentary procedure or Robert's Rules of Order? 22 23 Α Yes. 24 What training do you have? 0 25 Robert's Rules boot camp, two sessions, four hours Α

- each, and personal study.
- 2 Q Who was the instructor for the boot camp?
- 3 A J.D. Glaser.
- 4 | Q After your boot camp are you issued any type of
- 5 certification?
- 6 A No.
- 7 Q Does J.D. Glaser have any type of certification?
- 8 A Not to my knowledge.
- 9 Q Have you ever been a parliamentarian at any meeting?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 | Q When?
- 12 A The initial District 4 committee meeting.
- 13 0 When was that?
- 14 A Late February, early March. I don't remember the exact
- 15 date.
- 16 | Q Any other meetings where you were a parliamentarian?
- 17 | A No.
- 18 | Q Do you have any role with the Michigan Republican Party
- 19 or the MIGOP?
- 20 | A Yes.
- 21 | Q What is your role?
- 22 A Chief of staff.
- 23 | Q What are your duties as the chief of staff?
- 24 A I oversee the business side of the party: HR, IT,
- 25 things like that, accounting.

1 On the HR side, how many employees does the MIGOP have? Q 2 There are three on payroll. Α 3 Who are they? Q 4 MR. THOMAS: I'm going to object. This has 5 got nothing to do with our case. MR. DEPERNO: Of course it does. 6 7 MR. THOMAS: No, it's got nothing to do with 8 it. You're way outside the boundaries again. 9 MR. DEPERNO: Of course it does. I can 10 explore his qualifications. MR. THOMAS: You're asking about names of 11 12 employees of my guy. We're not going there. Sorry. Move on. I'm objecting. You can bring it to the 13 14 judge. 15 I'm going to be objecting a lot about that 16 today. Every time you get out of bounds I'm going to 17 object now. 18 MR. DEPERNO: Well, so you're instructing the 19 witness to --MR. THOMAS: I'm not instructing the witness 20 21 anything. I'm telling you you're out of bounds. 22 You're not in the parameters that the Court ordered so 23 we're not going to go there. You can bring it up to the judge. File your motion and do whatever you've got 24 25 to do. We're not going to go into employees of my guy.

1 It's got nothing to do with this case. MR. DEPERNO: Objection noted for the record, 2 3 and I'll ask that the witness again. 4 BY MR. DEPERNO: Who are the three employees that --5 (Indiscernible crosstalk.) 6 7 MR. THOMAS: Objection. 8 BY MR. DEPERNO: 9 0 -- oversees? MR. THOMAS: He's not going to answer it. 10 doesn't have to answer it. You're outside the 11 12 parameters. 13 If you could not speak over MR. DEPERNO: each other, that'd be great for the court reporter. 14 15 BY MR. DEPERNO: 16 So you're refusing to answer about the employees you 17 oversee? 18 MR. THOMAS: Objection. He's not refusing to 19 I'm telling him not to answer because you are out of bounds. This is not a fishing expedition. 20 21 judge specifically told you we're not doing a fishing 22 expedition. 23 BY MR. DEPERNO: 24 Okay. What is your role as the IT director from --25 I'm not the IT director. Α

- 1 -- well, you said you oversee IT? Q That doesn't make me the IT director. 2 3 So what do you -- what's your job if you oversee IT? Q 4 What do you do? 5 To manage whoever would handle IT. Α Who handles IT? 6 0 7 Actually, right now nobody. We just internal make it Α 8 happen. We're in between either a vendor or employee. 9 We don't have anybody right now. That's a problem, isn't it? 10 Q 11 MR. THOMAS: Objection. It's got nothing to 12 do with this case. Matt, you haven't conceded your seat yet over there. You're trying to fish for 13 14 information. I'm objecting. You can bring it to the 15 judge, and explain to him why you need to know the 16 answers to those questions. MR. DEPERNO: Okay. Jimmy, it's not about 17
- 18 me.
 - MR. THOMAS: It is about you.
 - MR. DEPERNO: No.
 - MR. THOMAS: You're a defendant in this case, you're a witness in this case, and you have not conceded your loss for the MIGOP chair so move on. can -- you can make a record, and you can bring it back to the judge.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. DEPERNO: It's not about me, but certainly I'm able to inquire about the witness's level of expertise, his experience, his job credentials, and what he does for the MIGOP. It's totally within bounds. And to make an objection that I can't inquire into those issues, and to instruct the witness then to not answer is absolutely interfering with our ability to take the deposition.

MR. THOMAS: If I'm wrong, then I'm wrong. I

MR. THOMAS: If I'm wrong, then I'm wrong. I think you're outside the parameters, and the judge specifically told you not to go on a fishing expedition, and you're trying to get names and information that are not relevant to this case. Now if you want to ask him about his IT experience and his job at MIGOP, that's fine. But you're asking about other people and what they're doing or what -- and you said -- that poses a problem.

So you're obviously in disagreement with what they're doing over there because you have personal ties.

MR. DEPERNO: I don't care about what --

MR. THOMAS: Matt, I'm not going to argue

with you.

MR. DEPERNO: -- you are arguing. You're spending your entire time arguing with me when I'm

```
1
          simply trying to ask a question about who handles the
 2
          IT because it's relevant to the issue.
 3
                    MR. THOMAS: How is that relevant? Put on
 4
          the record why it's relevant to the issue.
     BY MR. DEPERNO:
 5
          Mr. Studebaker --
 6
     0
 7
                    MR. THOMAS: Please put on the record why
 8
          it's relevant.
 9
                    MR. DEPERNO: -- stop yelling, Jimmy.
10
                    MR. THOMAS: No, I'm not yelling.
     BY MR. DEPERNO:
11
12
          Okay. Mr. Studebaker, so if you manage whoever handles
     0
          IT, what are your qualifications for IT?
13
14
          I was a finance director in a corporation, and I handle
     Α
15
          HR, IT, marketing, accounting, all sorts of functions
16
          of the business. Everything I'm doing now I've done
          before.
17
18
          And what business did you do with before?
     0
19
          Pregis Films.
     Α
20
          Pregis?
     Q
21
          Correct?
     Α
22
          How do you spell it?
     Q
23
          P-R-E-G-I-S.
     Α
24
          How long did you work in Pregis Films?
     Q
25
     Α
          Four years.
```

- 1 Q And what do you -- when you say IT, will you please,
- for the record, describe what you mean by IT?
- 3 A All things technology.
- 4 | Q Are you paid by MIGOP?
- 5 A No.
- 6 Q You also said you oversee accounting. What do you do
- 7 in the area of accounting?
- 8 A I am the -- as chief of staff my job is to oversee the
- 9 members of the team, and what they do from a managerial
- 10 perspective. The answer is the same to all of these
- 11 | functions, it's management.
- 12 | Q So when you oversee the accounting team, how many
- people are on the accounting team?
- 14 A I've got to question relevancy here.
- 15 | Q Relevance is not an appropriate objection in a
- deposition, and certainly I'm able to inquire when you
- 17 talk about your experience and your job, how many
- 18 people do you oversee is certainly a relevant question.
- 19 Not that relevance is a proper objection.
- 20 A Why? Why is it relevant?
- 21 | Q Well, I get to know. Is it hundred people? That's
- 22 significant.
- 23 A Why is it relevant to this case?
- 24 | O It's -- it's relevant to your qualifications as Jimmy
- 25 Thomas naming you as a person with experience regarding

1 the Michigan Republican Party. And I'm, therefore, able to properly ask you questions about your role in 2 3 the party, what you do, who you oversee, what your job 4 duties are, how many people you manage. That's all certainly relevant to this deposition. 5 So how many people do you manage on the accounting 6 7 team? 8 Α One. 9 How many MIGOP state party chairs have you advised in Q 10 the past? 11 What does -- what do you mean by MIGOP state party Α 12 chair? Michigan Republican Party state party chair, chairman 13 14 or chairwoman. 15 The chair of the entire party? Α 16 Yeah. How many have you advised? 17 Zero. Α 18 How many district chairs have you advised in the past? 0 19 Α When you say past, you need to put parameters on that. 20 What are your date parameters? 21 Ever. 0 22 Α From today? 23 From now until the day you were born, how many district 24 chairs have you advised? 25 What's your definition of advise? Α

1	Q Offered advice to.
2	A I don't know, four or five.
3	Q Who are they?
4	MR. THOMAS: What's the purpose of going into
5	that? Put on the record why you need that
6	MR. DEPERNO: To understand his experience.
7	It's an absolutely perfect relevant question
8	(Indiscernible crosstalk.)
9	MR. THOMAS: In regard to what?
10	MR. DEPERNO: His experience in the
11	Republican Party. There's nothing wrong with that
12	question.
13	MR. THOMAS: Why do you need to know? If he
14	says five people, then what's the difference?
15	MR. DEPERNO: I want to know who they are.
16	MR. THOMAS: You don't need to know who they
17	are.
18	MR. DEPERNO: Of course I need to know who
19	they are.
20	MR. THOMAS: Why?
21	BY MR. DEPERNO:
22	Q Okay. Can you tell me
23	MR. THOMAS: Why do you need to know who they
24	are? I'm asking the question, Matt.
25	MR. DEPERNO: Because it's relevant to know

1 who he advised. 2 MR. THOMAS: Why? MR. DEPERNO: It's absolutely relevant to 3 understand the names of who he has advised. 4 MR. THOMAS: Why do you need to know the 5 names? 6 7 MR. DEPERNO: Dave DeShaw had no problem answering that question in his deposition, and he gave 8 9 you multiple names of multiple people he has advised in the past. No one made an objection because it is 10 11 perfectly relevant to understand who someone is giving 12 advice to. BY MR. DEPERNO: 13 14 So please answer the question. 15 Yeah, I don't see the relevance either. MR. DEPERNO: Can we go off the record now. 16 17 (Break in proceeding taken from 18 11:00 a.m. to 11:10 a.m.) 19 MR. THOMAS: I want to put this on the record 20 real quick that I do not represent Joel Studebaker. 21 I'm not his attorney, and so I'm making my objection as 22 to Mr. DePerno's question and as -- as the plaintiffs' 23 attorney, not as Mr. Studebaker's attorney. So he is free to either answer or not answer the questions that 24 25 Mr. DePerno asks. I'm not instructing him either way

to answer or not answer the questions. I'm making my objection based on the Court's prior ruling.

MR. DEPERNO: Okay. So the record will show and the record will be clear that you have actually advised the witness to not answer, but the record is what the record is. So --

MR. THOMAS: I'm not advising him not to answer. I made my objection on the record to the --

MR. DEPERNO: The record will be what the record is, Jimmy, when you previously advised the witness not to answer. So let's move on.

I tried to call the Court to see if we could get some direction from the Court. Judge Bell was not available, but a staff attorney apparently stated that we can -- we should try to do what we can in the deposition, and if required, perhaps I should file a motion for show cause and for contempt.

BY MR. DEPERNO:

So I'll ask you the question again because in my view it's certainly relevant regarding Jimmy Thomas stating in Court that you were -- that he's calling you as a witness tomorrow, and with the Court having issued an order requiring you to be a witness tomorrow it's certainly relevant for me to have an understanding in this capacity of who -- what district chairs you have

1 advised.

- 2 A So I'm going to go back and ask you again. What is the definition of advice?
- Well, as I said, giving any advice. You said you've given four or five district chairs advice. I'd like to know the name of those four or five chairs --
- 7 A What constitutes advice? Because I'm questioning
 8 whether I've actually given any of them advice because
 9 it depends on what that actually means.
- 10 Q Well, why don't we start with you giving the four or 11 five names of the people you --
- 12 A No, you need to define advice for me.
- 13 Q -- I don't have to define anything. I can ask you
 14 questions --
- 15 A Okay. Then I've given zero. No advice to anybody
 16 then. You have to define advice.
- 17 Q As I said, giving advice. Advice is a very common term. We all know what the term advice means.
- 19 A Great. So what I provided was opinions. So if
 20 opinions constitute advice, you need to define that for
 21 me.
- Q Okay. Then which four or five district chairs have you given opinions to?
- 24 A That wasn't your original question.
- 25 Q No. Let's change the question and now talk about

1 opinions. Which four or five district chairs have you 2 given opinions --3 And how is that relevant to my level of expertise? Α 4 It's absolutely relevant. It's probably a critical issue in terms of who you're giving advice to, and then 5 once you give me those names --6 I give opinions to everybody in my life that I talk to. 7 Α 8 You want me to list everybody in my life that I give 9 opinions to? I care right now about the district chairs you've given 10 Q opinions to. 11 12 So since we're on the record it's my opinion that you Α 13 are executing a fishing expedition right now to learn 14 everything you can about my -- I don't understand how 15 this is relevant to the case at hand that I have in my 16 hand right here that is about District 4 and about 17 Kalamazoo. Actually, it's entirely about Kalamazoo to 18 be exact. It's not about District 4. So how is it 19 relevant? Well, it's relevant as to your credentials. 20 Q 21 what it's relevant to. You are a person who's being 22 called to testify tomorrow, based on Jimmy Thomas's own 23 representation in the Court, that you would be called as someone who has knowledge about party history, 24 25 parliamentary procedure, and bylaws. Therefore,

understanding your ability to give opinions and advice to people is absolutely relevant, and it would be a standard question any attorney would ask any testifying witness about.

- A Right. But you're not asking what advice I've given or what my expertise is. You're asking who I've given it to, which doesn't prove anything about what my level of expertise is.
- Q Well, we're going to --

- 10 | A The question doesn't make any sense.
 - Q -- we're going to talk about what -- what advice or opinions you've given to people, but it certainly -- we're entitled to know who you've given those opinions to, and I don't understand why this is a difficult question. If I was deposing any so-called expert in any field, I'd be able to ask the question, who have you given advice or opinions to in the past. And they would not only welcome that question, they would probably provide a CV to me with a list of their credentials and who they have advised.
 - A Awesome. You know what, the way the party moves forward is not the way it's done in the past. The way that I operate is not based on how other people have done it. So with all due respect, I don't care what other people say or would be happy to say or what makes

- them -- their ability to defend their so-called
 expertise.
- 3 | Q Okay. So --
- 4 A What they do is theirs, and what I do is mine. That's that that was an irrelevant comment you just made.
- 6 Q So let's start again with -- give me the names of the 7 four or five district chairs you would give opinions 8 to.
- 9 A So I give opinions to every district chair I've ever
 10 talked to. So I'd have to think through all the
 11 district chairs that I've ever known, and just list off
 12 all their names. I'd have to fill out a list and read
 13 them all, and I don't have that all in my memory.
- 14 Q Well, you just said four or five. Let's start with the 15 four or five you must have --
- 16 A That was for advice. And now I'm questioning your
 17 definition of advice so I'm not willing to admit that
 18 I've given any advice to anybody. In terms of
 19 opinions, I've given opinions to lots of people.
- Q Okay. So what district chairs have you given opinions to?
- 22 | A Everybody I've ever talked to.
- 23 | Q Everybody?
- 24 A I have lots of opinions. I give them all the time.
- 25 | Q Okay. Let's -- what types of opinions do you give to

- 1 district chairs? 2 Anything related to politics. Anything related to 3 personal stuff. We talk about faith. I talk about how 4 Jesus is the answer for everybody. I talk about everything with them. Those are all my opinions. 5 Let's talk about --6 0 7 First Amendment freedom of speech. Α -- let's talk specifically about politics. What advice 8 Q 9 would you give to the District 1 chair? That our party is based on the Constitution first and 10 Α our platform second, and everything should fall 11 12 underneath that. Have you given the first district chair any other 13 0 14 advice or any other opinions other than what you just 15 stated? I don't even know who the first district chair is. 16 Α Who's the first district chair? I'd have to look it
- 19 0 Okay. So as --

17

18

- I never said -- I never --20 Α
- 21 MR. THOMAS: Wait a minute. You picked the first district out of the blue. 22

up. I don't memorize this stuff.

- 23 BY MR. DEPERNO:
- 24 So as the -- as the chief of staff for the party, you 25 don't know who the district chair is?

- 1 A Go ahead and tell me the name or her name, and then
- 2 | I'll tell you if I know who they are. Einstein was
- 3 asked his phone number once and he said I don't know.
- 4 | 0 Well, it's --
- 5 A I write it down. Why would I memorize something I can
- 6 write down. I take that to heart. I can look it up.
- 7 | Q Well, let's see what we can find up on this website.
- 8 I'm looking at the MIGOP website. First district chair
- 9 is Sue Allor. Have you given Sue Allor any opinions?
- 10 A No.
- 11 Q This doesn't have to be difficult. It really doesn't.
- 12 A You're asking me to say stuff from memory that I don't
- have on memory.
- 14 | Q District 2 chair is Andy Sebolt. Have you given Andy
- 15 | Sebolt any opinions?
- 16 | A No.
- 17 | Q Have you given Dan Cool any opinions?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 | 0 He's third district chair.
- 20 | A He's in Ottawa.
- 21 | Q What opinions have you given Dan Cool?
- 22 | A I've had multiple conversations with Dan over the last
- year. He's one of our Ottawa delegate members.
- 24 | Q And so as the district chair, what opinions have you
- 25 given?

- 1 A The same things I just told you. We just talk about
 2 the Constitution, the platform, how we're operating as
 3 a party, and are we aiming at the right things.
- Q Okay. So in that context, are we aiming at the right things?
- 6 A Some of us are.
- 7 | Q And how do you define aiming at the right things?
- 8 A By the Constitution and the party platform. All the 9 tenants of the platform in alignment underneath the 10 Constitution, which the entire platform does.
- 11 Q Ken Beyer is the fourth district chair. Have you given 12 him any advice?
- 13 A Opinions.
- 14 Q Opinions, I'm sorry.
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q What opinions have you given Ken Beyer?
- 17 A Same line of logic as Dan Cool.
- On Monday Ken Beyer made a statement about a Kalamazoo
 County delegate -- I want to get this correct for the
 record.
 - MR. THOMAS: Matt, I'm going to object because you're going to get into something that's got nothing to do with our case. Unless you can put a reason on the record why this is relevant to our case

21

22

23

24

1 BY MR. DEPERNO: Ken Beyer stated on Monday -- he referred to a 2 3 Kalamazoo County delegate as the missionary position Tamara Mitchell. When I asked him what he meant by 4 that he stated -- he said, I'm saying that she should 5 call herself a missionary, and the only way that she 6 7 could say that she is a missionary is if she were on 8 her back with her legs spread. 9 Is that a --10 MR. THOMAS: Objection --BY MR. DEPERNO: 11 12 -- is that a statement that you support? MR. THOMAS: Objection. It's not relevant to 13 our case. It's outside the parameters of the case. 14 15 It's outside of what the judge told you to talk about. 16 You're talking about a fourth district guy that has nothing to do with this case. He's already testified 17 18 and told you point blank what his opinion is as to that 19 and it's not relevant. It's not relevant to this case. BY MR. DEPERNO: 20 21 As the chief of staff and as the so-called expert that 0 22 Jimmy has called to --23 MR. THOMAS: I didn't ever call him an expert so don't mischaracterize what I've said. 24 25 BY MR. DEPERNO:

1 So as the chief of staff for MIGOP, do you support Ken Q Beyer's statement as he made regarding Tamara Mitchell? 2 3 I will answer as a Christian and as a person of Α 4 integrity, I do not support that statement. Have you asked Ken Beyer to resign yet? 5 Q MR. THOMAS: Objection. Again --6 7 THE WITNESS: What do you mean by yet? 8 MR. THOMAS: -- objection. And I -- again, 9 Matt, you're outside the boundaries. Why -- why are you outside the boundaries of what the judge told you? 10 11 Do you just not care what the judge says? Do you not 12 care? Put it on the record. Do you not care that the judge told you not to go outside the parameters? 13 14 MR. DEPERNO: I think I'm well within the 15 parameters of talking about the witness's 16 qualifications as the chief of staff for MIGOP. 17 THE WITNESS: I saw your letter that you 18 wrote. 19 BY MR. DEPERNO: 20 Oh, good. Q 21 It was full of false statements, contradictions, and 22 lies so I'm not going to answer. And then you -- you 23 just asked a leading question by putting the word "yet" on the end. So fix your question or we're going to 24 25 have a problem.

1 Well, that would be an objection that -- that your Q attorney could make. 2 3 I don't have an attorney here. Α 4 MR. THOMAS: I'm not -- I put that on the record as well. 5 (Indiscernible crosstalk.) 6 7 THE COURT REPORTER: One at a time. One at a 8 time, please. 9 MR. DEPERNO: Stop yelling, Jimmy. 10 MR. THOMAS: I'm not yelling. BY MR. DEPERNO: 11 12 So as the chief of staff --0 Why do you keep asking as the chief of staff? 13 14 -- because that's your role. You are a chief of staff Q 15 for the MIGOP. So as the chief of staff, in that 16 capacity, you've testified that you manage HR for the MIGOP. 17 18 So you need to understand management. Α 19 Q Yeah. 20 You clearly don't. Α 21 Okay. So as a manager of the MIGOP chief of staff, 0 22 what would you do if an employee of the MIGOP made a 23 statement about a female delegate that -- the same 24 statement that Ken Beyer made. What would you do about 25 it?

1	MR. THOMAS: Again, objection. It's
2	speculation. It's not anything to do this case, Matt.
3	Can we not I mean, why are you going into this?
4	MR. DEPERNO: It has everything to do with
5	this case because it talks about his qualifications.
6	MR. THOMAS: It's got nothing to do with this
7	case.
8	MR. DEPERNO: His management qualifications.
9	He's the guy that's going to be called to testify
10	MR. THOMAS: Management qualifications
11	THE WITNESS: What do my management
12	qualifications have to do with this case?
13	MR. DEPERNO: It has everything to do with
14	this case.
15	THE WITNESS: Why specifically? You can't
16	just say everything. What specifically?
17	MR. DEPERNO: I'm not here to get in a debate
18	with you.
19	THE WITNESS: You are. You're doing it.
20	MR. DEPERNO: I'm here to ask you questions.
21	You are trying to debate me.
22	THE WITNESS: Your questions are leading.
23	MR. DEPERNO: Well, then your attorney can
24	object.
25	THE WITNESS: I don't have an attorney here.

1 MR. DEPERNO: You can object to a leading 2 question, and I can try to rephrase --3 THE WITNESS: Then go ahead and rephrase it. 4 MR. DEPERNO: Okay. THE WITNESS: It's a leading question. Go 5 ahead. 6 7 BY MR. DEPERNO: 8 Is Ken Beyers' statement regarding Tamara Mitchell an 9 appropriate statement to make --10 MR. THOMAS: Objection. That's a leading 11 question. It's yes or no. 12 BY MR. DEPERNO: Is it appropriate, yes or no? 13 14 I already answered that. Α 15 MR. THOMAS: He did. It's asked and answered 16 already. BY MR. DEPERNO: 17 18 Okay. If it's not appropriate, then as the MIGOP chief 19 of staff why would you not ask the fourth district 20 chair to resign? 21 Because districts are autonomous from state party. Α 22 are counties autonomous from districts from state party 23 so I don't have the right to do that. I could do it as a friend, but I don't have the right to do that as part 24 25 of MIGOP.

- 1 Have you -- have you advised him to resign as a friend? Q That's between me and him. You don't get to ask me 2 Α 3 that question. 4 Of course I do. 5 No, you don't. Α So are you refusing to answer that question also? 6 7 I don't have to answer questions about what I tell Α 8 somebody as a friend. Are you going to start asking 9 what I talk to my wife about at night? No, that would be completely inappropriate. 10 0 11 So is what you're asking right now. Α 12 So I can ask Ken Beyer or I can ask you what you talked Q to Ken Beyer about. That is a completely appropriate 13 14 question. 15 It's not an appropriate question MR. THOMAS: 16 because it's outside the parameters of our case. What 17 does it have to do with our case, the facts in this 18 case? 19 It has to do with his -- his MR. DEPERNO:
 - MR. THOMAS: You want it to. You would like it to because you want information. You would like it to, but it's got nothing to do -- for whatever your future endeavors are, Matt -- nothing to do with this case.

inability to --

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 MR. DEPERNO: It's not about me. It is about the witness being --2 3 MR. THOMAS: You can say that to yourself all 4 you want. We all -- everybody knows what's going on. All right? Everybody knows. 5 BY MR. DEPERNO: 6 7 John Smith is the fifth district chair. Have you given 0 8 him any opinions? 9 Α No. Hima is the 6th district chair. Have you given her any 10 0 11 opinion? 12 Α No. Dan Wholihan is the chair of the 7th district. Have 13 14 you given him any opinions? 15 No. Α Anne DeLisle is the 8th district chair. Have you given 16 0 her any opinions? 17 18 No. Α 19 Warren Carpenter is the 9th district chair. Have you Q 20 given him any opinions? 21 Yes. Α 22 What opinions have you given Warren Carpenter? Q 23 It was just personal chitchat at a few events. Barely Α 24 even politically related, and I don't remember any of 25 the content. I don't remember it very well.

- 1 Q Barb Zinner is the 10th district chair. Have you given
- 2 her any opinions?
- 3 A No.
- 4 | Q William Rauwerdink is the 11th district chair. Have
- 5 you given him any opinions?
- 6 A No.
- 7 Q Jessica Toth is the 12th district chair. Have you
- 8 given her any opinions?
- 9 A No.
- 10 Q Cheryl Costantino is the 13th district chair. Have you
- 11 given her any opinions?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 | Q What opinions have you given her?
- 14 A The same answer as Warren Carpenter.
- 15 | Q Now let's go back to the 1st district chair again, Sue
- 16 Allor. Have you given her any advice regarding the way
- 17 | she runs the 1st district?
- 18 A No.
- 19 | Q Have you given Andy Sebolt any advice regarding the way
- 20 he runs the 2nd district?
- 21 A No.
- 22 | Q And Dan Cool any advice the way he runs the 3rd
- 23 | district?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 | Q What advice have you given Dan Cool on how he runs the

1 3rd district? 2 The same answer as the party answer. It's generic and 3 it's in relation to how -- what the party looks like, 4 the Constitution platform. 5 Ken Beyer is the 4th district chair --Q Same answer as Dan Cool. All the rest are going to be 6 Α 7 no.

- Q I'm going to hand you what's going to be Exhibit 3 as part of this record. It's going to be your text messages with the plaintiff in this case, Sabrina Pritchett-Evans. They have page numbers at the bottom.
- 12 Do you see that?
- 13 A Uh-huh.

8

9

10

11

19

20

25

14 Q Page 2 at the top seems to relate to a text message
15 that Ms. Sabrina Pritchett-Evans sent regarding
16 Kalamazoo, and you respond -- you say, or better yet,
17 start following the law and conservative principles in
18 their actions.

What is it about that text message that you believe does not follow the law?

- 21 A No clue. You're asking me to go back to February. I
 22 don't even know what this is about.
- Q Well, you can read it and get an understanding of what it was about.
 - A Okay. Give me a minute.

1 Okay. So what's your question again? You state, quote, or better yet, start following the 2 0 3 law and conservative principles in their actions, end 4 quote. What do you mean by better start following the 5 law? 6 7 This is out of context. I don't know what I meant by Α 8 that. 9 Do you know what you meant by following conservative Q principles in their actions? 10 I would assume the same thing I've been answering all 11 Α 12 along, the Constitution and the party platform, but, again, this is out of context. I don't remember this. 13 14 I would need to see more context. 15 On page 5 at the top there's a discussion regarding Q 16 Huizenga and Hall team, and Sabrina Pritchett-Evan says the text message was to sow discord and you said 17 18 absolutely. 19 You didn't give me page 5. I have 3, 4 and it goes to Α 20 7. 21 I'll give you this package. At the top you see where Q 22 Ms. Pritchett-Evans is talking about Huizenga and Hall? 23 Talking about the text message was to sow discord, and 24 you say absolutely. 25 Can you explain what the Huizenga and Hall team

1 have to do with sowing discord? Nope. No. I don't know what this is about. 2 Α 3 Sabrina Pritchett-Evans then says, I figured it out a Q 4 while back. Huizenga feels like he has lost control of his own party. He is trying to build alliances outside 5 of Ottawa to go against Ottawa, and you say absolutely 6 7 on Huizenga. 8 What do you mean by that? So what are you 9 referencing here? I'm referencing Sabrina's text. 10 Α 11 And what do you mean absolutely on Huizenga? Q 12 That I -- currently I agreed with Sabrina's text. Α You think Huizenga has lost control of his own county? 13 14 Our county used to be one district, and it was the Α 15 district that he -- I don't know the district number --16 but he was the -- the rep to DC out of that district. With redistricting -- with the redistricting our county 17 18 is split, and he doesn't have control of it like he 19 used to. Everybody knows that. That's common 20 knowledge. 21 Do you think that Representative Huizenga was behind 0 22 the lawsuit in Ottawa County? 23 I don't know. Α 24 Well, you say that. You say we believe he was behind 0 25 the lawsuit in Ottawa, and actively trying to undermine

1 us. Right, belief. Do I have proof? No. 2 Α 3 Belief. I can believe whatever I want. 4 Why did you believe that Representative Huizenga was Q trying to undermine Ottawa County? 5 I could believe it just because I felt like it. 6 Α 7 Well, I'm asking you why did he believe? 0 I don't remember. It's been six months. 8 Α 9 You then ask, may I get the name of the Ottawa -- the Q attorney Ottawa used and you say are you suing, and 10 11 then you say our attorney was just okay. 12 Who are you referring to there? 13 Jimmy. Α 14 Jimmy was just okay? Q 15 Yeah, he was pretty new. We all had a lot of 16 challenges. I think he would admit that, but that was 17 my opinion. 18 On page 6 there you say all designed to waste time and 0 19 money. 20 What do you think was designed to waste time and 21 money? I have no idea. 22 Α 23 You think the Ottawa County lawsuit was a waste of time 24 and money? 25 Absolutely. Α

- Q Why do you think that would be a waste of time and money?
 - A I think you said it earlier. Don't you think that was unfair to the new executive committee? Because it was unfair to the new executive committee.
 - Q Page 7 at the bottom you say, we used parliamentary procedures to our advantage. Probably should have changed the rules for everyone.

What rules do you think you should have changed for everyone?

- A I'm on record on this one. This is in an email that went out yesterday. There's -- that's easy.
- 13 | Q Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14 I believe that the way that Kalamazoo was handled at Α 15 the D-4 district convention should have been the way 16 everybody was operated, but I also am on record stating that the -- at a convention that the delegation has the 17 18 right to ultimately set the rules, and the job of 19 whoever is reading the rules to the convention is simply to propose the rules. The delegates by 20 21 two-thirds majority according to Robert's Rules can 22 accept, deny, or amend the rules. And I was on 23 record -- I was on the chair call that both of them 24 were on, and I said I actually believe that everyone 25 should operate the way that Kalamazoo ultimately ended

up operating. But I advised on that call with the other six chairs of the county and Shawn Calder (sp) that's how the meeting should be run.

However, the delegates chose not to vote for the rules that way. They voted for the rules the way they did, and that's their right.

- Q So you believe that Kalamazoo County should not have been singled out, and had Rule 9 set aside only for Kalamazoo County?
- 10 A If I would have had my personal wish, all counties
 11 would have had Rule 9 set aside.
- 12 Q Do you believe that, setting aside Rule 9 only for
 13 Kalamazoo disenfranchised delegates in Kalamazoo
 14 County?
- 15 A No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- 16 | 0 Why not?
- Because I believe that's what should have happened for 17 Α 18 all the counties. I actually believe the other five 19 counties were disenfranchised because they voted to have representatives go to the district convention and 20 21 elect the district committee, and they were not allowed 22 to do that in the way that the system is designed to do 23 it in the form of a constitutional republic and a 24 representative democracy by which our party should try 25 to replicate what our government and our nation is

- 1 founded as.
- 2 | Q So if --
- 3 A I think Kalamazoo is the only one that did it right to
- 4 be honest.
- 5 | Q -- did you make that objection at the District 4 caucus
- 6 on February 17th?
- 7 A I did not.
- 8 Q Why not? Why didn't you stand up for Kalamazoo --
- 9 A Because I had already been told that Allegan County
- 10 would vote against it so I knew it was pointless.
- 11 | Q But do you think it might have been helpful to make
- 12 that objection on the record?
- 13 A No. Because all the other chairs were against me on
- 14 that. They made that clear. I knew that they would
- influence their counties differently.
- 16 | Q You've donated money to this lawsuit, correct?
- 17 A Which lawsuit?
- 18 | O This current lawsuit.
- 19 | A Yes.
- 20 | Q How much money have you donated?
- 21 A I don't remember.
- 22 | Q Why did you decide to put your money behind the
- 23 plaintiffs in this case?
- 24 | A Because I believe that what -- I believe in the
- 25 | plaintiffs' side of the case.

- Q Okay. Do you believe that we should involve court systems within our inter-party disputes?
- 3 A I believe there's a time and a place for that.
- 4 Q And when is the time and place?
- 5 A That's my subjective opinion. I mean, I can conjecture 6 on it all day long if you'd like but...
- 7 Q Why do you think this case in particular would require 8 courts to intervene?
- 9 A So my opinion, at the time when I donated the money was
 10 that this case, and specifically this lawsuit in terms
 11 of dealing with the improper procedures and improper
 12 decisions that were made in Kalamazoo was appropriate.
 13 I may have -- that was my opinion at the time that I
- I may have -- that was my opinion at the time that I donated.
- 15 Q Has your opinion changed?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 | Q What's your opinion now?
- 18 A I think the best answer -- again, lawsuits can have
 19 their place, but I think the best answer is that the
 20 Kalamazoo delegates should take care of their business.
- 21 I'm already on record on that too.
- 22 Q This is Exhibit 4. This is the 4th district agenda and
 23 the rules. Now the rules are page 2 and 3. You were
 24 part of the group of county chairs that agreed to these
 25 rules, correct?

1	A	I was part of the group of county chairs that met three
2		times to build these rules. I did not vote on them
3		because I left the third meeting with the final vote to
4		approve it.
5	Q	Did you have a problem with these rules?
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	What was the problem you had with the rules?
8	A	I wrote different rules. I submitted them to the
9		group. They didn't like them. Charley wrote these
10		rules, and we objected to things the best that we
11		could, or I objected to the things that the best
12		that I could representing Ottawa County, and got some
13		things changed. But in my opinion they're
14		insufficient, and these rules were designed to allow
15		counties to select their members prior to the
16		convention, and I disagreed with that.
17	Q	Was there anything about Rule 9 in particular that you
18		were opposed to?
19	A	I'll go back and read it.
20		So the sentence that what was the name, Thomas
21		Belch (sp) that he struck, I definitely disagreed
22		with.
23		The district delegation shall accept its final of
24		each county's nominees. Again, I stated earlier,

25

delegations ultimately hold the authority in a

convention, the entire delegation. So saying that each county's nominees are final and the district -- the delegation doesn't have any say in that. I disagree with that.

As for the rest of it, again, it's an okay rule aside from that, but I think the -- it was not the best rule by far. There was a lot better options in this, and I liked the ones I wrote. I'm biased of the ones that I wrote.

- Q Do you believe that county chairs would have the sole authority under Rule 9 to select the nominees for their county?
- A I think you're combining two things and leading -- asking a leading question.
- 15 | 0 Okay.

A I'll break it down for you this way. According to Rule 9, the right thing to do, if everybody was operating with integrity, would be counties go to their little groups, pick their people, come back, and the chair announces whatever happened whether they like it -- whether they agree with it or not. Under Rule 9, technically, it says county chairs will announce their nominees to the district delegation at large. If the county chair were to go and lie and name off the names of the people they liked, other than a bunch of

screaming and shouting, it says the district delegation shall accept as final each county's nominees, which is prefaced by the county chair announcing it.

That's why Ballard had that -- Thomas Belch had that removed because the county chair doesn't have the final say. The delegation does.

So in a worst-case scenario, unless the delegation did something about it, technically, according to this rule, without objection by the delegation, yeah, a county chair could have announced and that would have been final. And it could have been in opposition to what their own county voted. Much less in opposition to what the overall delegation would like.

- So your rule that you're reading, the first sentence of paragraph 2, the word there, you're reading that word there to modify or reference the term "county chairs," and you're reading in a way where you're saying the county chair could ignore their county vote in their caucus, and simply select someone they want which goes against the county delegates choice; is that right?
- A I'm saying that technically could happen.
- Q Was there any evidence that would happen?
- A I'm telling you what my opinion was at the time when we were all meeting to build these rules. I'm trying to think of everything that could happen from good to bad,

1 and make sure that we write rules that give the delegates the best chance -- the delegation who's 2 3 supposed to be voting at that convention, the best chance to make the right decisions, and that the final 4 decisions are that of the delegation. So why wouldn't 5 I think of worst-case scenario and try to make it 6 7 better? That's worst-case scenario. I'm not saying it 8 was going to happen. I didn't know whether it would 9 I said I was considering worst-case scenario, and I thought I had better rules than these. But some 10 people complain that four pages is too much to read. 11 12 Apparently, they think the delegates are stupid. Do you think courts have the ability to intervene in 13 Q 14 party politics? 15 You're going to have to give me more specifics. Α 16 Well, this case, for instance. If you're -- you're aiming at Heitmanis and that's --17 Α 18 Well, I think --0 19 -- I think I know what you're doing. I think we'll get there. Sure. I'm building up to --20 Q 21 Of course you are. Α 22 -- so, I mean, in terms of court intervention in party Q 23 politics, do you believe that courts have jurisdiction to get involved in party politics? 24 25 I don't know that my belief is -- has any relevance. Α Ι 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q

Α

0

Α

Q

Toll Free: 844.730.4066

know what -- I know what -- I know the limited knowledge that I have of the law. I know the limited knowledge that I have of the hierarchy of law and -but my opinion on that is irrelevant. Courts are going to rule and parties are going to act, and there's cases where they've intervened and cases where they haven't. There's cases where it's gone well. There's cases where it hasn't. So I don't know where you're -- I know what you're trying to get with this because you're going go to Heitmanis and you're going to make some claims so... Well, what about -- I mean, you think it's wise for political parties to allow courts to intervene in their disputes? That's a better question. I think that -- it depends on the scenario. I mean, you're just asking a very generic question so give me an example. Well, this case, for instance. This case --I've already stated that I think it would be best -you know, good, better, best. It would be best for the Kalamazoo delegates to handle their own business outside of the courts. So in this case, no, I don't think that's the best solution. Do you think the time and energy put into this case, and the money put into this case would be better spent

fighting Democrats?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A I think, again, you're combining two things causing a leading question. So I'm going to separate it out for you.

So specific to this case, again, I think we should solve it in a best-case scenario by working it through the delegates in Kalamazoo to handle their business.

To answer the second part of your leading question. I think the party needs to figure out who it is and what it is before it can find Democrats because we clearly don't have that figured out. You ran on that as attorney general.

- Q Well, we're -- we're in the party. I mean, all the way from the top down it seems to me we're arguing about each other, fighting each other, and the Democrats are dropping felony charges on people. That seems like a bad strategy. Do you agree?
- A I disagree with your premise. You said as a party, and baked into your statement "as a party" is your assumption that everybody with an R by their name is a real Republican. I would disagree with that.
- Q Yeah, I don't make that assumption.
- A You did in your -- that's -- that's baked into your statement. So this contradicts what you ran for on attorney general when I supported you. All your

1 actions that you've taken since are in opposition to 2 what you ran on. 3 Well, it's not about me. Q 4 Again, you keep saying that, but the evidence is in 5 opposition to what you're saying. Do you know why the state party chair has not responded 6 Q 7 to letters sent by Kalamazoo County GOP regarding this very issue? 8 9 I do not. Α Do you think the state party chair should intervene in 10 Q this issue in this case? 11 12 Α In what way? Well, number one, would she have the authority? 13 14 Second, if she did have the authority, would it be wise 15 or would you advise her to get involved in inter-party 16 political disputes --17 MR. THOMAS: I'm going to --18 MR. DEPERNO: -- in the county? 19 MR. THOMAS: -- I'm going to object. You need to break it down. You've asked three different 20 21 questions in one question. So if you're going to ask 22 that question break it down individually into --23 BY MR. DEPERNO: Well, first, would the state party chair have the 24 0 25 authority to intervene within this case specifically or

1 within -- disputes within county Republican parties? 2 Intervene in what way? Α 3 Intervene through some type of declaration. Some type Q 4 of opinion. Intervene in any way. Again, you're asking a generic leading question so I'm 5 Α going to give you my best answer given that this is a 6 7 garbage question. She has very limited ability to 8 intervene because, as I stated earlier, legally the 9 county, the district, and the state parties are autonomous. So her ability to intervene is extremely 10 limited, if at all. 11 12 So the second question would be if she had the ability Q at all would it be wise even to intervene? 13 MR. THOMAS: I'm going to object because he 14 15 just said she doesn't have the ability. You're --16 you're --MR. DEPERNO: No, he said limited. 17 qualified it as limited. 18 19 BY MR. DEPERNO: So would it be wise? 20 Q 21 It depends on the -- the context. 22 Well, was it wise for Ron Weiser to get involved in Q 23 Macomb County dispute? He had no choice. You're bringing up the scenario in 24 Α 25 which that limited ability to intervene connects, which

is where county district and state parties come together at something like a state convention. The state party makes rules to accept somebody from a county through a district to a state convention.

If there's two factions claiming to be the faction, the state party has got to pick somebody to allow. I may or may not agree with who he picked, but he -- the state party had to -- had to allow one faction or the other from Macomb to represent Macomb, or none. They could have chosen I guess none. They had to make a choice, right? They can't -- they can't allow both. Can't double up on Macomb.

- Q On page 16 at the bottom you say, Kelly/Charley think they own the party, and get to do whatever they want.

 Why is -- why was that your opinion?
- A Well, I don't see in context here, but it's pretty simply the reason why we're here today.
- 18 | Q Okay. Explain it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

25

- 19 A She has operated as a dictator.
- 20 Q Explain what you mean by that.
- 21 A She doesn't get to write letters by the power vested in 22 me to take out delegates. There's one solution to that 23 and it's called a recall election. They're elected by 24 the people. She has no power vested in her whatsoever.
 - Q What state law permits a recall of a delegate?

- 1 A That's irrelevant.
- 2 Q No, it's very relevant actually.
- 3 A I don't -- I don't know. I don't have a state law.
- 4 | Q Does the Constitution -- Michigan Constitution permit
- 5 recall of a delegate?
- 6 A Don't know. Doesn't matter.
- 7 Q It does matter actually.
- 8 A It doesn't.
- 9 Q It's actually very relevant in this case.
- 10 A Because something doesn't exist doesn't give you the
- 11 | right to do something else. You should know that as a
- 12 lawyer.
- 13 | 0 Well, just --
- 14 A You can't by -- by negative inference claim that she
- has the right to take some action. That's complete and
- 16 utter bullcrap.
- 17 | Q Do county parties have the right to control their party
- 18 | membership?
- 19 A That depends on how they're set up.
- 20 Q Okay. Does the Kalamazoo County party have a right to
- 21 | control its membership?
- 22 A I don't know how they're set up.
- 23 | Q Okay.
- 24 A But you're not asking a relevant question because
- 25 that's not the statement that I just made. You should

- be asking does the chair have the right to control the membership, and the answer is no.
- Q Well, the chair works at the request of the executive committee and the delegates, correct? In a roundabout way the delegates elect the executive committee, which elects the chair --
- 7 A Uh-huh.
- Q -- and so the chair works at the direction of the
 executive committee.
- 10 A And the delegates.
- 11 Q Well, indirectly, yes.
- 12 | A No, directly.
- 13 | Q Not directly --
- 14 A Yes, directly.
- 15 | O No --
- MR. THOMAS: I'm going to object. You're
- 17 debating him. Ask a question, Matt.
- 18 BY MR. DEPERNO:
- 19 Q So does the chair through the executive committee have 20 the right to remove delegates?
- 21 A Absolutely not.
- 22 | Q And what do you base that at?
- 23 A Because delegates are elected positions.
- 24 Q Elected by --
- 25 A Does an individual -- does the governor have the right

- 1 to remove a representative from the state -- from the
- 2 house?
- 3 Q Well, no, certainly not.
- 4 A Same thing.
- 5 | Q We're talking about completely different things.
- 6 A No, we're not. So we're talking about the citizens
- 7 elect a position. There's no individual that has the
- 8 | right to remove them from that position.
- 9 Q So who elects a delegate?
- 10 A The citizens of their precinct.
- 11 | Q What citizens?
- 12 A The citizens of the precinct.
- 13 | O All citizens?
- 14 A Yeah. We don't have party affiliation on our primary
- 15 elections. You should know that. Democrats can cross
- 16 over and vote so how do I know which citizens. All
- 17 citizens.
- 18 | Q Okay.
- 19 A All the ones that vote on the Republican side of the
- 20 | ballot on the -- during the primary.
- 21 Q Has a delegate ever been removed by a county party in
- 22 | Michigan in the last 30 years?
- 23 A I don't know. Well, yeah, in Kalamazoo. They tried
- 24 to. It failed properly.
- 25 Q How did they fail?

- 1 A Because the county clerk said I'm not going to do that.
- 2 | Q When did the county clerk say that?
- 3 A You know, you have all the documentation. I don't have
- 4 the dates.
- 5 Q When did the -- what -- what specifically did the
- 6 county clerk say --
- 7 A You know exactly what they said.
- 8 | Q -- well, I'm asking you. You made the statement. What
- 9 did the county clerk say in that regard?
- 10 A She doesn't have the power to say by the power vested
- in me you're no longer a delegate, and I'm not going to
- remove them. We've never removed one in Kalamazoo in
- all the years that I've been here.
- 14 | Q You're telling me that the county clerk said that the
- party chair doesn't have the ability?
- 16 A I'm telling you that's how I perceived what I read, and
- 17 it's been a while.
- 18 | Q I hand you --
- 19 A A few months.
- 20 | Q -- so I'll hand you this letter. You can tell me
- 21 exactly in there where the county clerk made that
- 22 statement. Or more appropriately, the attorney for the
- 23 | county clerk.
- 24 A The clerk's office has no role in the removal of a
- 25 precinct delegate beyond what is provided for in state

statute, which was communicated to you and Ms. Sackett during the March 8, 2023, meeting. The clerk's office as such referred to you and Ms. Sackett to the Michigan Republican party, and the Kalamazoo County Republican party bylaws and/or internal government documents. The clerk's office also made it clear it is their position that the removal of precinct delegate questions related to such matters -- such action are matters of party governance, and at this time the clerk's office strongly reaffirms that position.

Q Okay. So the clerk is saying --

Q

- 12 A The clerk has no -- they're not going to accept by the
 13 power vested in me they're no longer a delegate. They
 14 rejected that.
 - Okay. It's my understanding -- and let's see if you agree with me -- the clerk's office is saying that we have no role in removal of precinct delegates. We refer you to the Michigan Republican Party and the Kalamazoo County Republican Party bylaws and internal government documents, and we make clear our position that the removal of a delegate and questions related to such actions are matters of party governance at this time.

So the issue -- what she's saying is, it's not up to me as a clerk whether you can remove a delegate.

It's up to party governance, correct?

A Where do you see party governance?

- Q Well, the very last sentence right down there at the end of the paragraph.
- A Okay. So that paragraph is what she's saying, and then you go to the bold paragraph below. This communication shall serve as official notice, blah, blah, blah. Any political party beyond the process provided for by state statute relating to the role of the county clerk with respect to precinct delegates. So they're taking a hands-off approach.

They were asked to acknowledge that they were no longer delegates, and they refused to acknowledge that and kicked it back to the party. So the county clerk still has these delegates on record as Republican delegates, and they're not going to remove them.

That's what I get out of this.

Well, maybe we agree on that, but the question then is, what is the clerk meaning when she says removal of a delegate is a matter of party governance?

So the clerk's office has a hands-off approach. I agree with that, as the clerk's office should in this situation. I don't believe the clerk's office have a role in this whatsoever.

A Or the process of the election of the delegates --

- 1 Q The process --
- 2 A -- in the first place.
- 3 Q -- being election, correct. So beyond that, what the
- 4 clerk's saying is, removal of a delegate is an issue of
- 5 party governance. She's saying look at your bylaws.
- 6 Look at internal governing documents. That's what
- 7 controls. Isn't that what she's saying?
- 8 A Yeah, that would make sense.
- 9 Q Okay. So when you say that the chair has no authority
- 10 | then --
- 11 A Correct.
- 12 | Q -- to do it --
- 13 | A Correct.
- 14 | Q -- you can't rely on the Court's opinion --
- 15 A That's one opinion.
- 16 | Q -- well, she's saying go back to the party bylaws and
- internal governing documents. It's a --
- 18 A Well, you're assuming that I'm relying on the clerk's
- opinion, and that's one piece of the puzzle.
- 20 | Q Okay.
- 21 MR. THOMAS: Did you just read in their
- 22 bylaws because she didn't say bylaws in that --
- MR. DEPERNO: She did say bylaws.
- MR. THOMAS: -- okay.
- 25 MR. DEPERNO: I'm not trying to trick anyone,

Jim.

MR. THOMAS: He's referring I think to Kevin Swygert's (sp) emails is what he -- is what he was talking about, and that's the clerk from the -- from the other township that's --

THE WITNESS: That is what I'm referring to.

MR. THOMAS: -- that's probably what he's referring to.

THE WITNESS: Because what I refer to is not in here. So this document is not what I was referring

BY MR. DEPERNO:

to.

- Q So what else would you rely on regarding removal of delegates other than the clerk's statement?
- A I would rely on the bylaws. I would rely on the law.

 And at any length I will argue to the death per J.D.

 Glaser's class that chairs are not dictators. They are facilitators.

Decisions cannot be made by chairs. They can be made by executive committees who represent the delegates when the delegates are not in session. When delegates are in session, executive committees have zero authority, period. Delegates have the authority ultimately, and they could exercise that authority any time they want by calling a convention.

- 1 Q So what laws are you -- would you refer to that would 2 prevent a county party from removing a delegate?
 - A I don't have laws that I'm referring to. I'm just stating a generic answer to your question of what I would refer to if I were trying to solve something like this. I didn't have to solve this in Ottawa. We haven't removed any delegates.
 - Q What happens if you have Democrats who run as

 Republican delegates and get elected, and then you have

 Democrats who infiltrate the party with an R in front

 of their name calling themselves Republicans --
- 12 A We have lots of that, yeah.
- 13 Q -- what do you do with that in Ottawa County? How do
 14 you deal with those people as delegates?
- 15 A We just pass incorporation and new bylaws. We had no recourse to deal with that in the past. We do not.
- 17 Q And what does your bylaws now allow you to do?
- 18 A To remove them if they are not real Republicans.
- 19 Q So there's a process you established in Ottawa County
 20 to allow you to remove delegates?
- 21 A It's a brand new process.
- 22 Q Okay.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- 23 A And literally voted on this month.
- 24 Q Okay. And what is that process?
- 25 A I don't have it memorized right now.

- Q So what is the standard you use to determine whether someone's a real Republican?
- A That is a great question. That still needs to be developed, and I think should be published everywhere because the Republican Party has failed with that.
- 6 Q Well, I'm not disagreeing with you so I'm --
- 7 A That's my answer to your question.
- 8 Q So the question then is, if you have people who 9 infiltrate the party --
- 10 A Uh-huh.
- 11 Q -- and you identify them as either Democrats or third
 12 party people, you know, or anything else, anarchists,
 13 for instance --
- 14 A Sure.
- 15 Q -- who come in and infiltrate and create chaos, and
 16 then you go through your process to remove them, what's
 17 the next step? How do you effectuate that removal?
- 18 A So you're removing them from the party. That would never be done by a chair, ever.
- 20 | Q Okay.
- 21 A That's a completely invalid step. So it's a party
 22 decision. It would be done by a broader group of
 23 folks, whether it's the executive committee or the
 24 delegation in total -- again, I don't have the rules
 25 memorized. I -- I don't have them memorized so I'm not

- going to tell you -- sit here and tell you the details
 of how Ottawa would do that.
- 3 Q Okay.
- 4 A I don't know the answer.
- So I'm just trying to get to the issue of now that the party -- you know, in this hypothetical -- has
- 7 determined that it's sniffed out an infiltrator within 8 the party --
- 9 A Uh-huh.
- 10 Q -- you remove them as a delegate. Do you send a letter
- 11 to the county clerk saying we removed this person as a
- 12 delegate?
- 13 A No.
- 14 | Q You just do it internally?
- 15 A Correct.
- 16 Q Because we don't care what the clerk says one way or
- 17 the other, right?
- 18 A No. Because we can't legally ask the clerk to strike
- 19 them from the record as not being a Republican delegate
- 20 anymore.
- 21 | Q So the clerk's office doesn't care. You -- maybe you
- get that; maybe you don't. I don't know. Do you
- 23 understand that?
- 24 | A Well, every clerk's office is different. Which clerk's
- office are you talking about?

- 1 Q Any clerk, 83 counties in the state.
- 2 A They're not all the same.
- Q Okay. So do you just not issue that delegate a call to the next convention? You don't credential them?
- 5 A We haven't gone through this yet. You're asking me for 6 a hypothetical. I'm not going to -- I don't know.
- 7 Q Okay. I'm not trying to trick you on this. I'm just trying to get your understanding --
- 9 A But you're asking for an answer to something that
 10 hasn't occurred, and I don't have the bylaws memorized.
 11 So I'm telling you I have no answer to your question.
- 12 Q Well, just so you understand, it's not a trick

 13 question. I'm just -- I assumed you would have thought

 14 through the process of what you do after you

 15 actually --
 - A Other folks on our team have thought through that process. I have been very busy and very focused on MIGOP. I don't know the answer to your question.
- 19 Q Fair enough.

Do you know whether the Kalamazoo County Executive
Committee was in favor of the Kalamazoo County chair
removing delegates?

23 A No.

16

17

18

Q So then you don't know specifically that the Kalamazoo
County chair was acting as a dictator?

- 1 A I do.
- 2 | Q Well, it's possible she wasn't. It's possible she was
- acting with approval of the executive committee,
- 4 correct?
- 5 A Then the letter would have been written differently,
- and it would have come from the committee, not from the
- 7 chair. And I don't know that even the executive
- 8 committee has the right delegates. That would depend
- 9 on what their bylaws say what is the process for
- 10 removing a delegate.
- 11 | Q Page 20. In this conversation you're talking about
- someone named Ben who appears to be -- work for the
- 13 Huizenga team. Sabrina Pritchett-Evans refers to him
- 14 as a flunky. Do you know Ben?
- 15 A Nope.
- 16 | O Then there's a discussion about Matt Hall. Do you see
- 17 | that about midway down?
- 18 A Sure.
- 19 | Q And you asked in Kalamazoo -- Matt from Kalamazoo, see
- 20 that?
- 21 A Yep.
- 22 | Q You're referring to Matt Hall, correct?
- 23 A Yep.
- 24 | Q And then you say definitely lots of swamp there. What
- do you mean by swamp there?

- 1 A Fake Republicans.
- 2 | Q And you think Matt Hall is a fake Republican?
- 3 A How is that relevant to this case?
- 4 Q Well, I'm just trying to understand the context of
- 5 what your message means. Is Matt Hall a fake --
- 6 A Are you going to publish it tomorrow like you published
- 7 Ken Beyer's stuff yesterday?
- 8 Q Is Matt Hall a fake Republican?
- 9 A I'll reserve that opinion to myself.
- 10 Q So you're going to refuse to answer that question too?
- 11 A Sure.
- 12 | Q Why?
- 13 A Are you going to ask me every other state rep and state
- senator whether I think they're swamp or not?
- 15 | Q No. Well, just strictly Matt Hall because that's the
- 16 conversation in the text messages.
- 17 A Right. Because you're leading to a conclusion that as
- 18 chief of staff of the Republican Party I shouldn't be
- doing X, Y, and Z, and you're going to go publish it
- 20 tomorrow.
- 21 | Q Well, do you think as chief of staff of the Republican
- 22 | Party --
- 23 A I think we should sort out who's a real Republican and
- 24 | who's not. We should define what a real Republican is
- and what isn't so that we can actually support real

- 1 Republicans. That's what the party should be about.
- 2 | Q Have you had a conversation with Matt Hall about
- 3 whether he's a real Republican --
- 4 A No, I can look at his voting record.
- 5 Q -- and -- and do not make --
- 6 A And his statements and everything else.
- 7 | Q -- what do you not like about his voting record?
- 8 A I don't have an answer to that right now.
- 9 Q What do you not like about his statements?
- 10 A I don't have an answer to that right now.
- 11 | Q Sabrina Pritchett-Evans then says, I don't believe it's
- 12 | Matt's girlfriend. I don't think he swings that way.
- What does she mean by that?
- 14 A I don't know.
- 15 | Q Well, then you put a ha-ha.
- 16 A I can laugh at stuff. I don't know what it means.
- 17 | Q So you laughed at something you don't know what it
- means? Is this in reference to Matt Hall's sexual
- 19 orientation?
- 20 A I don't know. You tell me.
- 21 | Q Well, I'm asking.
- 22 | A How do I know? It's not on the page.
- 23 | Q Well, she's making the statement, and you're
- 24 | laughing --
- 25 A Well, you could have asked her at her deposition.

- 1 Q Well, I'm asking you why you laughed at it.
- 2 A I can laugh at whatever I want.
- 3 Q Did you think it's funny?
- 4 A Apparently I did in the moment.
- 5 Q So you think in the moment that making a reference
- 6 above Matt Hall's sexual orientation is funny?
- 7 A I don't know. I'm not in that moment.
- 8 | Q Well, you were in the moment.
- 9 A Great. I'm not now.
- 10 | Q Do you think it's appropriate to make jokes about
- 11 | people's sexual orientation?
- 12 A Have you ever made a joke about somebody's sexual
- 13 orientation?
- 14 | Q Well, I'm asking you because you're the witness. So
- 15 have -- do you think it's appropriate to make jokes
- 16 | about --
- 17 | A I think you're questioning my First Amendment rights
- 18 | right now.
- 19 | Q I'm not saying anything about your --
- 20 A I think you are.
- 21 | Q -- I think you as --
- 22 A I think you are challenging my First Amendment rights.
- 23 | Q I think you can say whatever you want. I'm asking you
- 24 why you would have said something, which is far
- 25 different than somehow saying you're not permitted to

1 say something. 2 MR. THOMAS: Matt, let me look at what --3 BY MR. DEPERNO: 4 So you think --5 MR. THOMAS: -- one second. I want to object right here. One second because I think you're talking 6 7 about something about swings? That's not a sexual thing. How do you know what swings is exactly? 8 9 BY MR. DEPERNO: 10 0 And so you're --11 Why don't you define swings for us, and then I'll Α 12 answer the question. Well, I'm asking you because --13 0 14 MR. THOMAS: You're talking about sexual 15 orientation and swings. You know, I don't know what 16 swings means, and especially in the context of how 17 that's written. You better explain that because you're 18 now challenging him on a sexual preference. It doesn't 19 say anything about sex there unless you're assuming 20 swings means something sexual. 21 THE WITNESS: Yeah, you're assuming what it 22 means. 23 MR. DEPERNO: I'm asking you --THE WITNESS: Maybe he's swinging on a swing 24 25 How do I know. set.

1 BY MR. DEPERNO:

- 2 | Q So I'm asking you what you thought when you laughed at
- 3 | it.
- 4 A I don't know. I'm not there. You're asking me to
- 5 remember my memories. Come on, move on.
- 6 | Q Asking me to remember my memories?
- 7 A Yeah. My thought process in a moment from six months
- 8 ago. Ridiculous.
- 9 Q On page 26, there's a discussion -- it starts on page
- 10 | 25 actually. There's a discussion going on about the
- 11 Kalamazoo KGOP coffee in the morning, and March 17th at
- 12 2:55 Sabrina mentioned someone named Jason. Do you
- 13 know who Jason is?
- 14 A I assume he's somebody in the KGOP.
- 15 | Q Did you know a Jason in the KGOP?
- 16 A No, I don't know a Jason personally in the KGOP. I
- 17 know of a Jason in the KGOP.
- 18 | Q You know of a Jason?
- 19 A Yeah.
- 20 | Q Is that Jason Mikkelborg?
- 21 A If he's the one that's on D-4 then, yeah.
- 22 | Q Okay.
- 23 A I'm not 100 percent sure on his last name.
- 24 | Q You say in 11/16 -- you said these people are insane.
- 25 A Where's that at?

1	Q	Do you know what you're who you're referring to?
2	A	I'm assuming it's the statement banned for life.
3	Q	Okay. And who was banned for life?
4	A	Probably whatever is in that tap to download image.
5	Q	Do you recall what that was?
6	A	No.
7	Q	Are you still actively involved in Ottawa County GOP?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	Is Ottawa County GOP taking steps to ensure that Bill
10		Huizenga wins re-election?
11		MR. THOMAS: Again, I'm going to object.
12		What does this have to do with our case?
13		MR. DEPERNO: I think it has to do
14		specifically with his role as county officer for Ottawa
15		County as chief of staff.
16		MR. THOMAS: What does that have to do with
17		our case? What issue of our case is in effect?
18		MR. DEPERNO: Well, it has to do a lot with
19		his role as the chair or the chief of staff. Has to do
20		specifically with his knowledge of party politics.
21		Precisely why he's been called as a witness.
22		MR. THOMAS: It's got nothing to do with it
23		and you know it.
24		MR. DEPERNO: Well, I think it has a lot to
25		do with

1 MR. THOMAS: It's got nothing to do with it. MR. DEPERNO: -- his understanding of the 2 3 role of district chairs, county chairs, his understanding of how parties work. Absolutely total 4 relevance to this case. 5 MR. THOMAS: It's outside the parameters of 6 7 what the judge ordered. BY MR. DEPERNO: 8 9 So what is -- what is Ottawa County doing to ensure 0 Bill Huizenga wins re-election? 10 11 Right now Ottawa County is working on all things Α 12 Republican, and defining what a real Republican is, and defining what the party platform is, and we're focused 13 14 on preparing for election season next year. We're not 15 focused on any specific candidates other than those 16 that are in distress, which currently is one county commissioner who's being recalled -- or attempted to be 17 18 recalled by the Democrats. 19 What do you think are real Republicans? One that adheres to the platform in voting, and in 20 Α 21 words, and in actions. 22 Q Who is Brendan (sp)? 23 You're going to have to be more specific. On page 29 you're talking about someone named Brendan. 24 0 So Brendan is a new chair in Ottawa. 25 Α

- 1 | Q What's his last name?
- 2 A Muir.
- 3 Q How do you spell it?
- $4 \mid A \quad M-U-I-R$.
- 5 | Q Page 34 at the bottom on April 10 you say they can't
- 6 remove delegates. I take it from your earlier
- 7 statement that your opinion has changed on that?
- 8 A Well, I don't know. I don't know what their bylaws
- 9 say, and I don't know what their organizational
- 10 structure is, and I'm sure at that time I was probably
- referring to the way that they remove them.
- 12 | Q And what was your understanding as to the way they
- 13 remove?
- 14 A We've already been over this.
- 15 | Q I'm handing you Exhibit 5.
- 16 A Are we done with the texting?
- 17 Q No, we'll come back to that.
- 18 Exhibit 5, on the second page, this is training
- 19 materials sent out by Kim Harris. One of the
- 20 plaintiffs in this case. She says in that first
- 21 paragraph -- she said, we are attempting to build a
- 22 precinct delegate force across our state to remove all
- 23 RINOs and their useless pawns from party leadership at
- 24 the county, district, and state committee levels.
- Do you agree with that statement as a goal within

1 the Michigan Republican Party? I would rephrase it and say fake Republicans. 2 3 Do you believe that should be the focus of delegate Q 4 training? Of delegate training? What -- I don't understand your 5 Α question. 6 7 Well, in this context -- this was sent out as training Q materials to a group of delegates, and you think that 8 9 should be the focus of training new delegates? Where are you going with this? What's your point? 10 Α I mean, is that -- is that what the party should be 11 Q 12 focusing on when it trains new delegates? Should the party be building a delegate force, the goal is to 13 14 remove RINOs and useless pawns, or as you say, fake 15 Republicans? I would -- I would state this in a positive sense and 16 Α say we need to define what a Republican is, and teach a 17 18 delegate what a Republican is. Just like when you 19 teach somebody currency and they're trying to identify false currency, you teach them the real thing. 20 21 So I would state this. That we need to teach 22 delegates what a true real Republican is by training 23 them on the party platform, training them on the 24 Constitution, that way they're able to identify any 25 frauds be they Democrat or otherwise. That's how I

1 would state this. How do you define America First? 2 3 I don't. Α 4 Why not? I'm actually -- that's not a term that I throw around 5 Α so I don't have an answer to that. I know other people 6 7 do, but I don't. 8 Page 4 --Q 9 These aren't numbered. Α 10 Q -- I know they're not numbered. That one right there. Robin Peak (sp) is a ex-officio member of the 11 12 Republican state committee; is that correct? 13 I believe so. I'm not sure. 14 In these messages -- these messages say -- she talks Q about Muslims in a derogatory way. Would you agree 15 16 with that? No, I'm not -- I haven't read it yet. 17 Α 18 Well, please read it then. 0 19 MR. THOMAS: Can you elaborate why we're going into Robin Peak and Muslims for this case? 20 21 MR. DEPERNO: Yeah. It's an -- it's been an exhibit --22 23 MR. THOMAS: I know it's an exhibit, but why 24 in this deposition are we talking about this? 25 MR. DEPERNO: Well, it goes specifically into

1	your defamation claim. I can ask the witness he's
2	someone
3	MR. THOMAS: He's not a witness to the
4	defamation. He's not a witness.
5	MR. DEPERNO: He's a witness as to your case.
6	You put him on your witnesses.
7	MR. THOMAS: Okay. All right, Matt.
8	THE WITNESS: Okay. What's your question?
9	BY MR. DEPERNO:
10	Q Do you agree that the statements made in here are
11	derogatory towards Muslims?
12	A I think that's subjective, and you're asking me to get
13	into Robin's head and determine what she's saying here
14	so I don't have an answer to that.
15	Q But on the face
16	MR. THOMAS: Asked and answered. Objection.
17	BY MR. DEPERNO:
18	Q On its face
19	MR. THOMAS: Objection. Asked and answered.
20	BY MR. DEPERNO:
21	Q on its face do you find her comments
22	MR. THOMAS: Objection. Asked and answered.
23	MR. DEPERNO: I haven't asked this
24	question. Stop yelling. You need
25	MR. THOMAS: I'm not yelling.

1 BY MR. DEPERNO: -- so did you find her comments offensive? 2 3 Same answer. Α 4 Is her comments the type of comments that are condoned by the MIGOP -- you being the chief of staff, do you 5 condone these types of comments? 6 7 MR. THOMAS: Objection. Asked and answered. 8 He's already told you that he's not going to get inside 9 That was the answer. her head. 10 MR. DEPERNO: No, that's a different 11 question. 12 MR. THOMAS: It's not. BY MR. DEPERNO: 13 14 As the chief of staff of MIGOP, do you condone these Q 15 comments? 16 I'd have to read through these, process them, think Α 17 through it more. These are -- these are very generic. 18 There's context. You don't have the referred 19 information above so I'm not -- I don't have an answer 20 to your question right now. 21 So why hasn't MIGOP investigated these comments? Q 22 They've been widely distributed. 23 This clearly is a telegram chat, right? Am I correct? Α It looks like. 24 0 You think that MIGOP should go out and investigate and 25 Α

1 waste all their time on every telegram chat out there? 2 Well, I think if you have ex-officio members --3 Has this been brought to MIGOP's attention to Α 4 investigate? Of course it has. 5 Q By who? 6 Α 7 Well, I don't know --0 Then you don't know that it's been brought. 8 Α 9 -- I assume --Q 10 Α You're lying to me. 11 -- I assume you guys --Q 12 You're assuming. That's a lie. You just said of Α 13 course it has. 14 I assume you guys --Q 15 You lied to me. 16 -- I assume you guys --0 THE COURT REPORTER: Off the record for a 17 18 second. 19 (Break in proceeding from 20 12:29 p.m. to 12:29 p.m.) 21 BY MR. DEPERNO: 22 What is your opinion of Dan Hartman's statement when he 0 23 said it was crazy when Christians allowed non-Christian 24 voices into the marketplace of ideas? 25 MR. THOMAS: I'm going to object to those

1	questions. You don't have the complete record of that.
2	You read one blip of something that was stated. You
3	don't have the whole complete paragraph and content.
4	It's incomplete.
5	BY MR. DEPERNO:
6	Q Okay. So what was your opinion when you heard that?
7	A I never heard it.
8	Q You never heard that statement from Dan Hartman?
9	MR. THOMAS: Objection. Asked and answered.
10	MR. DEPERNO: Calm down and let the court
11	reporter
12	MR. THOMAS: I'm objecting.
13	MR. DEPERNO: see, you're doing it again.
14	MR. THOMAS: Okay.
15	MR. DEPERNO: You've got to stop interrupting
16	everyone just because you get excited.
17	MR. THOMAS: I'm not excited.
18	MR. DEPERNO: You've got like these veins on
19	the side of your head popping out.
20	(Indiscernible crosstalk.)
21	MR. DEPERNO: PTSD. You wouldn't know
22	what that is, do you?
23	BY MR. DEPERNO:
24	Q You've so just to be clear, you are not aware that
25	Dan Hartman made comments as described in the news

1 article you're looking at right now? MR. THOMAS: Objection. Asked and answered. 2 He says he was not familiar with it. 3 MR. DEPERNO: Now I clarified that in terms 4 5 of the article that he's now reading. THE WITNESS: I'm now reading it. Before 6 7 this, I've heard that this happened. I have not heard 8 the exact quote, and I have read none of these articles 9 about it. That's a fact. BY MR. DEPERNO: 10 11 As the chief of staff that does not concern you that 0 12 you didn't even investigate it? MR. THOMAS: Objection. How is he supposed 13 to investigate something when he doesn't know about it? 14 15 MR. DEPERNO: No. He just -- he changed that 16 statement and said he --BY MR. DEPERNO: 17 18 So you didn't even investigate this? 0 19 You're saying I should have personally investigated it? As the chief of staff, yes. 20 21 That's your opinion. What's your question? Α 22 Why didn't you investigate it? Q 23 You took my description of my job earlier. I'm very 24 focused on that. We've had a lot of hell to deal with 25 given to us by the previous administration. I can't do

- everybody else's job too. What do you want me to do?
- 2 | Q Well, you're in charge of HR you said.
- 3 A I oversee HR.
- 4 | Q Okay. And HR would oversee Dan Hartman, correct?
- 5 A Incorrect. State party would.
- 6 Q Dan Hartman is a paid employee, correct?
- 7 A Incorrect. He's elected legal counsel for state party.
- 8 State party, specifically the policy committee, would
- 9 have more say in that than I would.
- 10 | Q When did Dan Hartman -- when was he elected?
- 11 | A April 15. Just like everybody else that was elected
- 12 after Kristina and Wanda (sp) were elected.
- 13 Q Go to this page. This is a flyer that's been
- circulated and passed out in District 4, specifically
- 15 at District 4 executive committee meetings. Have you
- 16 | seen this before?
- 17 | A Nope.
- 18 | 0 Do you --
- 19 A Never seen this in my life.
- 20 | Q -- do you agree and do you support the Doctrine of the
- 21 | Lesser Magistrate?
- MR. THOMAS: Objection. Why is this relevant
- 23 to him in this case?
- 24 MR. DEPERNO: It's just --
- 25 MR. THOMAS: Why?

1	MR. DEPERNO: specifically in District 4.
2	MR. THOMAS: So.
3	MR. DEPERNO: So it's very relevant in our
4	case.
5	MR. THOMAS: What's it relevant to? Because
6	they're going to be ousted out and based on your
7	letter? Is that what it's relevant to?
8	MR. DEPERNO: No, I'm asking the question.
9	MR. THOMAS: Are you trying what's
10	MR. DEPERNO: It's particularly relevant.
11	We've referenced it in our answer and our beliefs.
12	MR. THOMAS: Nobody even knows what lesser
13	magistrate means except for you. You're probably the
14	one that wrote that.
15	BY MR. DEPERNO:
16	Q So do you agree with the Doctrine of the Lesser
17	Magistrate?
18	THE COURT REPORTER: I can't I can't hear.
19	You're crinkling papers and it's cutting out the
20	attorney.
21	THE WITNESS: Anywhere that it aligns with
22	the Constitution, yes, I agree with it.
23	BY MR. DEPERNO:
24	Q In what ways does it align with the Constitution?
25	A I don't know.

1 So you only agree with it when it aligns with the Q 2 Constitution, but you don't know how it aligns 3 with the --I'm going to object. 4 MR. THOMAS: 5 Have you seen this before? THE WITNESS: I've never seen this. 6 7 MR. THOMAS: Do you know what the lesser 8 magistrate is? 9 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I know what that is. was written by Matt Trewhella. He did an event in 10 11 Ottawa and to whatever degree it aligns with the 12 Constitution I agree with it. Just like every other 13 book aside from the Bible. It's a generic statement. 14 BY MR. DEPERNO: 15 Have you studied the Doctrine of the Lesser Magistrate? 0 16 No. Α 17 This is Exhibit 6. Do you know who Dave DeShaw is? 18 Yes. Α 19 Do you have any animosity with Dave DeShaw? 20 No. Α 21 In this first page you talk about Dave DeShaw's ability 22 to confuse. What do you mean by that in the top 23 paragraph? 24 I made a motion, as I stated here, to set aside Rule 20 Α 25 at the state convention on February 18, and his

1	response to it was very confusing to the delegation in
2	my opinion, along with the poor sound system.
3	Q Is it your on page 2 your belief is that there are
4	no rules approved at the beginning of the convention?
5	MR. THOMAS: I'm going to object. Is there a
6	reason why we're going into the February 18th
7	convention where you were on the ballot to be voted in?
8	Is there a reason for this, Matt, other than you
9	haven't conceded that race?
10	MR. DEPERNO: No. I was just talking about
11	his understanding of Robert's Rules and and
12	conventions in general. It's not about me, Jimmy.
13	THE WITNESS: What was the date of this post?
14	MR. THOMAS: I'm hoping the judge sees right
15	through you.
16	MR. DEPERNO: You've got those veins popping
17	up again.
18	THE WITNESS: Matt, what was the date of this
19	post?
20	BY MR. DEPERNO:
21	Q I'm not sure what the date of this post was. I assume
22	it's shortly after
23	A After? Can we assume it's after?
24	Q yeah.
25	A Then I it should have said there were no rules

approved, not there are no rules. I'm not sure why I said "are." It should have been past tense.

Q Okay.

- A There was never a vote to approve the rules by the delegation at the convention. That's what that means.
- Q But doesn't the policy committee of state committee approve the rules prior to the convention?
- A They write the rules. They should propose them to the convention according to Robert's Rules of Order, and then the delegation at the convention should have a vote whether to approve them, or to change them, or to deny them altogether. That's how Robert's Rules works.

Same with the agenda, same with credentials, same with electing the permanent chair of the convention.

None of which was done on February 18. Dave DeShaw messed that up royally. Actually, it wasn't really his fault. It's the rules committee that wrote the agenda and the rules leading into that convention that made the mistake, not Dave. But he defended it so it became his mistake. Taught by J.D. Glaser in this class.

- Q So you're saying it's -- you're saying the J.D.'s teachings are counter to the way Dave DeShaw ran the convention?
- A Absolutely. And I spoke to J.D. personally about that during the convention after the time at which the rules

- and everything else had passed, and we were into the
- 2 election portions, and J.D. stated to me directly that
- 3 he agreed with me.
- 4 | Q What is your understanding of MCL 168.599?
- 5 A Do you want me to read the whole thing to you and tell
- 6 you what I think about it? What do you mean by that?
- 7 | Q Well, just generally what your understanding is of it.
- 8 Do you have knowledge of it?
- 9 A Absolutely.
- 10 Q Okay. When did you first start thinking about 168.599?
- 11 | A When I was a delegate in Ottawa looking at what it
- means to create an executive committee.
- 13 | Q Let's go to this page if you can find it.
- 14 | A In this packet?
- 15 | 0 Yeah.
- 16 A This one?
- 17 | Q Yes.
- 18 A Okay.
- 19 Q You say that bylaws trump MCL.
- 20 A Where do I say that?
- 21 Q Right at the top there.
- 22 A Thomas teaches that. I disagree with that.
- 23 Q Oh, I see. You disagree with that?
- 24 A Absolutely.
- 25 | Q You think the hierarchy as you lay it out is number

- 1 one, the Constitution; two, statutes; and, three, the 2 bylaws? 3 Correct. Α 4 So it's your theory that the statute trumps the bylaws? 5 Α Correct. And you believe that to this day right now? 6 7 Correct. That's in multiple law schools. One example Α would be Florida A&M that has documentation of this 8 9 hierarchy, and I've been told this hierarchy by other 10 lawyers. So if we get into a discussion about -- or if the 11 Q 12 county chairs, the county executive committee, decides
- 16 A Correct.

invalid?

13

14

15

17 Q So you believe then, according to 168.599, that county
18 parties must have an equal number of statutory members
19 and executive members?

to write bylaws to run its county party different than

set forth in 168.599, you think the bylaws would be

20 A That's not what it says. It says nominees, not
21 statutory. It's called nominees in 168.599. Nominees
22 are elected in the primary. Then you must elect in
23 your county convention the same number of delegates as
24 were nominated in the primaries. It's a one-way
25 street.

- 1 Q So does that mean you get more elected members than statutory members?
- 3 A No, you couldn't.
- 4 | Q You couldn't --
- It literally says the delegates need to -- you have to 5 Α elect the same number -- so in Ottawa we had 24 6 7 nominees. We had to select as a delegate -- the group of delegates or delegation at our convention on 8 9 December 1st, 24 people to be on the delegate side, the elected side of the executive committee so that it 10 11 would be 48 people, meaning statutory number is set by 12 the election. That's the general -- the primary

election at 24. Therefore, we must match the 24

- And could you write bylaws that say you could have two-thirds of the executive committee as elected members, and one-third as statutory members?
- 18 A No.

13

14

- 19 Q How did the Democrats get away with that?
- 20 A I don't know anything about what the Democrats do, nor
- 21 do I care. Maybe somebody should file a lawsuit on
- 22 them. I didn't even know they did that.
- 23 | Q Well, they did do that.

equaling 48.

- 24 A Okay. Well, they're wrong. They're violating the law.
- 25 | Q So that gets -- and their bylaws specifically say we

have -- they have two-thirds of their executive 1 committees are elected members and one-third are 2 3 statutory. MR. THOMAS: Is that a question? 4 MR. DEPERNO: Well, I was just trying to give 5 him some --6 7 MR. THOMAS: Well, ask him a question, 8 please. 9 MR. DEPERNO: That's not a question. I was 10 just giving him some --11 MR. THOMAS: He doesn't -- you don't need to 12 inform him of that. You ask questions. That's what 13 we're here for. MR. DEPERNO: No offense, Jimmy. 14 15 BY MR. DEPERNO: 16 So that gets us into the discussion about Heitmanis 17 because Heitmanis ruled that -- that requirement of an 18 equal number was unconstitutional. Do you agree with 19 that? I haven't read the entire case in five years so I'm not 20 Α 21 going to answer whether I agree with it or not. What I 22 can tell you is that I looked through the law, and I see that when laws are deemed unconstitutional there's 23 a process for that, and they're either redacted or 24 25 whatever the word is when you go to a law and it's just

1 gone, and it says -- it starts with an R. It's not redacted. Different word. Repealed. Repealed. So 2 3 there's a process for changing something to not be 4 constitutional where it's no longer on the books. So as far as I'm concerned, as a non-lawyer who can read a 5 law website and read this hierarchy, it's still a 6 7 statute. Is not MCL 168.599 still a statute? 8 So let's go to this page here. It talks -- there's Q 9 this -- see what page it is --The answer is yes. It's still a statute. 10 Α This one here? 11 12 No, it's past that. Q I'm past it or --13 14 No, keep going. Q 15 Okay, that one. 16 This looks like a discussion you were having with Kelly Sackett, and she says the bylaws do trump the 17 18 MCL, and you say false. 19 Α Uh-huh. Heitmanis from 1988 proves the opposite. 20 21 Because I've read two other lawyers opinions on the Α 22 results of Heitmanis, and they were in opposition to 23 what she claimed. Okay. So you say -- let me ask the question. 24 Q 25 Why -- so why do you say Heitmanis v Austin from

- 1 | 1988 proves the --
- 2 A Because I trust the lawyers who wrote the opinions that
- 3 | I read.
- 4 | Q Who are the lawyers that wrote the opinions?
- 5 A Dan Hartman and another lawyer out of Ottawa County.
- 6 0 Named?
- 7 A I'm blanking on his name right now. It's been a while.
- 8 | Q Then you say just because the MIGOP keeps saying it
- 9 doesn't make it true, and then you say we had to fight
- 10 this with the rigid lawsuit in Ottawa.
- 11 | A Rigged.
- 12 | Q Rigged, I'm sorry. Did -- was Heitmanis brought up as
- 13 an issue in the Ottawa case?
- 14 A It was brought up in passing. It was never actually
- used at the end of the day, but it was brought up to
- pass. The judge didn't end up ruling relevance to
- 17 | Heitmanis at all.
- 18 | Q This is a document that has been prepared by MIGOP I
- 19 believe. It's --
- 20 A What date?
- 21 | Q -- I don't know specifically the date. I can tell you
- 22 it's within the last two weeks probably. It's titled
- at the top, "Statement and Policy on Conflict
- 24 Resolution Matters."
- 25 Have you seen this?

- 1 A No.
- 2 Q So at the top it says -- and this is prepared I believe
- 3 by Dan Hartman. It says --
- 4 | A Do you know it's prepared by him or you just believe it
- 5 is?
- 6 Q I believe it is. It's my understanding.
- 7 A How do you understand that?
- 8 | Q That's what I've been told by -- I don't know --
- 9 A By who?
- 10 | Q -- well, it was conveyed by Dan Hartman.
- 11 | A Who told you that Dan Hartman wrote this?
- 12 Q Well, that's not the point. The point is that --
- 13 A I don't believe you that he wrote it.
- 14 Q Okay. He may have wrote it. He may not have wrote it.
- 15 A I'm going to go with he didn't, but go ahead.
- 16 Q Okay. But it talks about at the top that he wants
- 17 | MIGOP to now be Heitmanis compliant. Does that
- 18 | surprise you?
- 19 A Where does it say that? I'd have to read through this.
- 20 I don't know. I don't know what -- I don't know what
- 21 this -- I've never seen this.
- 22 | Q Okay.
- MR. THOMAS: You know what's sad is that I've
- asked for production on this stuff, and you've given me
- 25 none of these papers you're presenting. Is there a

1 reason for that, Matt? 2 BY MR. DEPERNO: 3 So just to be clear, would it surprise you if Dan 0 4 Hartman's view has changed, and he now wants MIGOP to be Heitmanis compliant? 5 I would have to have a full conversation with him on 6 Α 7 that with context. I can't answer that question right 8 now. 9 Further on down in these -- in this stack of Q 10 documents --Which one? This one? 11 Α 12 -- yeah. Four pages from the back. Q 13 In your second paragraph you cite in Kzoo they 14 placed three delegates into nominee positions creating 15 an EC with 21 delegates and 15 nominees. 16 Uh-huh. Α 17 So is it --Q 18 Was that not true? Α 19 -- well, no, I don't think it's true. I think it's completely not true. 20 21 Then what's the truth? Because that's what I've been Α 22 told. 23 So --Q 24 Because you're one of the three. Α 25 -- so in Kalamazoo there's 18 statutory -- 18 nominees, Q

- 1 statutory.
- 2 A So 599 calls them nominee just so we're clear.
- 3 | Q So there'd be 18 elected, correct?
- 4 A 18 delegates elected, yep.
- 5 Q Three of the nominees resigned or refused to take
- 6 office?
- 7 A Or moved or something, yep.
- 8 Q So there'd be 15 of those nominees --
- 9 A Right.
- 10 | 0 -- and 18 elected?
- 11 A Uh-huh.
- 12 Q Make sense?
- 13 | A Yep, absolutely.
- 14 Q So how do you get to the math of 21 delegates and 15
- 15 nominees?
- 16 A Because my understanding is the executive committee
- voted to elect you and two others to fill those three
- 18 roles and you're a delegate. 18 plus 3 is 21.
- 19 Q Is an executive committee able to select alternate
- 20 nominees?
- 21 | A How would you select an alternate nominee? Nominees
- are created by a selection by citizens. Unless there's
- 23 some statute that allows for a different process to
- create a nominee, I would think that the answer to that
- 25 | would be no.

- 1 | Q Okay.
- 2 A And I've never seen one.
- 3 | Q Let's go to the second from the back page.
- 4 | A Oh, J.D. shared his personal message in this email.
- 5 Boy, was that interesting.
- 6 MR. THOMAS: Let me take a picture because I
- 7 don't have any --
- 8 THE WITNESS: It's a private message between
- 9 J.D. and I.
- MR. THOMAS: Yeah.
- 11 THE WITNESS: So I would have -- I don't know
- 12 the law, but I have a question on how this is even -- I
- don't know -- public.
- MR. THOMAS: Don't have to give us any
- discovery so this will be for show cause.
- 16 BY MR. DEPERNO:
- 17 | Q You say I --
- 18 A How did you get this?
- 19 | Q -- well, you say at the --
- 20 A How did you get this?
- 21 | Q -- I think it's --
- 22 A It's a private message between J.D. and I so he gave it
- 23 to you?
- 24 | Q Well --
- 25 A Right? Did you -- did you file something to get it for

1		this case?
2	Q	We're not talking about I'm not answering
3		answering questions. I'm asking questions.
4	A	Do I have to answer your questions on something that
5		you shouldn't have?
6	Q	You have to answer your questions.
7	A	Do I have to answer questions on something you
8		shouldn't have?
9	Q	I'm not here to debate with you. I'm here to ask
10		you
11	A	Did you file a subpoena for him to give you this? Did
12		you?
13	Q	I don't have to do that, but I'm not here to debate
14		you.
15	A	Anybody can give you anything for this case, and you
16		can set up a format and I have to answer questions for
17		it? Is that how this works? I don't know. I'm asking
18		the question.
19	Q	That is how it works, but I'm not here to debate you.
20		I'm just here to answer questions or ask questions
21		and get answers from you.
22		You say at the top I truly pray for you that you
23		find humility, repentance, and redemption.
24		What do you mean by humility, repentance, and
25		redemption?

1 I think those are self-exemplatory [sic]. I don't know Α 2 why I have to answer that. Those were basic common 3 English words. I mean what they mean. Whatever the 4 dictionary says, that's what I mean. Why do you pray for that I guess? 5 Q I would pray that for everybody. Humility, repentance, 6 Α 7 and redemption are wonderful things. I pray that for 8 myself. I think everybody should lead with that. I 9 think that should be -- those are fine -- three fine things in which to strive for in life. 10 11 I'm not questioning whether they are or not. I'm Q 12 trying to get an understanding of your -- your --Because I'm a Christian. Because the Bible calls me to 13 14 care about those things, and so I lead with those. 15 So what does repentance mean to you? 0 16 It means --Α 17 MR. THOMAS: I'm objecting, Matt. What does 18 this have to do with our case? What does repentance 19 have to do with this case? 20 MR. DEPERNO: It has to do with your slander 21 claim. 22 MR. THOMAS: Yeah, what? Tell me what it has 23 to do with it. 24 MR. DEPERNO: You're the one that brought 25 slander, James, and I'm only --

```
1
               MR. THOMAS: Mainly because of the things you
 2
     wrote.
 3
               MR. DEPERNO: I'm able --
 4
               MR. THOMAS: Okay.
 5
               MR. DEPERNO: -- to ask questions about the
     truth of the matter --
 6
 7
               MR. THOMAS: You have a matter for repentance
 8
     and something he said --
9
               MR. DEPERNO: That you allege --
10
               MR. THOMAS: -- he's not a party to this
11
     case.
12
               MR. DEPERNO: You allege slander in your
            I can ask questions about the witness on his
13
     case.
14
     view.
15
               MR. THOMAS: Not him. He's not part of
16
     the -- he's not part of that.
17
               MR. DEPERNO: He is part of the MIGOP --
18
               MR. THOMAS: It's outside the parameters of
19
     what you're supposed to be doing here.
20
               MR. DEPERNO: He's part of --
21
               MR. THOMAS: It's got nothing to do with
     this.
22
               MR. DEPERNO: -- he's chief of staff of the
23
24
    MIGOP --
25
               MR. THOMAS:
                            So what.
```

1 MR. DEPERNO: -- and I'm able to ask him, as 2 chief of staff of the MIGOP, what his view of these 3 words mean. 4 THE WITNESS: This case isn't about MIGOP, and I'm -- and that's -- and MIGOP is autonomous from 5 the parties of this case. So how's that -- so how's me 6 7 being on the MIGOP relevant --MR. THOMAS: He talks out of both sides of 8 9 his mouth. 10 THE WITNESS: I know. 11 MR. THOMAS: It's got nothing to do with it. 12 I'm objecting. You're outside. You're on a fishing 13 expedition. 14 MR. DEPERNO: Well, then do you -- would you 15 agree to dismiss voluntarily your slander claim? 16 MR. THOMAS: No. MR. DEPERNO: If not, then I can ask the 17 18 witness about the truth of the allegations --19 MR. THOMAS: The truth of what allegations? 20 What repentance means? 21 MR. DEPERNO: -- that you allege that the 22 allegation -- that you allege that there were certain 23 statements made that are slander. You say that in your 24 complaint. 25 MR. THOMAS: Yeah.

1	MR. DEPERNO: I can ask him I can get to
2	the truth of the
3	MR. THOMAS: What does J.D. Glaser or him
4	have to do with any defamation claim in this case?
5	MR. DEPERNO: Well, he's the chief of staff
6	for the party
7	MR. THOMAS: So what. What does that have to
8	do with the slander in this case?
9	MR. DEPERNO: I want to get an understanding
10	of his idea of what repentance and
11	MR. THOMAS: What does it have to do with our
12	case?
13	MR. DEPERNO: Again, I'm not going to argue
14	with you. You lay your objection out, fine. Your
15	objection's on the record. Now I'd like the witness to
16	answer the question about what he means by repentance.
17	THE WITNESS: I mean the dictionary
18	definition of the word.
19	BY MR. DEPERNO:
20	Q What do you mean in the context of Christianty?
21	A The dictionary definition of the word.
22	Q And how do you define repentance then?
23	A However the dictionary defines it.
24	Q Well, if you're the one talking about it and praying
25	about it, I assume you have an understanding your

1	own understanding of what repentance means.			
2	MR. THOMAS: Objection. Asked and answered.			
3	He said it's from the dictionary. So if you want to			
4	know, look it up in the dictionary and read it on the			
5	record.			
6	THE COURT REPORTER: Can we take a break			
7	whenever you're able to?			
8	MR. DEPERNO: Sure, if you would like.			
9	That's fine.			
10	THE COURT REPORTER: Is it okay right now?			
11	MR. DEPERNO: Yeah, go ahead. We can take a			
12	break.			
13	THE COURT REPORTER: Thank you.			
14	(Break in proceeding taken from			
15	12:59 p.m. to 1:12 p.m.)			
16	BY MR. DEPERNO:			
17	Q Now you're aware that Ken Beyers and the District 4			
18	chairs called a meeting for Thursday evening, correct?			
19	A Uh-huh.			
20	Q Do you think he has the authority to call that meeting?			
21	MR. THOMAS: Objection. Again, outside the			
22	parameters of our lawsuit. What does it have to do			
23	with it? It's got nothing to do with it so you can			
24	move to the next question.			
25	BY MR. DEPERNO:			

1 Do you believe he has authority to call that meeting? Q It's been objected. 2 3 Well, you can --Q He can call a district meeting for anything he wants. 4 Can he call a district meeting to hold a -- well, as he 5 Q put it, a meeting regarding whether the county chair 6 7 can stay in power? Can a district chair do that? 8 MR. THOMAS: Objection. What does this have 9 to do with our case? You're talking about a fourth 10 district issue. It's got nothing to do with our case. BY MR. DEPERNO: 11 12 Can a 4th --0 13 MR. THOMAS: Do you see what I'm saying to you or are you just ignoring me, Matt? 14 15 I'm -- your -- your objection MR. DEPERNO: 16 is on the record. I don't have to look at you. Your 17 objection is on the record. Now the witness can 18 answer. 19 MR. THOMAS: He doesn't have to answer the question. It's not relevant. You're outside the 20 21 parameters of what the judge ordered. Why don't you 22 obey what the judge --23 MR. DEPERNO: Relevance --MR. THOMAS: -- in this case? 24 25 MR. DEPERNO: -- relevance is not a proper

1 objection. MR. THOMAS: You're on a fishing expedition. 2 3 He said we're not having a fishing expedition. You are fishing for answers. 4 MR. DEPERNO: I'm trying to get an 5 understanding --6 7 MR. THOMAS: It's got nothing to do with our 8 case. 9 I'm trying to get an MR. DEPERNO: understanding of his --10 11 MR. THOMAS: I don't care if you're trying to 12 get -- it's not -- it has nothing to do with this case. BY MR. DEPERNO: 13 14 Can the 4th district chair hold a meeting to determine Q 15 whether the county chair remains in place? 16 Next question. Α 17 Well, I want the answer to that question. Q 18 I don't have an answer to that question. Α 19 You don't know or you don't -- you don't have an opinion? You've not formulated an opinion? You just 20 21 don't know --22 The district chair can hold a meeting for whatever he Α 23 wants. His district committee of 25 individuals can vote on whatever they want, and then you can make a 24 determination once they do so whether it's legal or 25

- not. I don't -- I'm not going to answer anything
- beyond that. I'm not on District 4.
- 3 | Q When did you first meet Sabrina Pritchett-Evans?
- 4 A Sometime in the last year. I don't remember.
- 5 Q Do you remember when you first started to communicate
- 6 with Sabrina Pritchett-Evans?
- 7 A No.
- 8 Q Did you discuss her position with the Kalamazoo County
- 9 Republican Party?
- 10 A What does that mean? What position?
- 11 | Q Any position she has within the party.
- 12 A No.
- 13 | O Did you discuss with her -- for her -- regarding her
- ambition for running for chair of the KGOP?
- 15 A No.
- 16 | O Did you encourage her to run for the executive
- 17 | committee for the KGOP?
- 18 A No.
- 19 | Q When did you first meet Kim Harris?
- 20 A Sometime in the last year.
- 21 | Q When did you start communicating with Kim Harris?
- 22 A Sometime after I met her in the last year. I don't
- remember. I don't have all these dates in my head.
- 24 | Q Did you discuss her position within the KGOP?
- 25 A Nope.

- 1 Q You just --
- 2 | A I mean, when? Any time in the last year?
- 3 | Q -- yeah.
- 4 | A We all talk about our roles as delegates and other
- 5 things. What does that mean?
- 6 Q Have you discussed with her running for state
- 7 committee?
- 8 A State committee? The state committee?
- 9 Q Yeah.
- 10 | A On -- explain that again.
- 11 | Q Do you know what the state committee is?
- 12 A Yeah. I'm well aware of what that is. Of the
- 13 | Republican Party?
- 14 | Q Yes. Have you discussed with Kim Harris her running
- 15 | for state committee?
- 16 A If I have, I don't remember it.
- 17 | Q Would you want Kim Harris to be elected as the state
- 18 | committee person from Kalamazoo County?
- 19 A What does that have to do with the case with three
- 20 people getting improperly put on the executive
- 21 | committee in Kalamazoo?
- 22 | Q Did you have discussions with Kim Harris --
- 23 A I love Kim. I think she's great.
- 24 | Q -- did you want Kim --
- 25 | A I like lots of people.

1	Q did you want Kim Harris to be the state committee				
2	nominee from Kalamazoo County?				
3	(Indiscernible crosstalk.)				
4	MR. THOMAS: How is that even part of this?				
5	THE WITNESS: There's more than one nominee.				
6	What do you mean?				
7	BY MR. DEPERNO:				
8	Q Did you want her to be one of the nominees?				
9	A I wanted lots of people to be nominees. I think she				
10	would have been great.				
11	Q When did you first meet Anna Kamp?				
12	A Irrelevant. This Anna Kamp we're not doing it.				
13	MR. THOMAS: You know what, that's it's				
14	outside the boundaries. The judge already said				
15	THE WITNESS: Now you're on a fishing				
16	expedition that I will not participate in.				
17	MR. DEPERNO: The judge says the judge				
18	said we're entitled to all communications with Anna				
19	Kamp.				
20	MR. THOMAS: It was quashed.				
21	MR. DEPERNO: That's not what the order says.				
22	MR. THOMAS: It was quashed.				
23	MR. DEPERNO: No. The judge still said in				
24	his order that we're entitled to communications which				
25	had to be produced by Friday. All communications with				

1	the witness Joe Studebaker and Anna Kamp and Mike				
2	Labadie. We're entitled to those communications so we				
3	didn't				
4	MR. THOMAS: We were entitled to stuff too				
5	and you never produced any of it. How about that?				
6	BY MR. DEPERNO:				
7	Q So when did you first meet Anna Kamp?				
8	A Move on. Irrelevant to the case.				
9	Q It's not irrelevant.				
10	A It is irrelevant.				
11	Q Are you refusing to answer?				
12	A It's irrelevant to the case. You can put down whatever				
13	you want.				
14	Q When did you first meet Mike Labadie?				
15	A Same answer. Move on.				
16	Q Do you think Mike Labadie's name should not be				
17	mentioned?				
18	A I don't know what that means				
19	MR. THOMAS: What kind of question is that?				
20	Objection. What does that mean?				
21	THE WITNESS: What does that mean?				
22	MR. THOMAS: That his name should not be				
23	mentioned in what? What context?				
24	MR. DEPERNO: I'm just asking the question.				
25	MR. THOMAS: It's not a question.				

1 MR. DEPERNO: You can object to the form of the question. You could say objection to the form, can 2 3 you rephrase that, but you can't object to the relevance. So --4 MR. THOMAS: I didn't say relevance. 5 THE WITNESS: Well, I can. I'm not -- I'm 6 7 not going to answer questions on that. Go ahead and do your legal crap. It ain't happening. 8 9 BY MR. DEPERNO: 10 0 Why isn't it happening? I'm not talking to you about people that are totally 11 Α 12 irrelevant to the case that have nothing to do with Kalamazoo. You're not building it -- you're on --13 14 you've been on a fishing expedition the whole day, we 15 all know it, and we know what you're going after. 16 I'll say it now. I don't know what your deal is. You're posting on telegram. You think that we cheated 17 18 to have Kristina win -- I saw you post that -- and yet 19 you concede the election to Nessel the day after the 20 election. 21 So you were supposed to be Mr. Election Integrity, 22 and you're completely backwards on that. So I have 23 no --24 Do you support --25 -- answers or respect for you in that regard in any Α

1 way, shape, or form. Do you support election integrity issues? 2 3 What does that mean? Α 4 Do you support efforts to ensure that our elections are free and fair and --5 Absolutely. I love election integrity. I wish we had 6 Α 7 it. And you and I had a two-hour conversation on the 8 phone about that so you darn well know where I sit on 9 that. 10 Q Have you ever talked to Tony Lorenz? I don't even know who that is. 11 Α 12 The prior chair of the KGOP. Q 13 Α Okay. 14 Have you ever had a conversation --Q 15 MR. THOMAS: Objection. Asked and answered. He said no. 16 MR. DEPERNO: Well, he didn't say that. 17 18 said who is it. So I explained who he is and now maybe 19 he has better -- maybe that helps him in his memory to recall who he is. 20 21 THE WITNESS: If I don't know who they are, that means I've never talked to them. 22 23 BY MR. DEPERNO: 24 So your testimony under oath today is you never talked 25 to Tony Lorenz?

- 1 A Not only have I not talked to that person, I don't know
- 2 who they are.
- 3 Q When did you first meet Dan Hartman?
- 4 A December.
- 5 | Q Are you part of Michigan Precinct First?
- 6 A It doesn't -- that doesn't exist so I'm not part of it.
- 7 | Q Isn't that the group that Anna Kamp runs?
- 8 | A It doesn't exist anymore.
- 9 Q Anymore, but it did at one point?
- 10 A I think you know the answer to that.
- 11 | Q I believe she still has a website up, doesn't she?
- 12 A She might have a website. I haven't been to it in
- 13 quite a while.
- 14 Q Was it dissolved, Michigan Precinct First?
- 15 A In terms of what?
- 16 Q Just not operating anymore.
- 17 | A You could argue that.
- 18 | Q Why? Do you know why?
- 19 A I'm not -- that's not relevant to this. Nor is it in
- any way, shape, or form touch this case. So if you're
- 21 going to go on a fishing expedition on MI Precinct
- 22 First, you can move on.
- 23 | Q Do you condone individuals who are no longer precinct
- 24 delegates voting at state committee?
- 25 A What?

1	A	Do you condone individuals who are no longer precinct				
2		delegates voting at state committee?				
3	A	Is that just some kind of hypothetical bullcrap? What				
4		is that?				
5	Q	It's not a hypothetical. Do you condone				
6		MR. THOMAS: I'm objecting to the form. I				
7		don't even understand it.				
8		MR. DEPERNO: There you go, objection to				
9	form.					
10		MR. THOMAS: Yeah, well, I don't understand				
11	the question so					
12	MR. DEPERNO: Okay. So now I can try to					
13	rephrase the que.					
14	MR. THOMAS: Go ahead and rephrase it. I					
15	don't know I don't think you're					
16	BY MR. DEPERNO:					
17	Q	If a person is no longer a delegate, are they allowed				
18		to vote on state committee?				
19	A	The only people that can vote on state committee are				
20		state committee members. I what is your question?				
21		What are you getting at? Just get to the point.				
22	Q	Are you do you have to be a delegate precinct				
23		delegate to be on state committee?				
24	A	You're elected to state committee at a district				
25		convention, right? Right?				
	l					

Q You're answering the question.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- A The answer is yes. You're elected to state committee at a district convention. The members at that state committee are elected to go to that convention, and run for that by their counties in a convention of delegates. So by a logical connection of the steps to get there, then, yeah, you've got to be a delegate to be on state committee. I'm guessing you have some example of someone that you think is not a delegate that's on state committee, or otherwise I don't know
- 12 Q Well, Kim Harris is no longer a delegate, and she's 13 been voting on state committee.
- 14 A She's not on state committiee.
- 15 0 She is on state committee.
- 16 A Really? She's on D-4 state committee?

where you're going with this.

- 17 | Q Isn't Kim Harris a state committee member?
- 18 A Okay. I'll stand corrected on that. Yes. You also
- don't have proof that she's not a delegate.
- 20 Q So if she was not a delegate you would agree that she couldn't vote --
- 22 | A I don't agree that she's not a delegate.
- 23 Q -- if she wasn't a delegate, you'd agree that she couldn't vote on state committee?
- 25 A I'd have to go back and look at the bylaws on that.

1 The process would indicate that delegates are the only 2 ones funneled to that position. I don't know if the 3 rules -- if they're -- and I don't know that the rules even say that. Either way, she is a delegate, yeah, 4 5 state committee. Sorry, my brain is dying here. I need lunch. You 6 7 were supposed to feed me lunch at noon. 8 Who is? Q 9 You. You make me come here. Where's my lunch? That's Α 10 a joke. Laugh. But I am hungry. MR. DEPERNO: Would you like to take a break 11 12 for lunch? THE WITNESS: No, keep going. How much 13 14 longer you got? 15 MR. DEPERNO: Not much longer. 16 THE WITNESS: Okay. BY MR. DEPERNO: 17 18 Do you -- at this time is there anything that you've 19 said today that you'd like to change your answer to? 20 No. Α 21 Are you going to be present in court tomorrow morning? I believe so. 22 Α 23 Is that a yes or no? 24 I'm understanding that I have to be there so I'm 25 planning on being here.

1 Are you surprised that you were selected by Jimmy Q Thomas as a, quote, expert, end quote --2 3 MR. THOMAS: Objection to form. I never said he was an expert. You're now getting into work 4 5 product. BY MR. DEPERNO: 6 7 Are you surprised that you were called as a witness to 0 8 testify tomorrow? 9 Α No. 10 Why were you not surprised? Because I'm not surprised. There's no, like, genius 11 Α 12 answer to that. 13 0 Okay. 14 I'm just not surprised. Α 15 MR. DEPERNO: I don't have any other 16 questions for the witness. MR. THOMAS: We're done. 17 18 (Deposition concluded at 1:30 p.m.) 19 Transcript of JOEL STUDEBAKER Please do not share, forward, duplicate or copy to 20 21 share with anyone outside of your offices. Please do 22 not distribute a transcript to others for future sales, 23 monetary gain, or any other purpose without paying the 24 ordinary and customary charges for any and all 25 additional transcripts.

1 STATE OF MICHIGAN) 2)ss. 3 COUNTY OF OAKLAND) 4 5 I certify that this transcript is a complete, true 6 7 and accurate record of the testimony of JOEL STUDEBAKER to the best of my ability. 8 9 I also certify that prior to taking this 10 11 deposition JOEL STUDEBAKER was duly sworn by me to tell 12 the truth. 13 14 I also certify that I am not a relative or 15 employee of a party, or a relative or employee of an 16 attorney for a party, have a contract with a party, or am financially interested in the aforementioned action. 17 18 19 20 eborah Linehan 21 Deborah A. Linehan, CER 7251 22 23 Notary Public, Oakland County, Michigan 24 My commission expires 12-08-25

25

Job 24808 Index: 02..about

0	2	6	
02 10:8	2 10:18 11:15 12:5 33:14 43:14 50:23 53:15 91:3	6 46:18 90:17 6th 41:10	
1	20 72:11 90:24	001 41.10	
4. 40:40.40:40.00:0	200 6:7	7	
1 10:18 13:16 32:9	2002 10:4	7 44 00 47 0	
10 80:5	2022 12:16,18,21 13:4,15	7 44:20 47:6	
100 77:23	2023 4:1 64:2	7th 41:13	
10:31 4:2	21 100:15 101:14,18	8	
10th 42:1	23 16:14		
11/16 77:24	24 16:14 95:6,9,13	8 64:2	
11:00 26:18	24th 6:7	83 71:1	
11:10 26:18	25 6:13 77:10 110:23	8th 41:16	
11th 42:4	26 77:9	9	
12:29 85:20	29 79:24		
12:59 108:15	2:55 77:12	9 48:8,11,12 51:17 52:11,17,21	
12th 42:7	2nd 42:20	99 10:8	
13th 42:10		9th 41:19	
15 13:8,15,17 88:11 100:15 101:8,14	3	Α	
16 13:8 59:13	3 6:5 43:8 44:19 50:23 101:18		
168.599 13:17 93:4,10 94:14,	30 62:22	A&m 94:8	
17,21 97:7	34 80:5	a.m. 4:2 26:18	
16th 16:10,13		ability 5:11,18,23 21:7 30:1 31:1 54:13 58:7,10,12,15,25	
17th 49:6 77:11		63:15 90:21	
18 90:25 92:15 100:25 101:3,4, 10,18		able 8:14 11:23 21:2 23:16	
18th 91:6	4 17:12 29:16,18 44:19 49:5 50:22 82:8 88:14,15 89:1 108:17 111:2	24:2 30:16 81:24 101:19 105:3 106:1 108:7	
19 4:1		about 11:19 14:18 18:11,15	
1980 6:5	48 95:11,14	19:16 20:17,19 21:1,2,14,15,	
1988 97:20 98:1	49426 6:7	21 22:1 23:17 24:2 28:25 29:10,14,16,17,18,24 30:4,7,	
1998 6:13	4th 43:5 50:22 109:12 110:14	11 32:3,4,6,8 34:1,18 35:15,16	
1:12 108:15		36:15 37:23,24 38:5 40:7,9,13 41:1 43:19,22,24 44:22,23	
1:30 121:18	5	45:2 51:17 53:8 55:12 56:14 57:2 62:5 6 67:4 70:25 72:11	
1st 16:13 42:15,17 95:9	5 44:15,19 80:15,18	57:3 62:5,6 67:4 70:25 72:11, 16,17 74:1,2,7,9 75:10,12,16, 19 76:7,14,19 77:10 79:24	
	599 101:2	82:15,24 87:9,14 90:21 91:10, 12 92:24 93:6,10 94:11 95:20	

105:5,13 106:4,18 107:16,24, 25 109:9 112:4 114:5 115:11 116:8

above 75:6 84:19

absolutely 21:7 25:7 26:3 29:4 30:2 44:18,24 45:6,11 46:25 61:21 79:4 92:24 93:9, 24 101:13 116:6

accept 47:22 51:23 53:2 59:3 64:12

according 13:16 47:21 52:16 53:8 92:9 94:17

accounting 10:6 17:25 22:15 23:6,7,12,13 24:6

acknowledge 65:12,13

across 80:22

act 55:5

acting 71:25 72:3

action 60:15 64:8

actions 43:18 44:3,10 57:1 64:22 79:21

actively 45:25 78:7

actually 20:7 27:4 28:8,9 29:17 47:24 48:18 60:2,7,9 71:15 73:25 77:10 82:5 92:16 98:14

additional 121:25

address 6:6,8,10

adheres 79:20

administration 87:25

admit 31:17 46:16

ads 9:11

advantage 47:7

advertising 9:15

advice 25:1 26:12 28:3,4,5,7, 8,12,15,16,17,18,20 29:5 30:1, 5,11,17 31:16,17,18 32:8,14 34:12 42:16,19,22,25

advise 24:25 57:15

advised 24:9,16,18,24 26:1,4, 9 27:5,10 28:1 30:20 40:1 48:1

advising 27:7

affect 5:8.11.15.18

affecting 5:23

affiliation 62:14

after 4:12 13:4 17:4 71:14 88:12 91:22,23 92:25 111:22 115:15,19

again 18:8 19:3 27:19 28:2 31:6 36:6,8 38:1 42:15 44:1,13 50:18 51:24 52:5 56:2,5 57:4 58:5 69:24 78:11 86:13 91:17 107:13 108:21 112:10

against 8:3,12,13 45:6 49:10, 13 53:20

agenda 50:22 92:13,17

ago 77:8

agree 16:8 52:21 56:17 59:7 64:16 65:18,22 80:25 82:15 83:10 88:20 89:16,22 90:1,12 96:18,21 106:15 119:20,22,23

agreed 45:12 50:24 93:3

agreement 4:7

ahead 33:1 39:3,6 99:15 108:11 115:7 118:14

aiming 34:3,4,7 54:17

ain't 115:8 align 89:24

alignment 34:9

aligns 89:21 90:1,2,11

Allegan 49:9

allegation 106:22

allegations 106:18,19

allege 105:9,12 106:21,22

alleviate 8:16

alliances 45:5

Allor 33:9 42:16

allow 51:14 55:13 59:7,8,12 68:17,20

allowed 48:21 85:23 118:17

allows 101:23

along 44:12 91:2

already 35:17 39:14,16 49:9

50:21 55:19 80:14 84:8 113:14

Index: above..answers

Job 24808

also 14:19 15:23 23:6 40:6 47:16 64:6 119:18

alternate 101:19,21

altogether 92:12

always 11:24

am 23:8 47:16 84:23 120:10

ambition 111:14

amend 47:22

Amended 10:19

Amendment 32:7 75:17,22

America 82:2

anarchists 69:12

and/or 64:5

Andy 33:14 42:19

animosity 90:19

Anna 113:11,12,18 114:1,7

117:7

Anne 41:16

announce 52:22

announced 53:10

announces 52:20

announcing 53:3

another 98:5

answer 5:2,11,18,24 8:4,5
19:10,11,16,19 21:7 23:10
26:14,24 27:1,5,8,11 32:4
36:3,22 40:6,7 42:14 43:2,6
50:18,19 56:8 58:6 61:2 68:4
69:7 70:4 71:9,11,18 73:10
74:8,10 76:12 82:6 83:14 84:3,
9,19 89:11 96:21 97:10 100:7
101:24 103:4,6,7,16,20 104:2
107:16 109:18,19 110:17,18
111:1 114:11,15 115:7 117:10
119:2 120:19 121:12

answered 39:14,15 83:16,19, 22 84:7 86:9 87:2 108:2 116:15

answering 26:8 44:11 103:2, 3 119:1

answers 20:16 103:21 110:4 115:25

any 5:7,10,14,17,21,22 6:14, 17,20 7:22 8:11,12 9:18 10:9, 14 12:1,8,24 13:1 16:21 17:4, 7,9,16,18 28:4,8 30:3,10,15,16 31:18 32:13,14 33:9,15,17 34:12 41:8,10,14,17,20,24 42:2,5,8,11,16,19,22 52:3 53:22 54:25 58:4 65:7 67:16, 24 68:7 71:1 79:15 81:24 90:19 102:7,14 107:4 111:11 112:2 114:5 115:25 117:20 121:15,23,24

anybody 11:13,18 20:9 28:15 31:18 103:15

anymore 70:20 117:8,9,16

anyone 66:25 121:21

anything 18:21 28:13 30:7 32:2 38:2 51:17 69:12 75:19 76:19 95:20 103:15 109:4 111:1 120:18

Anywhere 89:21

apparently 7:1 27:14 54:12 75:4

appears 72:12

approach 65:11,21

appropriate 23:15 39:9,13,18 40:13,15 50:12 75:10,15

appropriately 63:22

approval 72:3

approve 51:4 92:4,7,11

approved 91:4 92:1

Approximately 4:2

April 80:5 88:11

area 23:7

aren't 82:9

argue 21:22 67:16 107:13 117:17

arguing 21:24,25 56:14

around 82:5

article 87:1,5

articles 87:8

aside 48:8,11,12 52:6 90:13, 24

ask 19:3 21:14 22:1 24:2 27:19 28:2,13 30:3,16 38:20 39:19 40:2,12 46:9 57:21 61:17 70:18 73:13 83:1 96:7,12 97:24 103:9,20 105:5,13 106:1,17 107:1

asked 33:3 35:4 36:5,23 39:15 57:20 65:12 72:19 74:25 83:16,19,22,23 84:7 86:9 87:2 99:24 108:2 116:15

asking 4:25 5:1 18:11 21:15 25:24 30:5,6 33:12 37:13 40:8, 11 43:21 46:7 52:14 55:16 58:5 60:24 61:1 63:8 71:5,9 74:21 75:1,14,23 76:13,23 77:2,4,6 83:12 89:8 103:3,17 114:24

asks 26:25

assume 44:11 77:14 85:9,11, 14,16 91:21,23 107:25

assumed 71:13

assuming 66:18 76:19,21 78:2 85:12

assumption 56:20,22

assure 8:21

attempted 79:17

attempting 80:21

attend 10:7

attention 85:3

attorney 14:6,8,14 15:3,6 26:21,23 27:14 30:3 37:2,3 38:23,25 46:10,11 56:12,25 63:22 89:20

August 12:14,18,21 13:4

Austin 97:25

authority 51:25 52:11 57:13, 14,25 66:9 67:23,24 108:20 109:1

autonomous 39:21,22 58:10 106:5

available 27:14

Avenue 6:7

aware 86:24 108:17 112:12

away 95:19

Awesome 30:21

В

Job 24808

Index: any..being

back 20:24 28:2 35:8 42:15 43:21 45:4 51:19 52:19 65:14 66:16 80:17 100:12 102:3 119:25

backwards 115:22

bad 53:25 56:17

baked 56:19.23

Ballard 53:4

ballot 62:20 91:7

banned 78:2.3

Barb 42:1

Barely 41:23

base 61:22

based 27:2 29:22 30:23 32:10

89:6

basic 4:23 104:2

became 92:19

because 8:2,8 11:23 19:19 21:19 22:2 25:25 26:10 27:19 28:7,8 34:22 37:14 38:5 39:21 40:16,22 46:6 47:4 48:17,19 49:9,13,24 51:3 53:5 55:9 56:10 58:8,14 60:10,24 61:23 63:1 66:22 67:9 69:5 70:16,18 73:15,17 75:14 76:6,13,17 86:16 89:5 96:17 97:21 98:2,8 100:21,24 101:16 102:6 104:13 105:1 121:11

been 4:13 6:14,17,20,22 7:21 12:18,21,24 13:1,20 16:19 17:9 44:11 46:8 47:15 48:8 49:9,11 53:11 62:21 63:13,17 71:17 72:5 78:21 80:14 82:21 84:22 85:3,8 88:13 92:2 94:9 98:7,18 99:8 100:21 109:2 113:10 115:14 117:12 119:13

before 4:21 5:2 6:10 22:17,18 56:10 87:6 88:16 90:5

beginning 91:4

behind 45:21,24 49:22

being 4:4,6 29:21 41:2 66:3

70:19 79:17 84:5 106:7 120:25

Belch 51:21 53:4

belief 46:2,3 54:25 91:3

beliefs 89:11

believe 5:22 43:20 45:24 46:3, 4,6,7 47:14,24 48:7,12,17,18 49:24 50:1,3 52:10 54:23 65:23 74:11 81:3 82:13 94:6, 17 98:19 99:2,4,6,13 109:1 117:11 120:22

Bell 27:13

below 65:6

Ben 72:12,14

best 50:18,19 51:10,11 52:6 54:2,3 55:19,20,23 58:6

best-case 56:6

better 43:16 44:2,5 52:7 54:7, 10 55:15,20,25 76:17 116:19

between 20:8 40:2 102:8,22

Beyer 34:11,16,18 35:2 36:5 37:24 40:12,13 43:5

Beyer's 36:2 73:7

Beyers 108:17

Beyers' 39:8

beyond 11:16 63:25 65:8 66:3 111:2

biased 52:8

Bible 90:13 104:13

Bill 78:9 79:10

birth 6:4

blah 65:7

blank 35:18

blanking 98:7

blip 86:2

blue 32:22

bold 65:6

book 90:13

books 97:4

boot 16:25 17:2,4

born 24:23

both 47:23 59:12 106:8

bottom 43:11 47:6 59:13 80:5

boundaries 18:8 36:9,10 113:14

bounds 18:16,21 19:20 21:5

Boy 102:5 **brain** 120:6

brand 68:21

break 26:17 52:16 57:20,22 85:19 108:6,12,14 120:11

Brendan 79:22,24,25

bring 12:1 18:13,23 20:14,24

bringing 58:24

broader 69:22

brought 85:3,8 98:12,14,15 104:24

BS 10:6

build 45:5 51:2 53:24 80:21

builder 9:9

building 54:20 81:13 115:13

bullcrap 60:16 118:3

bunch 52:25

business 7:25 8:1,19,20,22 9:3,16 17:24 22:16,18 50:20 55:21 56:7

businesses 9:14

busy 71:17

bylaws 29:25 64:5,19 66:5,16, 22,23 67:15 68:15,17 71:10 72:9 80:8 93:19 94:2,4,13,14 95:15,25 97:17 119:25

Byron 6:11

C

Calder 48:2

call 27:12 35:6,23 47:23 48:1 71:3 108:20 109:1,4,5

called 4:12 9:8 15:10 29:22,23 35:22 38:9 59:23 78:21 94:21

108:18 121:7

calling 27:21 67:25 68:11

Job 24808

Index: Belch..cause

calls 101:2 104:13

Calm 86:10

camp 16:25 17:2,4

can 4:16 5:3 7:3 8:5,15,21 9:3, 13 12:12 14:2,23 18:9,13,23 20:14,24 25:22 26:16 27:15 28:13 29:14 33:5,6,7 34:23 38:3,23 39:1,2 40:12 41:3 43:23 44:25 46:3 47:21 50:5, 18 56:10 62:15 63:20 64:25 67:19 73:25 74:4,16 75:2,23 82:19 83:1 91:23 93:13 96:22 97:5 98:21 103:15,16 105:13 106:17 107:1 108:6,11,23 109:3,4,5,7,12,17 110:14,22, 23,24 114:12 115:1,2,6 117:22 118:12.19

can't 8:12 15:4 21:5 38:15 59:11,12 60:14 66:14 70:18 80:5 87:25 89:18 100:7 115:3

candidates 79:15

cannot 67:19

capacity 27:25 37:16

care 21:21 29:10 30:24 36:11, 12 50:20 70:16,21 95:21 104:14 110:11

Carpenter 41:19,22 42:14

carry 11:24

case 7:20,21 11:3 14:20 15:18,23 18:5 19:1 20:12,21, 22 21:13 23:23 29:15 34:23,24 35:14,17,19 38:2,5,7,12,14 40:16,17,18,25 43:10 49:23,25 50:7,10 54:16 55:18,22,24,25 56:5 57:11,25 60:9 73:3 78:12, 17 79:5 80:20 82:20 83:5 88:23 89:4 96:20 98:13 103:1, 15 104:18,19 105:11,13 106:4, 6 107:4,8,12 109:9,10,24 110:8,12 112:19 114:8,12 115:12 117:20

cases 55:5,6,7

caucus 49:5 53:19

cause 8:19 27:17 102:15

causing 56:2	chitchat 11:16,20 41:23	commissioner 79:17
Center 6:11	choice 53:20 58:24 59:11	committee 7:16,18,19 11:8,9
certain 106:22	chose 48:4	13:12 14:11,15,18 15:1,2,5,14, 17 16:7,19 17:12 47:4,5 48:21 61:4,5,9,19 69:23 71:21 72:3, 6,8 80:24 82:12 88:8,15 92:6, 17 93:12 94:12 95:10,16 101:16,19 110:23 111:17 112:7,8,11,15,18,21 113:1 117:24 118:2,18,19,20,23,24 119:2,4,8,10,13,15,16,17,24 120:5
certainly 8:14 21:2 23:16,18	chosen 59:10	
24:5 27:20,24 30:12 62:3	Christian 36:3 104:13	
certification 17:5,7	Christians 85:23	
certifications 10:14,16,17	Christianty 107:20	
chair 13:5 16:17,19 20:23	circulated 88:14	
24:12,13,15 31:9 32:9,13,16, 17,25 33:8,14,19,24 34:11	cite 100:13	
39:20 41:7,10,13,16,19 42:1,4,	citizens 62:6,10,11,12,13,16, 17 101:22	committees 67:20,22 96:2
7,10,15 43:5 47:23 52:19,24 53:3,5,10,18 57:6,10,24 61:1,		committiee 119:14
3,6,8,19 63:15 66:9 69:19	civil 6:20 7:22	common 28:17 45:19 104:2
71:21,25 72:7 78:19 79:25 92:14 109:6,7 110:14,15,22	claim 60:14 83:1 104:21	communicate 111:5
111:14 116:12	106:15 107:4	communicated 64:1
chairman 24:13	claimed 97:23	communicating 111:21
chairs 24:9,18,24 27:25 28:5,	claiming 59:5	communication 65:6
6,22 29:1,10 31:7,11,20 32:1 48:2 49:13 50:24 51:1 52:10,	claims 55:11	communications 113:18,24,
22 53:16 67:17,19 79:3 94:12 108:18	clarified 87:4	25 114:2
chairwoman 24:14	class 67:17 92:20	company 8:9,24
	clean 5:3	compared 16:13
challenges 46:16	clear 11:16 27:4 49:14 64:6,20 86:24 100:3 101:2	complain 54:11
challenging 75:22 76:18	clearly 37:20 56:11 84:23	complaint 106:24
chance 54:2,4	clerk 63:1,2,6,9,14,21,23	complete 60:15 86:1,3
change 28:25 92:11 120:19 changed 16:15 47:8,9 50:15	64:11,12,25 65:9,14,19 67:4 70:11,16,18 71:1	completely 5:12,19,24 40:10, 13 62:5 69:21 100:20 115:22
51:13 80:7 87:15 100:4	clerk's 63:24 64:2,6,9,16 65:21,22,23 66:4,18 67:14 70:21,24	compliant 99:17 100:5
changing 97:3		concede 115:19
chaos 69:15		conceded 20:12,23 91:9
charge 88:2	clients 9:10	concern 8:16,17 87:11
charges 56:16 121:24	clue 43:21	concerned 97:5
Charley 51:9	coaching 11:23	concluded 121:18
chat 84:23 85:1	coffee 77:11	conclusion 73:17
cheated 115:17	college 9:24 10:3	conditions 5:7,10
Cheryl 42:10	combining 52:13 56:2	condone 84:6,14 117:23
chief 17:22,23 23:8 32:24 35:21 36:1,16 37:12,13,14,15,	come 52:19 59:1 69:15 72:6 77:5 80:17 120:9	118:1,5 condoned 84:4
21 39:18 73:18,21 78:15,19	comment 31:5	Conflict 98:23
84:5,14 87:11,20 105:23 106:2 107:5	comments 83:21 84:2,4,6,15, 21 86:25	confuse 90:22

Job 24808

confusing 91:1

conjecture 50:5

connection 119:6

connects 58:25

consent 15:11,12,13,18

consented 16:3

conservative 43:17 44:3,9

considering 54:9

constitute 28:20

constitutes 28:7

Constitution 32:10 34:2,8,10 43:4 44:12 60:4 81:24 89:22, 24 90:2,12 94:1

constitutional 48:23 97:4

contempt 27:17

content 41:25 86:3

context 34:4 44:7,13,14 58:21 59:16 73:4 76:16 81:7 84:18 100:7 107:20 114:23

contradictions 36:21

contradicts 56:24

control 45:4,13,18 60:17,21 61:1

controls 66:7

convention 13:11,14,16,25 15:14,20 16:9 47:15,17,19 48:20 51:16 52:1 54:3 59:2,4 67:25 71:4 90:25 91:4,7 92:5, 7,9,10,14,18,23,25 94:23 95:8 118:25 119:3,4,5

conventions 91:12

conversation 11:21 72:11 73:16 74:2 100:6 116:7,14

conversations 33:22

conveyed 99:10

convicted 6:14

Cool 33:17,21 34:17 42:22,25 43:6

copy 121:20

Cornerstone 10:2 corporation 22:14

correct 7:9,23 11:1,2,4,9 12:10,16,17 13:15 15:21 16:11 22:21 34:19 49:16 50:25 61:4 65:1 66:3,11,13 70:15 72:4,22 82:12 84:23 88:4,6 94:3,5,7,16 101:3 108:18

corrected 119:18

correctly 15:16

Costantino 42:10

could 8:16 9:5 19:13 27:12 35:7 37:2 39:23 46:6 51:11,12 53:10,11,18,21,25 59:10 67:24 74:25 95:15 115:2 117:17

couldn't 95:3,4 119:21,24

counsel 88:7

counter 92:22

counties 39:22 48:10,18,19 49:15 51:15 52:18 71:1 119:5

county 7:6,7,8,14,16 11:7,9 12:12,22,24 13:5,14 14:5,9,11 16:16 34:19 35:3 45:13,14,17, 22 46:5,23 48:2,7,9,14 49:9 50:24 51:1,12 52:10,12,22,24 53:3,5,10,12,16,18,20 57:7,18 58:1,9,23 59:1,4 60:17,20 62:21 63:1,2,6,9,14,21,23 64:4,19 65:9,14 68:2,13,19 70:11 71:20,21,25 78:7,9,14, 15 79:3,9,11,16 80:24 94:12, 13,17,23 98:5 109:6 110:15 111:8 112:18 113:2

county's 51:24 52:2 53:2

course 18:6,9 25:18 40:4 54:21 85:5,13

court 4:24 15:9 18:22 19:14 27:12,13,21,22 29:23 37:7 50:1 54:22 85:17 86:10 89:18 108:6,10,13 120:21

Court's 27:2 66:14

courts 50:8 54:13,23 55:4,13, 22

CPL 10:12

crap 115:8

crazy 85:23

create 69:15 93:12 101:24

created 101:22

creating 100:14

credential 71:4

credentials 21:3 29:20 30:20

92:13

crime 6:15,18

crinkling 89:19

critical 29:4

cross 62:15

crosstalk 19:6 25:8 37:6 86:20 113:3

currency 81:19,20

current 49:18

currently 7:24 45:12 79:16

customary 121:24

cutting 89:19

CV 30:19

D

D-4 47:15 77:21 119:16

damage 8:19,20

damaging 8:21

Dan 33:17,21,22 34:17 41:13 42:22,25 43:6 85:22 86:8,25 88:4,6,10 98:5 99:3,10,11 100:3 117:3

darn 116:8

date 6:4 17:15 24:20 91:13,18, 21 98:20,21

dates 63:4 111:23

Dave 26:7 90:17,19,21 92:15, 19,22

day 24:23 50:6 94:6 98:15 115:14,19

DC 45:16

deal 68:14,16 87:24 115:16

dealing 50:11

death 67:16

debate 38:17,21 103:9,13,19

debating 61:17

December 13:8,15,16,17 16:13 95:9 117:4

decide 49:22

decides 94:12

decision 15:8 69:22

decisions 50:12 54:4,5 67:19

declaration 58:3

deemed 96:23

defamation 83:1,4 107:4

defend 31:1

defendant 6:23 7:2,14 14:16, 17,19 15:1,23 20:21

defendants 11:6

defended 92:19

define 28:12,13,16,20 34:7 73:24 76:11 81:17 82:2 107:22

defines 107:23

defining 79:12,13

definitely 51:21 72:24

definition 24:25 28:3 31:17 107:18,21

degree 9:24 10:5 90:11

delegate 12:14,19 16:14
33:23 34:19 35:3 37:23 59:25
60:5 62:9,21 63:11,25 64:7,13,
21,25 65:20 66:4 68:2 70:10,
12,19 71:3 72:10 80:22 81:3,5,
13,18 93:11 95:7,9 101:18
118:17,22,23 119:7,9,12,19,
20,22,23 120:4

delegates 7:13 13:22,23 15:16 47:20 48:4,13 50:20 53:20 54:2,12 55:21 56:7 59:22 61:4,5,10,20,23 64:17 65:10,13,15,16,25 67:14,21, 22,23 68:7,9,14,20 71:22 72:8 80:6 81:8,9,12,22 94:23 95:5,8 100:14,15 101:4,14 112:4 117:24 118:2 119:6 120:1

delegation 47:17 51:23 52:1, 3,23 53:1,6,7,9,13 54:2,5 69:24 91:1 92:5,10 95:8

delegations 51:25

Delisle 41:16

democracy 48:24

Democrat 81:25

Democrats 56:1,10,15 62:15 68:8,10 69:11 79:18 95:19,20

Denhollander 15:22 16:1

deny 47:22 92:12

depend 72:8

depends 28:9 55:15 58:21

60:19

Deperno 4:16,20,23 5:5,10, 14,17,21 6:3 15:15,24 16:5 18:6,9,18 19:2,4,8,13,15,23 20:17,20 21:1,21,24 22:5,9,11 25:6,10,15,18,21,25 26:3,7,13, 16,25 27:3,9,18 32:23 35:1,11, 20,25 36:14,19 37:9,11 38:4,8, 13,17,20,23 39:1,4,7,12,17 40:19 41:1,6 57:18,23 58:17, 19 61:18 66:23,25 67:12 76:3, 9,23 77:1 78:13,18,24 79:2,8 82:21,25 83:5,9,17,20,23 84:1, 10,13 85:21 86:5,10,13,15,18, 21,23 87:4,10,15,17 88:24 89:1,3,8,10,15,23 90:14 91:10, 16,20 96:5,9,14,15 100:2 102:16 104:20,24 105:3,5,9, 12,17,20,23 106:1,14,17,21 107:1,5,9,13,19 108:8,11,16, 25 109:11,15,23,25 110:5,9,13 113:7,17,21,23 114:6,24 115:1,9 116:17,23 118:8,12,16 120:11,15,17 121:6,15

Deperno's 26:22

deposing 30:15

deposition 4:4,21 10:19 11:11,19 21:8 23:16 24:5 26:8 27:16 74:25 82:24 121:18

derogatory 82:15 83:11

describe 12:13 23:2

described 86:25

description 87:23

Deshaw 26:7 90:17,19 92:15, 22

Deshaw's 90:21

designed 46:18,20 48:22

51:14

details 70:1

determination 110:25

determine 69:1 83:13 110:14

determined 70:7 developed 69:4

dictator 59:19 71:25

dictators 67:17

dictionary 104:4 107:17,21, 23 108:3,4

did 6:10 7:14 10:3,7 11:11,13, 18,20 12:1 13:4,9,24 14:5,14 15:2,5 22:18,24 39:15 46:4,7 48:6 49:3,5,7,22 51:2,5 53:8 56:23 57:14 62:25 63:2,5,9 66:21,23 75:3,4 77:15 84:2 88:10 90:10 93:10 95:19,22,23 98:12 102:18,20,25 103:11 111:3,8,13,16,19,21,24 112:22,24 113:1,8,11 114:7,14 117:3,9

didn't 13:25 14:3,4 35:23 44:19 49:8 51:9 54:8 66:22 68:6 87:12,18,22 95:22 98:16 99:15 114:3 115:5 116:17

difference 25:14

different 16:12 51:8 57:20 62:5 70:24 75:25 84:10 94:13 97:2 101:23

differently 49:15 72:5

difficult 30:14 33:11

digital 8:9,24 9:6,7

diploma 9:20

direction 27:13 61:8

directly 61:12,13,14 93:2

director 19:24,25 20:2 22:14

disagree 52:3 56:18,21 93:22,

23

disagreed 51:16,21

disagreeing 69:6

disagreement 21:18

discord 44:17,23 45:1

discovery 102:15

discuss 111:8,13,24

discussed 112:6,14

discussion 44:15 72:16 77:9, 10 94:11 96:16 97:16

discussions 112:22

disenfranchised 48:13,19

dismiss 106:15

dispute 58:23

disputes 50:2 55:14 57:16 58:1

dissolved 117:14

distress 79:16

distribute 121:22

distributed 84:22

district 16:19 17:12 24:18,23 27:25 28:5,22 29:1,10,16,18 31:7,9,11,20 32:1,9,13,16,17, 22,25 33:8,14,19,24 34:11 35:16 39:19 41:7,10,13,16,19 42:1,4,7,10,15,17,20,23 43:1,5 45:14,15,16 47:15 48:20,21 49:5 50:22 51:23 52:2,23 53:1 58:9 59:1,4 79:3 80:24 88:14, 15 89:1 108:17 109:4,5,7,10 110:14,22,23 111:2 118:24 119:3

districts 39:21,22

Doctrine 88:20 89:16 90:15

document 10:20 67:10 98:18

documentation 63:3 94:8

documents 12:1,8 64:5,20 66:6,17 100:10

does 5:5,6 9:10,16 16:7 17:7 18:1,6,9 21:4 24:11 34:10 40:17 43:20 53:6 60:4,7,20 61:1,19,25 68:17 74:13 78:12, 16 87:11 89:24 95:1 99:17,19 104:15,17,18 107:3,7,11 108:22 109:8 111:10 112:5,19

doesn't 16:6 19:11 20:2 30:7, 10 33:11 45:18 52:3 53:5 58:15 59:21 60:6,8,10 63:10, 15 70:21 76:18 87:14 92:6

96:11 98:9 109:19 117:6,8,11

doing 19:21 21:16,19 22:16 38:19 54:19 73:19 79:9 86:13 105:19 113:12

don't 5:2 6:21 7:2 8:2,5,11,13 11:16 12:8 14:4,20,21 17:14 20:9 21:21 25:2,16 26:15 28:10,13 29:14 30:14,24 31:13 32:16,18,25 33:3,12 35:24 37:3,20 38:25 39:23,24 40:2,5, 7 41:24,25 43:22 44:7,13 45:2, 15.23 46:8 47:3 49:21 54:25 55:8,22 56:11,22 59:16 60:3,6, 22 62:14,23 63:3 65:23 68:3, 25 69:24,25 70:4,16,22 71:4,6, 10,18,24 72:7 74:8,10,11,12, 14,16,17,20 75:7 76:11,15 77:4,16 80:8,9 81:5 82:3,6,7 83:14 84:18,19 85:7,8 86:1,3 89:25 90:2 95:20 96:11 98:21 99:8,13,20 100:19 102:7,11, 13,14 103:13,17 104:1 109:16, 21 110:11,18,19,21 111:1,4, 22.23 112:16 114:18 115:16 116:11,21 117:1 118:7,10,15 119:10,19,22 120:2,3 121:15

donated 49:16,20 50:9,14

done 22:16 30:22,24 69:19,22 80:16 92:15 121:17

double 59:12

down 4:25 33:5,6 52:16 56:14 57:20,22 65:3 72:17 86:10 100:9 114:12

download 78:4

driver's 10:9

dropping 56:16

due 30:24

duly 4:13

duplicate 121:20

during 62:20 64:2 92:25

duties 17:23 24:4

dying 120:6

Ε

each 5:3 17:1 19:14 51:24 52:1 53:2 56:15

earlier 12:11 13:10 47:3 51:24 58:8 80:6 87:23

early 17:14

easy 47:12

EC 100:15

effect 4:8 78:17

effectively 7:20 11:12,22

effectuate 69:17

efforts 116:4

Einstein 33:2

either 20:8 26:15,24,25 69:11 96:24 120:4

elaborate 82:19

elect 13:12 15:14 48:21 61:5 62:7 94:22 95:6 101:17

elected 12:14 13:4 16:16 59:23 61:23,24 68:9 88:7,10, 11,12 94:22 95:1,10,16 96:2 101:3,4,10 112:17 118:24 119:2,4

electing 92:14

election 13:7,12,14,17 59:23 65:25 66:3 79:14 93:2 95:12, 13 115:19,20,21 116:2,6

elections 62:15 116:4

elects 61:6 62:9

else 60:11 67:13 69:12 74:6 88:11 93:1

else's 88:1

email 47:11 102:4

emails 67:3

employed 7:24

employee 20:8 37:22 88:6

employees 9:16 18:1,12,25

19:5,16

encourage 111:16

end 36:24 44:3 65:4 98:15,16 121:2

Toll Free: 844.730.4066

endeavors 40:24

ended 47:25

energy 55:24

114:20,21 116:3

familiar 87:3 English 104:3 executing 29:13 far 52:7 75:24 97:5 **enough** 71:19 **executive** 7:16,17,19 11:8 13:12 14:11,15,18,25 15:2,5, ensure 78:9 79:9 116:4 fault 92:17 14,17 16:6 47:4,5 61:3,5,9,19 **enter** 15:18 67:20,22 69:23 71:20 72:3,7 favor 71:21 88:15 93:12 94:12,19 95:10,16 entire 21:25 24:15 34:10 52:1 February 17:14 43:21 49:6 96:1 101:16,19 111:16 112:20 96:20 90:25 91:6 92:15 exercise 67:24 entirely 29:17 feed 120:7 exhibit 10:18 11:15 12:5 43:8 feels 45:4 entitled 30:13 113:18,24 50:22 80:15,18 82:22,23 90:17 114:2.4 felony 6:15,18 56:16 Exhibits 10:18 equal 94:18 96:18 felt 46:6 exist 60:10 117:6,8 equaling 95:14 female 37:23 **expedition** 19:20,22 21:12 especially 76:16 29:13 106:13 110:2,3 113:16 few 41:23 63:19 115:14 117:21 established 68:19 field 30:16 **experience** 8:14,23 21:3,14 even 7:2 32:16 41:24 43:22 **fifth** 41:7 23:17,25 25:6,10 58:13 72:7 87:12,18 89:12 95:22 102:12 113:4 116:11 fight 98:9 expert 30:15 35:21,23 121:2,4 118:7 120:4 fighting 56:1,15 expertise 21:3 29:3 30:6,8 evening 16:18 108:18 31:2 figure 56:9 event 90:10 **explain** 7:3 8:15 9:13 20:15 figured 45:3 56:11 44:25 59:18,20 76:17 112:10 **events** 41:23 file 13:24 18:24 27:16 95:21 explained 116:18 ever 4:20 6:14,17,20 12:18 102:25 103:11 16:19 17:9 24:21 31:9,11,22 explore 8:14 18:10 filed 7:7,12 13:18 35:23 62:21 69:19 75:12 extremely 58:10 116:10,14 fill 31:12 101:17 every 18:16 31:9 70:24 73:13 Films 22:19,24 85:1 90:12 F final 51:3,23 52:2 53:2,6,11 everybody 29:7,8 31:22,23 54:4 face 83:15.18.21 32:4 41:4,5 45:19 47:16 52:17 finance 22:14 56:20 88:1,11 104:6,8 facilitators 67:18 **find** 33:7 56:10 83:21 84:2 **everyone** 47:8,10,24 86:16 fact 87:9 93:13 103:23 everything 22:16 29:14 32:5, faction 59:6,9 fine 21:15 104:9 107:14 108:9 11 38:4,13,16 53:25 74:6 93:1 factions 59:5 finish 5:1 everywhere 69:4 facts 40:17 **first** 4:13 13:14 32:7,10,13,16, evidence 53:22 57:4 17,22 33:8 53:14 57:24 66:2 fail 62:25 ex-officio 82:11 85:2 75:17,22 80:20 82:2 90:21 failed 62:24 69:5 93:10 111:3,5,19 113:11 exact 17:14 29:18 87:8 114:7,14 117:3,5,14,22 fair 16:6 71:19 116:5 exactly 63:7,21 76:8 **fish** 20:13 faith 32:3 examined 4:14 fishing 19:20,21 21:11 29:13 fake 73:1,2,5,8 81:2,14

example 55:17 94:7 119:9

except 89:13

excited 86:16,17

false 36:21 81:20 97:18

fall 7:1 32:11

106:12 110:2,3,4 113:15

five 6:9 25:2,14 28:5,6,11,22

115:14 117:21

Job 24808

Index: English..five

Job 24808 Index: fix..guys

29:1 31:7,14,15 48:18 96:20

fix 36:24

Florida 94:8

flunky 72:14

flyer 88:13

focus 81:3,9

focused 71:17 79:13,15 87:24

focusing 81:12

folks 69:23 71:16

follow 43:20

following 43:17 44:2,5,9

follows 4:15

force 4:8 80:22 81:13

forced 13:11

form 8:12 48:23 115:1,2 116:1 117:20 118:6,9 121:3

format 103:16

formulated 110:20

Fort 6:5

forth 94:14

forward 30:22 121:20

founded 49:1

four 16:25 22:25 25:2 28:5,6, 10,22 29:1 31:7,14,15 54:11 100:12

fourth 34:11 35:16 39:19 109:9

fraud 6:14.17

frauds 81:25

free 26:24 116:5

freedom 32:7

Friday 113:25

friend 39:24 40:1,8

from 10:1 16:12 19:24 23:9 24:22,23 26:17 27:13 33:12 39:21,22 52:6 53:25 56:14 59:3,9 62:1,8 67:4 68:2 69:18 70:19 72:6,19 77:7 80:6,23 85:19 86:8 90:13 97:20,25 100:12 102:3 103:21 106:5

108:3,14 112:18 113:2

front 12:3 68:10

full 4:16 36:21 100:6

functions 22:15 23:11

funneled 120:2

funny 75:3,6

Further 100:9

future 40:24 121:22

G

gain 121:23

garbage 58:7

gave 26:8 102:22

general 56:12,25 91:12 95:12

generally 93:7

generic 43:2 55:17 58:5 68:4

84:17 90:13

Genesee 9:23

genius 121:11

gets 95:25 96:16

getting 112:20 118:21 121:4

girlfriend 74:12

give 10:18 29:6,7,8 30:1 31:6, 7,9,24,25 32:9 43:25 44:19,21 54:1,15 55:17 58:6 60:10 96:5

102:14 103:11,15

given 28:5,8,15,23 29:2,10 30:5,6,12,13,17 31:18,19,20 32:13 33:9,14,17,21,25 34:11, 16 41:7,10,14,16,20,22 42:1,5, 8,11,13,16,19,25 58:6 87:25 99:24

giving 26:11 28:4,10,17 29:5 96:10

Glaser 17:3,7 92:20 107:3

Glaser's 67:17

go 4:23 8:19 18:23,25 21:11 26:16 28:2 33:1 36:13 39:3,5 42:15 43:21 45:6 48:20 51:19 52:18,24 55:10 65:6 66:16 69:16 73:19 84:25 88:13 93:13 96:25 97:8 99:15 102:3 108:11 115:7 117:21 118:8,14 119:4,

goal 80:25 81:13

goes 44:19 53:19 82:25

going 18:4,12,15,16,23,25
19:10 21:22 25:4 28:2 30:9,11
34:21,22 36:22,24 38:3,9 40:8
41:4 43:6,8,9 54:8,15 55:4,5,
10 56:3 57:17,19,21 58:6,14
61:16 63:1,11 64:12 65:16
70:1 71:6 73:6,10,13,19 77:10
78:11 79:23 81:10 82:20 84:8
85:25 89:6 90:4 91:5,6 96:21
97:14 99:15 107:13 111:1
115:7,15 117:21 119:11
120:13,21

gone 55:7 71:5 97:1

good 5:6 14:24 36:20 53:25 55:20

GOP 57:7 78:7.9

got 18:5,7,24 19:1 20:11 23:14 34:22 38:6 40:23 51:12 59:6 78:22 79:1 86:15,18 91:16 105:21 106:11 108:23 109:10 110:7 119:7 120:14

governance 64:9,22 65:1,2,

20 66:5

governing 66:6,17

government 48:25 64:5,20

governor 61:25

graduate 9:22 10:3

Grand 11:8

great 19:14 28:19 69:3 75:9 112:23 113:10

group 50:24 51:1,9 69:22 81:8 95:7 117:7

groups 52:19

guarantee 9:2

guess 6:24 14:13 59:10 104:5

guessing 119:8

guy 18:12,25 35:16 38:9

guys 85:11,14,16

Н

ha-ha 74:15

had 4:20 7:1 11:21 12:11,21 15:13 26:7 33:22 46:15 48:8, 10,11 49:9 51:7 53:4 54:10 58:12,24 59:8,11 68:15 74:2 87:24 93:1 95:6,7 98:9 113:25 116:6,7,14

Hall 44:16,22,25 72:16,22 73:2,5,8,15 74:2

Hall's 74:18 75:6

hallway 11:22

hand 29:15,16 43:8 63:18,20

handing 80:15

handle 20:5 22:14 55:21 56:7

handled 47:14

handles 20:6 22:1,12

hands-off 65:11,21

happen 13:9 20:8 53:21,22,25

54:8,9

happened 13:8,21 48:17

52:20 87:7

happening 115:8,10

happens 68:8

happy 30:25

Harris 11:4 80:19 111:19,21 112:14,17,22 113:1 119:12,17

Hartman 86:8,25 88:4,6,10 98:5 99:3,10,11 117:3

Hartman's 85:22 100:4

has 4:8 13:21 15:20 18:4 26:4, 9 29:24 35:16,22 38:4,13 40:19 45:4,13 47:17 50:15 54:25 57:6 58:7 59:6,19,24 60:15 62:7,21 63:24 64:12 65:15,21 66:9 69:5 70:6 72:8 78:13,18,19,24 80:7 85:3,5,13 94:8 98:18 100:4 104:20,22 108:20 109:1 110:12 111:11 116:19 117:11

hasn't 55:8 71:10 84:21

have 4:20 5:7,10,21 6:8,12,14,

17,20,22 7:25 8:4,7,21 9:16, 20,24 10:5,9,12,14,19 12:8,18, 24 13:1 14:25 16:14,19,21,24 17:7,9,18 18:1 19:11 20:9,22 21:19 24:9,16,18,24 27:4,24, 25 28:13,16,22 29:1,15 30:16, 20,23 31:10,12,13,15,20,24 32:13,17 33:9,11,13,14,17,21, 24 34:11,16 36:5,25 37:3 38:12,25 39:23,24 40:1,7,17 41:7,10,13,16,19,22 42:1,4,7, 10,13,16,19,25 43:11 44:19 45:1,18 46:2,22 47:7,9,15 48:7,10,11,17,20 49:11,20 50:13,18 51:5 52:3,10 53:5,10, 11 54:13,15,23 55:2,3 56:11 57:13,14,24 58:15 59:10 60:3, 17,20 61:1,19,25 62:14 63:3, 10,15 64:17 65:23 67:22,23 68:3,6,8,9,12,25 69:8,24,25 71:10,11,13,16,17 72:5,6 74:2, 8,10,25 75:12,15,24 78:12,16 79:23 82:6 83:14 84:16,18,19 85:2 86:1,3 87:7,8,19 88:9,15 90:5,15,19 91:25 92:2,10 93:8 94:18 95:5,15 96:1 98:25 99:14,19 100:6 102:7,11,12,14 103:4,5,6,7,8,13,16 104:2,18, 19 105:7 107:4,7,11,25 108:22 109:8,16,19 110:18,19 111:23 112:6,14,16,19,22 113:10 115:12,18,22 116:10,14 117:1, 12 118:22 119:8,19,25 120:24 121:15

haven't 7:21 20:12 55:6 68:7 71:5 82:17 83:23 91:9 96:20 117:12

having 4:13 27:22 97:16 110:3

he's 19:10,18 27:21 33:19,20, 23 35:17 38:9 67:2,7 74:3 76:24 77:14,21 78:21 83:1,3,4, 5 84:8 87:5 88:7 105:10,15,16, 20,23 107:5

head 13:20 83:13 84:9 86:19 111:23

hear 89:18

heard 86:6,7,8 87:7

heart 33:6

Heitmanis 54:17 55:10 96:16, 17 97:20,22,25 98:12,17 99:17

100:5

held 4:4

hell 87:24

help 8:16

helpful 49:11

helps 116:19

here 4:24 10:22,25 23:14 29:16 37:3 38:17,20,25 45:9 59:16,17 63:13 67:10 70:1 76:6 83:10,13 90:24 96:13 97:8,11 103:9,13,19,20 105:19 120:6,9,25

Job 24808

Index: ha-ha..HR

herein 4:12

herself 35:6

hierarchy 55:3 93:25 94:9 97:6

high 9:20,22

Hima 41:10

hire 9:10 14:5 15:2,5

hired 14:14

history 29:24

hold 51:25 109:5 110:14,22

home 6:6

honest 49:4

hoping 91:14

hours 16:25

house 62:2

how 6:8,12 8:13 9:16 10:22 13:25 14:1 18:1 22:3,22,24 23:12,17 24:4,6,9,16,18,23 29:3,14,18 30:23 32:3 34:2,7 42:25 43:3 48:3 49:20 60:19, 22 62:16,25 63:16 68:13 69:17 70:2 73:3 74:22 76:8,16,25 79:4 80:3 81:25 82:2 87:13 90:2 92:12 95:19 99:7 101:14, 21 102:12,18,20 103:17,19 107:22 113:4 114:5 120:13

how's 106:6

However 48:4 107:23

HR 17:24 18:1 22:15 37:16 88:2,3,4

STUDEBAKER, JOEL 07/19/2023 Index: Hudsonville..it's Hudsonville 6:7 ignoring 109:14 interest 8:21 Huizenga 44:16,22,25 45:4,7, image 78:4 interesting 102:5 11,13,21 46:4 72:13 78:10 interfering 21:7 improper 50:11 79:10 improperly 112:20 internal 20:7 64:5,19 66:6,17 humility 103:23,24 104:6 inability 40:20 internally 70:14 hundred 23:21 inappropriate 40:10 internet 9:11 hungry 120:10 incomplete 86:4 interrupting 86:15 hypothetical 70:6 71:6 118:3, incorporation 68:15 **intervene** 50:8 54:13 55:13 57:10,25 58:2,3,4,8,10,13,25 Incorrect 88:5,7 ı intervened 55:6 indicate 120:1 intervention 54:22 indicated 14:25 I'D 28:5 30:16 31:10.12 32:17 invalid 69:21 94:15 84:16 99:19 107:15 119:25 indirectly 61:11 investigate 84:25 85:4 87:12, **I'LL** 4:23,25 10:18 19:3 27:19 indiscernible 19:6 25:8 37:6 14,18,22 33:2 44:21 51:19 52:16 63:20 86:20 113:3 73:9 76:11 115:16 119:18 investigated 6:17 84:21 individual 61:25 62:7 87:19 **I'M** 8:2,22 11:22 18:4,13,15,16, individually 57:22 20,21 19:19,25 20:14 21:2,9, involve 50:1 22,25 22:10,16 23:16 24:1 individuals 110:23 117:23 involved 6:20 7:21 54:24 25:24 26:21,25 27:1,7 28:2,7 118:1 57:15 58:22 78:7 31:16,17 33:8 34:14,21 35:5 indoor 9:8 36:14,22 37:4,10 38:17,20 involvement 12:11,13 43:8 45:10 46:7 47:11 50:21 inference 60:14 irrelevant 31:5 55:4 60:1 52:8 53:21,23,24 54:7,20 56:3 infiltrate 68:10 69:9,15 113:12 114:8,9,10,12 115:12 57:17,19 58:5,14 61:16 63:1,8, 11,16 66:18,25 67:6 68:3 69:6, infiltrator 70:7 isn't 20:10 66:7 73:25 106:4 25 70:5 71:6,7,11,13 73:4 115:10 117:7 119:17 74:21 75:1,7,9,14,19,23 76:13, influence 49:15 **issue** 7:1 22:2,4 29:5 57:8,11 23 77:2,4,23 78:2,11 80:10,15 inform 96:12 64:24 66:4 70:5 71:3 78:17 82:5,13,17 83:25 84:19 85:25 86:12,17 87:6,23 89:8 90:4 98:13 109:10 information 20:14 21:13 91:5,14,21 92:1 96:20 97:5,13 40:22 84:19 issued 17:4 27:22 98:7,12 99:15 103:2,3,9,13,17, **initial** 17:12 19,20 104:11,13,17,25 105:3 **issues** 21:6 116:2 106:1,5,12 107:13 109:13,15 inquire 21:2,5 23:16 110:5,9 111:1,2 112:12 114:24 insane 77:24 115:6,11 117:6,19 118:6 119:8 120:24 121:11,14 inside 84:8 **I'VE** 22:16 23:14 28:8,15 30:5, instance 54:16 55:18 69:13

I'VE 22:16 23:14 28:8,15 30:5, 6 31:9,11,18,19,22 33:22 35:24 44:11 55:19 63:13 87:7 90:6 94:9 97:21 99:8,21,23 100:21 102:2 116:22 idea 14:24 46:22 107:10 ideas 85:24 instructing 18:18,20 26:25 instructor 17:2 insufficient 51:14

identify 69:11 81:19,24

ignore 53:18

it's 8:6,7,9,24 9:8 13:20 18:7 19:1 20:11,17 21:1,4 22:2,4,8 23:11,24 25:7,25 26:3 27:20, 23 29:4,12,17,18,20,21 30:22 33:4 35:13,14,15,19 38:1,2,6 39:5,11,15,18 40:15,16,23 41:1 43:2,3,9 46:8 52:5 55:7, 12 57:3 59:16,23 60:2,9 63:17 64:15,24 65:1 66:17 68:21 69:21,23 70:7 71:12 72:2 74:11,22 75:3,10,15 78:2,22 79:1,6 82:21,23 84:12 85:8 86:4 88:24 89:3,7,10,19 90:13 91:12,22,23 92:17,21 94:4,21, 24 96:25 97:1,4,6,10,12 98:7, 19,22 99:4,6 100:19 102:8,21,

Job 24808

integrity 11:24 36:4 52:18

inter-party 50:2 57:15

115:21 116:2,6

Index: J-O-E-L..legal

22 105:18,21 106:11 108:3,23 109:2,10,20 110:7,12,25 113:13 114:9,12,25 118:5

J

J-O-E-L 4:18

J.D. 17:3,7 67:16 92:20,24 93:2 102:4,9,22 107:3

J.d.'s 92:21

James 15:7 104:25

January 13:8 16:9,10,13

Jason 77:12,13,15,16,17,18, 20

Jessica 42:7

Jesus 32:4

Jim 67:1

Jimmy 11:21 15:7 20:17 22:9 23:24 27:10,20 29:22 35:22 37:9 46:13,14 91:12 96:14 121:1

job 20:3 21:3,14 23:8,17 24:3 47:18 87:23 88:1

Joe 114:1

Joel 4:11,18 26:20 121:19

John 41:7

ioke 75:12 120:10

jokes 75:10,15

judge 14:23 18:14,24 19:21 20:15,25 21:10 27:13 35:15 36:10,11,13 79:7 91:14 98:16 109:21,22 113:14,17,23

judgment 15:11,12,13,18 16:4

July 4:1 6:5

jurisdiction 54:23

just 8:22 9:3 14:4 15:22 20:7 31:5,11,14 32:14 34:1 36:11, 23 38:16 41:23 46:6,11,14 55:16 58:15 60:13,25 66:21 68:3,15 70:5,14 71:3,7,12,13 73:4,15 81:18 85:12 86:16,24 87:15 88:11,24 90:12 91:10 93:7 96:5,10,25 98:8 99:4

100:3 101:2 103:20 109:14 110:20 112:1 114:24 117:16 118:3,21 121:14

Κ

Kalamazoo 11:7,8 29:17 34:18 35:3 43:16 47:14,25 48:7,9,13 49:3,8 50:12,20 55:21 56:7 57:7 60:20 62:23 63:12 64:4,19 71:20,21,24 72:19 77:11 100:25 111:8 112:18,21 113:2 115:13

Kamp 113:11,12,19 114:1,7 117:7

keep 37:13 57:4 97:14 120:13

keeps 98:8

Kelly 11:9 97:17

Kelly/charley 59:13

Ken 34:11,16,18 35:2 36:1,5 37:24 39:8 40:12,13 43:5 73:7 108:17

Kevin 67:2

KGOP 77:11,14,15,16,17 111:14,17,24 116:12

kicked 65:14

Kim 80:19 111:19,21 112:14, 17,22,23,24 113:1 119:12,17

Kimberly 11:4

kind 114:19 118:3

knew 10:22 49:10,14

know 7:3 8:13 11:17 13:18 14:4,20,21 15:22 20:15 23:21 25:2,13,15,16,18,23,25 26:5 28:6,18 30:13,21 32:16,25 33:2,3 43:22 44:7,9 45:2,15,23 54:8,19,25 55:1,2,8,9,20 57:6 60:3,6,11,22 62:15,16,23 63:3, 7 69:12 70:4,6,22 71:6,18,20, 24 72:7,14 74:14,16,17,20,22 75:7 76:8,15,25 77:4,13,15,16, 17,18 78:1,23 80:8,9 82:6,10, 23 85:7,8 86:21 87:14 89:25 90:2,7,9,17 95:20,22 98:21 99:4,8,20,23 102:11,13 103:17 104:1 106:10 108:4 110:19,21 112:11 113:13 114:18 115:15, 16 116:8,11,21 117:1,10,18

118:15 119:10 120:2,3

knowledge 17:8 29:24 45:20 55:2,3 78:20 93:8

Job 24808

known 31:11

knows 41:4,5 45:19 89:12

Kristina 88:12 115:18

Kzoo 100:13

L

Labadie 114:2,14

Labadie's 114:16

LARA 8:6 9:4

large 52:23

last 7:1 12:14 33:22 62:22 65:3 77:23 80:1 98:22 111:4, 20,22 112:2

Late 17:14

laugh 74:16 75:2 120:10

laughed 74:17 75:1 77:2

laughing 74:24

law 43:17,20 44:3,6 55:2,3 59:25 60:3 67:15 94:7 95:24 96:22,25 97:6 102:12

laws 68:1,3 96:23

lawsuit 6:23 7:7,12 11:1 13:10,19,24 45:22,25 46:23 49:16,17,18 50:10 95:21 98:10 108:22

lawsuits 50:18

lawyer 60:12 98:5

lawyers 94:10 97:21 98:2,4

lay 93:25 107:14

lead 104:8,14

leadership 80:23

leading 36:23 38:22 39:1,5,10 52:13,14 56:3,8 58:5 73:17 92:18

learn 29:13

left 7:20 51:3

legal 8:11 9:2 88:7 110:25

115:8

legally 58:8 70:18

legs 35:8 length 67:16

less 53:12

lesser 88:21 89:12,16 90:7,15

let 76:2 86:10 97:24 102:6

let's 14:24 27:11 28:25 31:6, 14,25 32:6,8 33:7 42:15 64:15 93:13 97:8 102:3

letter 36:17 63:20 70:10 72:5

89:7

letters 57:7 59:21

level 21:2 29:3 30:7

levels 80:24

license 10:9

licenses 10:10

lie 52:24 85:12

lied 85:15

lies 36:22

life 29:7,8 78:2,3 88:19 104:10

like 13:25 14:3,4 17:25 28:5 40:21,22 43:3 45:4,18 46:6 50:6 51:9 52:20 53:13 56:16 59:2 68:5 73:6 74:7,9 81:18 84:24 86:18 88:11 90:12 97:16 107:15 108:8 112:25 120:11, 19 121:11

liked 52:8,25

limited 55:1,2 58:7,11,17,18, 25

line 34:17

list 7:14 29:8 30:19 31:11,12

listed 7:11 8:6,7 16:2,3

literally 68:23 95:5

litigation 6:20 7:22

little 11:16 52:18

live 6:10

lived 6:8,12

living 8:15

LLC 9:5

local 9:14.15

logic 34:17

logical 119:6

long 6:8,12 22:24 50:6

longer 63:11 64:13 65:13 97:4 117:23 118:1,17 119:12 120:14.15

look 8:5 9:3,5 32:17 33:6 66:5, 6 74:4 76:2 108:4 109:16 119:25

looked 96:22

looking 11:17 33:8 87:1 93:11

looks 43:3 84:24 97:16

Lorenz 116:10.25

loss 20:23

lost 45:4,13

lot 13:20 18:15 46:15 52:7

78:18,24 87:24

lots 31:19,24 68:12 72:24

112:25 113:9

love 112:23 116:6

lunch 120:6,7,9,12

lying 85:10

M

M-U-I-R 80:4

Macomb 58:23 59:9,12

made 15:8 26:10 27:8 31:5 34:18 36:2 37:22,24 49:14 50:12 60:25 63:8,21 64:6 67:19,20 75:12 83:10 86:25 90:24 92:18 106:23

magistrate 88:21 89:13,17 90:8,15

Mainly 105:1

majority 47:21

make 20:2,7,24 21:5 30:10 37:2 39:9 49:5,11 54:1,4,6 55:10 56:22 59:11 64:20 66:8 74:5 75:10,15 98:9 101:12

110:24 120:9

makes 5:5 30:25 59:3

making 26:21 27:1 74:23 75:5

manage 20:5 22:12 24:4,6

37:16

management 23:11 37:18

38:8,10,11

manager 37:21

managerial 23:9

many 9:16 18:1 23:12,17 24:4,

6,9,16,18,23

March 17:14 64:2 77:11

marketing 8:9,24 9:6,7 22:15

marketplace 85:24

match 95:13

materials 80:19 81:8

math 101:14

Matt 20:12 21:22 25:24 34:21 36:9 38:2 40:24 61:17 72:16, 19,22 73:2,5,8,15 74:2,18 75:6 76:2 83:7 90:10 91:8,18 100:1

104:17 109:14

Matt's 74:12

matter 60:6,7 65:20 105:6,7

matters 64:8,22 98:24

may 46:9 50:13 59:7 99:14

maybe 65:18 70:21,22 76:24 95:21 116:18,19

MCL 13:17 93:4,19 97:7,18

mean 6:25 8:6,15 9:10 10:15 11:18,23 23:2 24:11 36:7 38:3 44:5 45:8,11 50:5 54:22 55:12, 16 56:13 59:20 72:25 74:13 81:11 90:22 93:6 95:1 103:24 104:3,4,15 106:3 107:17,20 111:10 112:2,5 113:6 114:20, 21 116:3

meaning 65:19 95:11

means 28:9,18 73:5 74:16,18 76:16,20,22 89:13 92:5 93:12 104:16 106:20 107:16 108:1 114:18 116:22

Toll Free: 844.730.4066

meant 35:4 44:7,9 medication 5:14,17 **meet** 111:3,19 113:11 114:7, 14 117:3

meeting 17:9,12 48:3 51:3 53:24 64:2 108:18,20 109:1,4, 5,6 110:14,22

meetings 17:16 88:15

member 12:21,24 13:1 82:11

members 16:14 23:9 33:23 51:15 85:2 94:18,19 95:1,2,17 96:2 118:20 119:3

membership 60:18,21 61:2

memories 77:5,6

memorize 32:18 33:5

memorized 68:25 69:25 71:10

memory 5:8,15,23 31:13 33:12,13 116:19

mentioned 12:11 77:12 114:17,23

mess 7:5

message 43:14,19 44:17,23 73:5 102:4,8,22

messages 43:10 73:16 82:14

messed 14:20 92:16

met 51:1 111:22

MI 117:21

Michigan 6:12 11:7 17:18 24:1,13 60:4 62:22 64:3,18 81:1 117:5,14

midway 72:17

might 49:11 117:12

MIGOP 17:19 18:1 20:23 21:4, 15 23:4 24:9,11 33:8 36:1,16 37:15,17,21,22 39:18,25 71:18 84:5,14,21,25 98:8,18 99:17 100:4 105:17,24 106:2,4,5,7

MIGOP's 85:3

Mike 114:1,14,16

Mikkelborg 77:20

mine 31:4

minute 14:9 32:21 43:25

mischaracterize 35:24

misdemeanor 6:15,18

missionary 35:3,6,7

mistake 92:19,20

Mitchell 35:4 36:2 39:8

modify 53:16

moment 75:4,5,7,8 77:7

Monday 34:18 35:2

monetary 121:23

money 46:19,21,24 47:2 49:16,20,22 50:9 55:25

month 68:23

months 46:8 63:19 77:7

more 14:2 44:14 54:15 63:22 79:23 84:17 88:9 95:1 113:5

morning 77:11 120:21

motion 18:24 27:17 90:24

mouth 106:9

move 18:13 20:23 27:11 77:5 108:24 114:8,15 117:22

moved 101:7

moves 30:21

Mr 4:16,20,23 5:5,10,14,17,21 6:3 15:11,15,22,24,25 16:3,5 18:4,6,7,9,11,18,20 19:2,4,7,8, 10,13,15,18,23 20:11,17,19, 20,21 21:1,9,21,22,24 22:3,5, 6,7,9,10,11,12 25:4,6,9,10,13, 15,16,18,20,21,23,25 26:2,3,5, 7,13,16,19,22,23,25 27:3,7,9, 18 32:21,23 34:21 35:1,10,11, 13,20,23,25 36:6,8,14,19 37:4, 9,10,11 38:1,4,6,8,10,13,17, 20,23 39:1,4,7,10,12,15,17 40:15,19,21 41:1,3,6 57:17,18, 19,23 58:14,17,19 61:16,18 66:21,23,24,25 67:2,7,12 76:2, 3,5,9,14,23 77:1 78:11,13,16, 18,22,24 79:1,2,6,8 82:19,21, 23,25 83:3,5,7,9,16,17,19,20, 22,23,25 84:1,7,10,12,13 85:21,25 86:5,9,10,12,13,14, 15,17,18,21,23 87:2,4,10,13, 15,17 88:22,24,25 89:1,2,3,5, 8,9,10,12,15,23 90:4,7,14 91:5,10,14,16,20 96:4,5,7,9,

11,14,15 99:23 100:2 102:6, 10,14,16 104:17,20,22,24 105:1,3,4,5,7,9,10,12,15,17, 18,20,21,23,25 106:1,8,11,14, 16,17,19,21,25 107:1,3,5,7,9, 11,13,19 108:2,8,11,16,21,25 109:8,11,13,15,19,23,24,25 110:2,5,7,9,11,13 113:4,7,13, 17,20,21,22,23 114:4,6,19,22, 24,25 115:1,5,9,21 116:15,17, 23 118:6,8,10,12,14,16 120:11,15,17 121:3,6,15,17

Job 24808

Index: meet..need

Ms 43:15 44:22 64:1,3

much 49:20 53:12 54:11 120:13,15

Muir 80:2

multiple 26:9 33:22 94:7

Muslims 82:15,20 83:11

must 31:15 94:18,22 95:13

my 7:25 8:19,20 12:4 13:20 17:8 18:12,25 23:8 26:21 27:1, 8,19 29:3,7,8,12,14,15 30:6,7 31:13 32:5 38:11 40:9 46:17 48:10 50:5,9,13 51:13 53:23 54:25 55:4 58:6 64:15 69:7 75:17,22 77:5,6,7 87:23 88:19 91:2 99:6 101:16 111:23 120:6,9

myself 9:17 73:9 104:8

Ν

name 4:17 8:10 9:1 15:4 28:6 33:1 46:9 51:20 52:24 56:20 68:11 77:23 80:1 98:7 114:16, 22

named 72:12 77:12 79:24 98:6

names 13:18 18:11 21:12 26:4,6,9 28:11 29:6 31:6,12 52:24

naming 23:25

nation 48:25

need 9:2 11:23 20:15 24:19 25:5,13,16,18,23 26:5 28:12, 20 37:18 44:14 57:20 81:17,21 83:24 95:5 96:11 120:6

Job 24808 STUDEBAKER, JOEL 07/19/2023 Index: needs..opinion

needs 56:9 69:3

negative 60:14

Nessel 115:19

network 9:9

never 6:22 32:20 63:12 69:19 86:7,8 88:19 90:6 92:4 98:14 99:21 102:2 114:5 116:22,24 121:3

new 7:19 14:12,18 15:5 16:6 46:15 47:4,5 68:15,21 79:25 81:9,12

news 86:25

next 69:17 71:4 79:14 108:24 110:16

night 40:9

no 4:22 5:9,13,16,20,25 6:16, 19,24 8:7,21 9:12,19 10:11,13, 17 11:14 12:2,20,23,25 13:3 14:10 16:20 17:6,17 18:7 20:20 22:10 23:5 26:7,10 28:12,15,25 33:10,16 39:11,13 40:5,10 41:9,12,15,18 42:3,6, 9,18,21 43:7,21 45:2 46:2,22 48:15 49:13 55:22 58:17,24 59:24 60:2 61:2,12,15 62:3,6,7 63:11,24 64:12,13,17 65:12 66:9 68:15 70:13.18 71:11.23 73:15 74:4 77:16 78:6 80:17 82:17 84:10 87:15 89:8 90:16, 20 91:4,10,25 92:1 95:3,18 96:14 97:4,12,14 99:1 100:19 101:25 106:16 111:7,12,15,18 113:23 115:23 116:16 117:23 118:1,17 119:12 120:13,20,23 121:9,11

nobody 20:7 89:12

nominated 94:24

nominee 100:14 101:2,21,24 113:2.5

nominees 51:24 52:2,11,23 53:2 94:20,21 95:7 100:15,25 101:5,8,15,20,21 113:8,9

non-christian 85:23

non-lawyer 97:5

none 59:10 87:8 92:15 99:25

noon 120:7

Nope 45:2 72:15 88:17 111:25

nor 95:20 117:19

noted 19:2

nothing 4:14 11:12,16 18:5,7 19:1 20:11 25:11 34:23 35:17 38:6 40:23,24 78:22 79:1 105:21 106:11 108:23 109:10 110:7,12 115:12

notice 10:19 65:7

number 33:3 45:15 57:13 93:25 94:18,23 95:6,11 96:18

numbered 82:9,10

numbers 43:11

0

oath 4:8 116:24

obey 109:22

object 18:4,17 34:21 38:24 39:1 57:19 58:14 61:16 76:5 78:11 85:25 90:4 91:5 115:1,3

objected 51:10,11 109:2

objecting 18:13,15 20:14 86:12 104:17 106:12 118:6

objection 19:2,7,18 20:11 21:5 23:15,19 26:10,21 27:2,8 35:10,13 36:6,8 37:1 38:1 39:10 49:5,12 53:9 83:16,19, 22 84:7 86:9 87:2.13 88:22 107:14 108:2.21 109:8.15.17 110:1 114:20 115:2 116:15 118:8 121:3

objection's 107:15

obviously 21:18

occurred 14:1 71:10

OCREC 15:25

off 13:20 26:16 31:11 52:24 85:17

offense 96:14

offensive 84:2

Offered 25:1

office 63:24 64:2,6,9,16 65:21, 22,23 70:21,24,25 101:6

officer 78:14

officers 13:13

offices 121:21

official 65:7

Oh 36:20 93:23 102:4

Ohio 6:5

okay 7:4 12:5 14:15,24 15:24 19:24 20:17 22:12 25:22 27:3 28:15,22 31:3,20,25 32:19 34:4 37:21 39:4,18 43:25 44:1 46:11,14 47:13 50:1 52:5,15 59:18 60:20,23 62:18 64:11,15 65:5 66:9,20,24 68:22,24 69:20 70:3 71:3,7 77:22 78:3 83:7,8 86:6,14 88:4 92:3 93:10,18 95:24 97:15,24 99:14,16,22 102:1 105:4 108:10 116:13 118:12 119:18 120:16 121:13

old 11:8 14:12,13,14,15,25 15:2,17

once 29:6 33:3 110:25

one 14:12,13,14 16:15 24:8 26:10 33:23 37:7 45:14 47:11 49:3 57:13,21 59:8,22 63:12 66:15,19 70:16 76:5,6 77:21 79:16.20 80:19 82:10 86:2 89:14 93:16 94:1,7 97:11,15 100:11,24 102:2 104:24 107:24 113:5,8 117:9

one-third 95:17 96:2

one-way 94:24

ones 52:8 62:19 120:2

online 9:8

only 30:18 35:6 48:8.12 49:3 90:1 104:25 117:1 118:19 120:1

operate 30:23 47:25

operated 47:16 59:19

operating 34:2 48:1 52:17 117:16

opinion 29:12 35:18 41:11 46:17 50:5,9,13,15,17 51:13 53:23 55:4 58:4 59:15 66:14, 15,19 73:9 80:7 85:22 86:6 87:21 91:2 110:20

opinions 28:19,20,23 29:1,2, 7,9,11 30:1,12,13,17 31:7,9, 19,20,24,25 32:5,14 33:9,15, 17,21,24 34:13,14,16 41:8,14, 17,20,22 42:2,5,8,11,13 97:21 98:2,4

opposed 51:18 opposite 97:20

opposition 53:11,12 57:1,5 97:22

options 52:7

order 16:22 27:23 92:9 113:21.24

ordered 18:22 79:7 109:21

ordinary 121:24

organization 13:2

organizational 80:9

orientation 74:19 75:6,11,13 76:15

original 28:24

other 5:3,21,22 6:14,17 7:21, 22 9:17,18 10:9 13:1 17:16 19:14 21:15 30:23,25 32:13,14 48:1,18 49:13 52:25 56:15 59:9 67:5,14 70:17 71:16 73:13 79:15 82:6 90:12 91:8 94:9 97:21 112:4 121:15,23

others 8:18 101:17 121:22

otherwise 8:25 81:25 119:10

Ottawa 7:1,6,7,8,14,16 12:12, 22 13:5 14:5,9,11 33:20,23 45:6,22,25 46:5,9,10,23 51:12 68:6,13,19 70:2 78:7,9,14 79:9,11,25 90:11 93:11 95:6 98:5,10,13

ousted 89:6

outside 18:8 19:11 21:10 35:14,15 36:9,10,13 40:16 45:5 55:22 79:6 105:18 106:12 108:21 109:20 113:14 121:21

over 4:23 5:2 19:13 20:13 21:19 33:22 62:16 80:14

overall 53:13

oversee 17:24 19:17 20:1,3 23:6,8,12,18 24:3 88:3,4

oversees 19:9

own 7:25 29:22 45:5,13 53:12 55:21 59:14 108:1

owners 9:18

Р

P-R-E-G-I-S 22:23

p.m. 85:20 108:15 121:18

package 44:21

packet 93:14

page 43:11,14 44:15,19 46:18 47:6 50:23 59:13 72:11 74:22 77:9 79:24 80:5,18 82:8 88:13 90:21 91:3 93:13 97:8,9 102:3

pages 54:11 100:12

paid 23:4 88:6

papers 12:3,4 89:19 99:25

paragraph 53:15 65:4,5,6 80:21 86:3 90:23 100:13

parameters 18:22 19:12 21:10 24:19,20 35:14 36:13,15 40:16 79:6 105:18 108:22 109:21

parliamentarian 17:9,16

parliamentary 16:21 29:25 47:6

part 7:17,19 10:25 39:24 43:9 50:24 51:1 56:8 105:15,16,17, 20 113:4 117:5,6

participate 113:16

particular 50:7 51:17

particularly 89:10

parties 4:7 15:17 55:5,13 58:1,9 59:1 60:17 79:4 94:18 106:6

party 7:8,15,16 11:6,8 12:12, 22,24 13:5 14:5,10 17:18,24 24:1,3,9,11,13,15 25:11 29:24 30:21 32:10,24 34:3,8 39:21, 22 43:2,3 44:12 45:5 48:24 54:14,22,24 56:9,13,18,19 57:6,10,24 59:3,6,8,14 60:17, 20 62:14,21 63:15 64:4,5,8,18, 19,22 65:1,2,8,14,20 66:5,16

68:2,10 69:5,9,12,18,21 70:6,8 73:18,22 74:1 78:20 79:13 80:23 81:1,11,13,23 88:5,7,8 94:13 105:10 107:6 111:9,11 112:13

Job 24808

Index: opinions..pick

pass 68:15 98:16

passed 88:14 93:1

passing 98:14

past 24:10,18,19 26:10 30:17, 22 68:16 92:2 97:12,13

pawns 80:23 81:14

paying 121:23

payroll 18:2

Peak 82:11,20

people 8:19 13:18 21:16 23:13,18,21 24:4,6 25:14 26:9 28:11 30:2,12,23,25 31:19 52:19,25 54:11 56:16 59:24 68:14 69:8,12 77:24 82:6 95:9, 11 112:20,25 113:9 115:11 118:19

people's 75:11

per 67:16

perceived 63:16

percent 77:23

perfect 25:7

perfectly 26:11

perhaps 27:16

period 67:23

permanent 92:14

permit 60:4

permits 59:25

permitted 75:25

person 4:9 23:25 29:21 36:3 70:11 112:18 117:1 118:17

personal 17:1 21:19 32:3 41:23 48:10 102:4

personally 77:16 87:19 92:24

perspective 23:10

phone 33:3 116:8

pick 52:19 59:6

Job 24808 Index: picked..question

picked 32:21 59:7 pray 103:22 104:5,6,7 120:1 praying 107:24 picture 102:6 produce 12:9 **piece** 66:19 precinct 12:14,18 62:10,12 produced 113:25 114:5 63:25 64:7,17 65:10 80:22 place 6:4 9:11 50:3,4,19 66:2 product 121:5 117:5,14,21,23 118:1,22 110:15 production 99:24 Precisely 78:21 **placed** 100:14 professional 10:15,16,17 prefaced 53:3 plaintiff 6:22 7:2 14:16 43:10 **proof** 46:2 119:19 preference 76:18 plaintiffs 11:3 49:23 80:20 proper 23:19 109:25 Pregis 22:19,20,24 plaintiffs' 26:22 49:25 properly 24:2 62:24 premise 56:18 planning 120:25 propose 47:20 92:8 prepare 11:11 **platform** 32:11 34:2,8,9,10 **prove** 30:7 43:4 44:12 79:13,20 81:23 prepared 98:18 99:2,4 proves 97:20 98:1 please 4:16 5:1 22:7 23:1 preparing 79:14 26:14 37:8 82:18 96:8 121:20, provide 30:19 present 120:21 21 provided 28:19 63:25 65:8 presenting 99:25 **plus** 101:18 **PTSD** 86:21 pretty 46:15 59:16 point 35:18 81:10 99:12 117:9 **public** 102:13 118:21 prevent 68:2 publish 73:6,19 pointless 49:10 previous 87:25 published 69:4 73:6 policy 88:8 92:6 98:23 previously 27:10 purpose 25:4 121:23 political 13:1 55:13 57:16 primaries 94:24 65:8 pursuant 4:7 12:9 primary 62:14,20 94:22 95:12 politically 41:24 put 22:3,7 24:19 25:5 26:19 principles 43:17 44:3,10 34:23 36:12 37:4 49:22 55:24, politics 32:2,8 54:14,23,24 prior 12:18,21 27:2 51:15 92:7 25 74:15 83:6 109:6 112:20 78:20 116:12 114:12 polls 14:22 Pritchett-evan 44:16 putting 36:23 **poor** 91:2 Pritchett-evans 11:3 43:11, **puzzle** 66:19 popping 86:19 91:16 15 44:22 45:3 72:13 74:11 111:3,6 portions 93:2 Q private 102:8,22 **poses** 21:17

qualifications 18:10 22:13 23:24 36:16 38:5,8,10,12

qualified 58:18 quashed 113:20,22

que 118:13

question 5:2 8:4,5 11:18 22:1 23:14,18 25:7,12,24 26:8,14, 22 27:19 28:24,25 30:3,10,15, 16,18 36:23,24 39:2,5,11 40:3, 6,14,15 44:1 52:14 55:15,17 56:3,9 57:21,22 58:5,7,12 60:24 61:17 65:18 68:4 69:3,7,

Toll Free: 844.730.4066

20 111:8,10,11,24 120:2 **positions** 61:23 100:14

position 35:3 62:7,8 64:6,10,

positive 81:16 possible 72:2

post 91:13,19,21 115:18

posting 115:17

power 59:21,24 63:10 64:13 109:7

procedure 16:21 29:25

36:25 51:5,7

probably 29:4 30:19 47:7

67:7 78:4 80:10 89:13 98:22

problem 8:7 20:10 21:17 26:7

procedures 47:7 50:11

proceeding 26:17 85:19 108:14

100.14

process 65:8,25 66:1 68:19, 21,24 69:16 71:14,17 72:9 77:7 84:16 96:24 97:3 101:23

8 71:11,13,18 73:10 76:12 81:6 83:8,24 84:11,20 87:21 89:8 96:4,7,9 97:24 100:7 102:12 103:18 107:16 108:24 109:20 110:16,17,18 114:19, 24,25 115:2 118:11,20 119:1

questioning 28:7 31:16 75:17 104:11

questions 5:1,11,18 20:16 24:2 26:24 27:1 28:14 38:20, 22 40:7 57:21 64:7,21 86:1 96:12 103:3,4,6,7,16,20 105:5, 13 115:7 121:16

quick 26:20 quite 117:13

quote 44:2,4 87:8 121:2

R

race 91:9

ran 56:11,24 57:2 92:22

Rauwerdink 42:4

re-election 78:10 79:10

read 31:12 43:23 51:19 54:11 63:16 66:21 82:17,18 84:16 86:2 87:8 93:5 96:20 97:5,6,21 98:3 99:19 108:4

reading 47:19 53:14,15,17 87:5,6

reaffirms 64:10

real 26:20 56:21 68:18 69:2 73:23,24,25 74:3 79:12,19 81:20,22

really 33:11 92:16 119:16

reason 5:21 34:24 59:17 91:6, 8 100:1

recall 12:6 59:23,25 60:5 78:5 116:20

recalled 79:17,18

record 4:25 5:3 19:2 20:24 22:4,7 23:2 25:5 26:16,19 27:3,4,5,6,8,9,10 29:12 34:20, 24 36:12 37:5 43:9 47:11,16, 23 49:12 50:21 65:15 70:19 74:4,7 85:17 86:1 107:15 108:5 109:16,17

recourse 8:11 68:16

Recovery 6:5

redacted 96:24 97:2

redemption 103:23,25 104:7

redistricting 45:17

redo 13:11 15:14 16:9

redone 15:20

refer 64:18 67:9 68:1,5

reference 53:16 74:18 75:5

referenced 89:11

referencing 45:9,10

referred 13:10 35:2 64:3

84:18

referring 7:6 46:12 67:2,6,8, 10 68:3 72:22 78:1 80:11

refers 72:13

refuse 73:10

refused 65:13 101:5

refusing 19:16,18 40:6

114:11

regard 25:9 63:9 115:25

regarding 7:7 23:25 27:20 36:2 39:8 42:16,19 43:15 44:15 57:7 67:13 109:6 111:13

rejected 64:14

relate 43:14

related 32:2 41:24 64:7,21

relating 65:9

relation 43:3

relevance 23:15,19 26:15 54:25 79:5 98:16 109:23,25 115:4,5

relevancy 23:14

relevant 21:13 22:2,3,4,8 23:18,20,23,24 24:5 25:7,25 26:3,11 27:20,24 29:3,4,15,19, 20,21 30:2 34:24 35:13,19 60:2,9,24 73:3 88:22 89:3,5,7, 10 106:7 109:20 117:19

rely 66:14 67:13,15

relying 66:18

remains 110:15

remember 15:4 17:14 41:24, 25 44:13 46:8 49:21 77:5,6 111:4,5,23 112:16

remotely 4:6

removal 63:24 64:7,17,21 65:19 66:4 67:13 69:17

remove 61:20 62:1,8 63:12 64:25 65:16 68:18,20 69:16 70:10 80:6,11,13,22 81:14

removed 53:5 62:21 63:12 68:7 70:11

removing 68:2 69:18 71:22 72:10

rep 45:16 73:13

Repealed 97:2

repentance 103:23,24 104:6, 15,18 105:7 106:20 107:10,16, 22 108:1

rephrase 39:2,3 81:2 115:3 118:13,14

replicate 48:25

reporter 4:5 19:14 37:7 85:17 86:11 89:18 108:6,10,13

reporter's 4:24

represent 26:20 59:9 67:20

representation 29:23

representative 45:21 46:4 48:24 62:1

representatives 48:20

representing 51:12

republic 48:23

Republican 7:8,15,16 11:6,9 12:12,22,24 13:5 14:5,9,11 17:18 24:1,13 25:11 56:21 58:1 62:19 64:4,18,19 65:15 68:9 69:2,5 70:19 73:2,8,18, 21,23,24 74:3 79:12 81:1,17, 18,22 82:12 111:9 112:13

Republicans 68:11,18 73:1 74:1 79:19 81:2,15

request 61:3

require 50:7

required 10:22 27:16

requirement 96:17

requiring 27:23

reserve 73:9

resign 36:5 39:20 40:1

resigned 101:5

Resolution 98:24

respect 30:24 65:10 115:25

respond 43:16

responded 57:6

response 91:1

rest 43:6 52:5

result 13:11 16:12

results 97:22

Ridiculous 77:8

Rigged 98:11,12

right 5:7 20:7,9 29:10,13,16 30:5 34:3,4,7 39:23,24 40:11 41:5 46:2 47:18 48:6 49:3 52:17 53:20 54:4 59:11 60:11, 15,17,20 61:1,20,25 62:8 65:3 68:25 70:17 72:8 73:17 74:8, 10 75:18 76:6 79:11 82:10 83:7 84:20,23 87:1 91:14 93:21 94:6 98:7 100:7 101:9 102:25 108:10 118:25

rights 75:17,22

rigid 98:10

RINOS 80:23 81:14

Robert's 16:22,25 47:21 91:11 92:9,12

Robin 82:11,20

Robin's 83:13

role 17:18,21 19:24 24:2 37:14 63:24 64:17 65:9,24 78:14,19 79:3

roles 101:18 112:4

Ron 58:22

room 4:6

roundabout 61:4

royally 92:16

rule 48:8,11,12 51:17 52:5,7, 11,16,21 53:9,14 55:5 90:24

ruled 14:23 15:10,13 96:17

rules 4:24 16:22,25 47:8,9,18, 19,20,21,22 48:5 50:23,25 51:2,5,7,8,10,14 53:24 54:1,10 59:3 69:24 91:4,11,25 92:1,4, 7,8,9,12,17,18,25 120:3

ruling 14:25 27:2 98:16

run 14:1 48:3 68:8 94:13 111:16 119:4

running 111:14 112:6,14

runs 42:17,20,22,25 117:7

S

S-T-U-D-E-B-A-K-E-R 4:19

Sabrina 11:3 43:10,15 44:16 45:3 72:13 74:11 77:12 111:3,

Sabrina's 45:10.12

Sackett 11:9 64:1,3 97:17

sad 99:23

said 20:1 21:17 23:6 28:4,17 31:14 32:20 33:3 35:5,24 44:17 47:3,24 54:9 56:18 58:15,17 63:1,7,14 75:24 77:24 80:21 85:12,23 87:16 88:2 91:25 92:2 105:8 108:3 110:3 113:14,18,23 116:16,18 120:19 121:3

sales 121:22

same 4:6,8 16:14,18 23:10 34:1,17 37:23 42:14 43:2,6 44:11 62:4 71:2 84:3 92:13 94:23 95:6 114:15

saw 36:17 115:18

say 4:25 8:2 9:6 23:1 24:19 30:25 33:12 35:7 38:16 41:3 43:16 44:24 45:6,24 46:10,11, 18 47:6 52:3 53:6 59:13 63:2, 6,9,10 66:9,22,23 72:9,24 75:23 76:1,19 77:24 80:5,9 81:2,14,17 82:14 88:9 93:19, 20 95:15,25 97:18,24,25 98:8, 9 99:19 102:17,19 103:22 106:23 115:2,5,16 116:17

120:4

saying 9:3 11:19 13:22 35:5 52:1 53:17,21 54:7 57:4,5 64:11,16,24 65:5 66:4,5,7,16 70:11 75:19,25 83:13 87:19 92:21 98:8 109:13

Job 24808

Index: required..serve

says 25:14 36:11 44:16 45:3 52:22 53:1 65:19 70:16 74:11 80:20 87:3 94:20 95:5 97:1,17 99:2,3 104:4 113:17,21

scenario 53:7 54:6,7,9 55:16 56:6 58:24

school 9:20,22

schools 94:7

screaming 53:1

season 79:14

seat 20:13

Sebolt 33:14,15 42:19

second 16:16 32:11 56:8 57:14 58:12 76:5,6 80:18 85:18 100:13 102:3

see 12:3 26:15 27:12 33:7 43:12 44:14,21 59:16 64:15 65:2 72:16,19 86:13 93:23 96:23 97:9 109:13

seems 43:14 56:14,16

seen 10:19 88:16,19 90:5,6 98:25 99:21 102:2

sees 91:14

select 51:15 52:11 53:19 95:7 101:19,21

selected 121:1

selection 101:22

self-exemplatory 104:1

senator 73:14

send 70:10

sense 5:5 30:10 66:8 81:16 101:12

sent 43:15 57:7 80:19 81:7

sentence 51:20 53:14 65:3

separate 56:3

serve 65:7

EBAKER, JOEL 07/19/2023 Index: served..staff

served 12:5

session 67:21,22

sessions 16:25

set 47:18 48:8,11 60:19,22 76:25 90:24 94:14 95:11 103:16

setting 48:12

sex 76:19

sexual 74:18 75:6,11,12 76:7, 14,18,20

shall 51:23 53:2 65:7

shape 8:12 116:1 117:20

share 121:20,21

shared 102:4

Shawn 48:2

she 35:5,6,7 42:17 57:13,14 58:7,12,15 59:19,21,24 60:14 63:10 65:19 66:22,23 72:2 74:13 80:20,21 82:14 97:17,23 111:11 113:9 117:11,12 119:15,20,23 120:4

She'll 4:24

she's 64:24 65:5 66:5,7,16 74:23 83:13 112:23 119:12,14, 16,19,22

short 11:21

shortly 91:22

should 8:7 27:15,16 32:11 35:5 47:7,9,15,25 48:3,7,17,24 50:1,20 56:5 57:10 60:11,25 62:15 65:22 69:4 73:23,24 74:1 81:3,9,11,12 84:25 87:19 91:25 92:2,8,10 95:21 104:8,9 114:16,22

shouldn't 73:18 103:5,8

shouting 53:1

show 27:3,17 102:15

sic 104:1

side 17:24 18:1 49:25 62:19 86:19 95:9,10

sides 106:8

significant 23:22

simply 22:1 47:20 53:19 59:17

since 6:13 29:12 57:1

singled 48:8

sit 70:1 116:8

situation 65:23

six 46:8 48:2 77:7

slander 104:20,25 105:12 106:15,23 107:8

Smith 41:7

sniffed 70:7

so 4:23 5:2,3 6:13,21,22 7:6 8:6 9:3 10:18 11:19 13:14 14:14,15,22 15:2,13,16,22 16:9,14 18:18,22 19:16 20:3, 23 21:18 22:12 23:12 24:6 26:14,21,23 27:3,6,11,19 28:2, 19 29:12,18 30:24 31:3,6,9,10, 17,20 32:19,24 33:24 34:4 35:24 36:1,22,24 37:12,15,18, 21 39:23 40:6,11,12 44:1 45:8 48:7 49:2,10 50:9 51:20 52:1 53:7,14 54:5,22 55:8,11,17,22 56:3,5,24 57:21 58:5,10,12,20 61:8,19 62:6,9,16 63:20 64:11, 24 65:5,10,14,21 66:3,9 67:10, 13 68:1,19 69:1,6,8,18,21,25 70:5.21 71:3.11.12.24 73:10. 25 74:17 75:5,14 76:4,10 77:2 79:9,25 81:21 82:6,13 83:14 84:2,19,21 86:6,24 87:18 89:2, 3,16 90:1 92:19,21 94:4,11,17 95:1,6,10,25 96:16,20 97:2,4, 8,24,25 99:2 100:3,17,23,25 101:2,3,8,14 102:11,15,22 104:14,15 105:25 106:6 107:7 108:3,23 110:25 114:2,7 115:4,15,21,22 116:8,18,24 117:6,20 118:11,12 119:6,20 120:22,24

so-called 30:15 31:1 35:21

sole 52:10

solution 55:23 59:22

solve 56:6 68:5,6

some 4:23 6:25 9:2 12:3,11 27:13 34:6 51:12 54:10 55:10 58:3 60:15 96:6,10 101:23 118:3 119:8

somebody 40:8 59:3,6 77:14 81:19 95:21

Job 24808

somebody's 75:12

somehow 75:25

someone 26:11 29:24 53:19 72:12 77:12 79:24 83:2 119:9

someone's 69:2

something 33:5 34:22 53:8 59:2 60:10,11 68:5 71:9 74:17 75:24 76:1,7,20 86:2 87:14 97:3 101:7 102:25 103:4,7 105:8

Sometime 111:4,20,22

sorry 18:12 34:14 98:12 120:6

sort 9:2 73:23

sorts 22:15

sound 5:6 16:6 91:2

sow 44:17,23

sowing 45:1

sp 48:2 51:21 67:3 79:22 82:11 88:12

speak 11:24 19:13

specific 14:2 56:5 79:15,23

specifically 14:3 19:21 21:11 32:8 38:15,16 50:10 57:25 63:5 71:24 78:14,20 82:25 88:8,14 89:1 95:25 98:21

specifics 54:15 speculation 38:2

speech 32:7

spell 4:17 22:22 80:3

spending 21:25

spent 55:25

split 45:18

spoke 92:24

spread 35:8

stack 100:9

staff 17:22,23 23:8 27:14 32:24 35:21 36:1,16 37:12,13, 14,15,21 39:19 73:18,21 78:15,19 84:5,14 87:11,20 105:23 106:2 107:5

Job 24808 Index: stand..testified T 60:15 80:6 101:5 102:6 108:6, 11 120:11 78:9 34:1 35:15 40:9 90:21 112:4 22,24 117:1 62:5,6 67:4 70:25 72:11 76:6, 107:24 109:9 115:11 106:8 teachings 92:22 team 23:9,12,13 24:7 44:16,25 71:16 72:13 53:8.21 technology 23:3 telegram 84:23 85:1 115:17 tell 4:13 8:25 11:22 14:23 74:20 93:5 96:22 98:21 104:22 53:23 63:14,16 71:11

stand 49:8 119:18

standard 30:3 69:1

start 28:10 31:6,14 40:8 43:17 44:2,5 93:10 111:21

started 12:13 111:5

starts 77:9 97:1

state 4:16 11:7 24:9,11,13 39:21,22 44:2 57:6,10,24 58:9 59:1,2,3,4,6,8,25 60:3 62:1 63:25 65:9 71:1 73:13 80:22, 24 81:16,21 82:1,12 88:5,7,8 90:25 92:6 112:6,8,11,15,17 113:1 117:24 118:2,18,19,20, 23,24 119:2,3,8,10,13,14,15, 16,17,24 120:5

stated 27:14 32:15 35:2,5 51:24 55:19 58:8 86:2 90:24 93:2

statement 34:18 35:12 36:2,4 37:23,24 39:8,9 56:19,24 60:25 63:8,22 67:14 74:23 78:2 80:7,25 85:22 86:8 87:16 90:13 98:23

statements 36:21 74:6,9 83:10 106:23

stating 15:20 27:20 47:16

statute 64:1 65:9 94:4 97:7,10 101:23

statutes 94:1

statutory 94:18,21 95:2,11,17 96:3 100:25 101:1

stay 109:7

step 69:17,21

steps 78:9 119:6

still 14:20 65:15 69:3 78:7 97:6,7,10 113:23 117:11

stipulate 4:8

stood 15:13

stop 22:9 37:9 83:24 86:15

strategy 56:17 **street** 94:25

strictly 73:15

strike 70:18

strive 104:10

strongly 64:10

struck 51:21

structure 80:10

Studebaker 4:11,18 22:6,12 26:20 114:1 121:19

Studebaker's 26:23

studied 90:15

study 17:1

stuff 32:3,18 33:12 73:7 74:16 99:24 114:4

stupid 54:12

subjective 50:5 83:12

submitted 51:8

subpoena 12:5,9 103:11

such 64:3,8,22

sue 15:16 33:9 42:15

suing 46:10

support 35:12 36:1,4 73:25 88:20 115:24 116:2,4

supported 56:25

supposed 54:3 87:13 105:19 115:21 120:7

sure 11:10 54:1,20 69:14 72:18 73:11 77:23 80:10 82:13 91:21 92:1 108:8

surprise 99:18 100:3

surprised 121:1,7,10,11,14

swamp 72:24,25 73:14

swing 76:24

swinging 76:24

swings 74:12 76:7,8,11,15,16,

sworn 4:6,9,13

Swygert's 67:3

system 48:22 91:2

systems 50:2

take 21:8 33:6 50:20 59:22

taken 4:21 26:17 57:1 108:14

taking 4:25 5:14,17 65:10

talk 5:2 11:13,18,20 23:17 28:25 29:7 30:11 32:3,4,6,8

talked 31:10,22 40:12 116:10,

talking 35:16 36:15 44:22,23 14 79:24 82:24 91:10 103:2

talks 38:5 82:14 97:8 99:16

Tamara 35:4 36:2 39:8

tap 78:4

Taught 92:20

teach 81:17,19,20,21

teaches 93:22

technically 6:24,25 52:22

25:22 33:1,2 40:7 63:20 70:1

telling 8:8 14:2 18:21 19:19

tenants 34:9

tense 92:2

term 28:18 53:16 82:5

terms 14:22 29:5 31:18 50:10 54:22 87:4 117:15

testified 4:15 35:17 37:16

testify 29:22 38:9 121:8

testifying 30:3

testimony 116:24

text 43:9,14,19 44:17,23 45:10,12 73:16

texting 80:16

than 7:21 9:17 10:9 32:14 52:25 54:10 67:14 75:25 79:15 88:9 91:8 94:13 95:1 113:5

thank 15:12 108:13

that 4:8 5:2,5,6,7,10,15,18,22 6:8,10 7:7,12,21 8:18,19 9:2, 10,11 10:19,22,25 11:24,25 12:5,6,8,11,13 13:7,10 14:25 15:10,20,23 16:6,18 17:13,25 18:15,22 19:3,5 20:2 21:5,13, 17 22:3 23:19 24:19 25:5,11 26:8,20,24 27:4,14,21 28:9,20, 24 29:3,7,8,12,15,16,23 30:18, 23 31:5,11,13,16,17 32:10,12 33:6,12 34:4 35:5,6,7,9,12,16, 18,21 36:4,12,17 37:1,4,15,16, 23,24 39:14,23,24 40:3,6,10, 13 41:3 43:12,15,19 44:8 45:8, 12,15,16,19,21,24 46:4,16 47:1,3,11,14,17,23,24,25 48:1, 5,7,12,22 49:3,5,9,12,14,24 50:1,3,10,12,13,19,21,24 51:1, 10,11,12,16,17,20,21 52:1,3,4, 6,9,10 53:4,5,10,14,15,20,21, 22,25 54:1,3,4,5,11,23,25 55:2,3,4,15,19 56:11,12,16,20, 21,22 57:1,4,22 58:6,25 59:15, 20,22 60:11,14,19,25 61:22 62:7,8,15,19 63:1,2,9,13,14,21 64:7,10,14,16,21 65:5,12,13, 18,22 66:3,7,8,9,18,22 67:6, 17,24 68:1,3,12,13,16,24 69:3, 5,17,18 70:2,5,7,22,23 71:3,9, 16,24 72:7,8,17,20 73:3,9,10, 17,25 74:8,10,12,13 75:5,7 76:17 77:20,25 78:4,5,9,16 79:16,20 80:7,10,11,17,20,25 81:3,8,11,21,24 82:5,6,10,12, 16 83:10,14 84:4,8,9,25 85:8 86:1,2,6,8,22,24 87:4,5,7,11, 15,24 88:9,11 89:7,14,21 90:9, 22 91:3,9 92:5,16,17,18,24 93:2,6,19,20,22,23 94:4,6,8,17 95:1,10,15,19,22,23,25 96:4, 12,16,17,19,22,23,24 97:12,15 98:2,4,18 99:7,11,12,13,16,17, 19,23 100:1,7,18 101:23,24 102:5 103:4,13,17,19,22 104:2,5,6,7,8,9,24 105:9,16 106:6,21,22,23 107:7 108:17, 20 109:1,7 110:17,18 111:2,10 112:5,10,12,19 113:4,16,24 114:5,18,19,20,21,22 115:3,7, 11,12,17,18,22,25 116:3,4,8,9, 11,17,19,22 117:1,6,7,10,17 118:3,4,19 119:3,4,5,9,18,19, 20,22,23,25 120:1,2,3,4,18,19, 23,24 121:1,7,12

that'd 19:14

that's 12:16 14:24 20:10 21:15 23:21 24:4 29:20 31:4 34:22 37:14 38:9 39:10 40:2 45:19 47:12 48:2,6,17 50:5 53:4 54:7,17 55:15,23 56:23 60:1, 15,25 63:16 65:17 66:6,15,19 67:4,5,7 69:7,21 73:15 74:1 76:7,17 77:21 81:25 82:5 83:12 84:10 85:12 87:9,21 88:13 92:5,12 94:7,20 95:12 96:9,12 99:8,12 100:21 104:4 106:5 108:9 113:13,21 117:19 119:10 120:9

their 15:2 30:19 31:1,12 43:18 44:3,10 48:6 49:15 50:19,20 51:15 52:11,18,19,22 53:12,18 55:13,21 56:7,20 60:17 62:10 64:6 66:21 68:11 72:9 80:8,9, 23 85:1 95:25 96:1 119:5

theirs 31:4

them 28:8 31:1,13,24 32:5 47:23 51:2,8,9 62:8 63:12 65:16 68:18 69:11,16,18,25 70:10,19 71:4 80:11 81:20,23 84:16 92:8,11,12 95:22 101:2 116:22

themselves 68:11

then 8:7 11:20 13:16 15:17 16:9,16 21:6,9 25:14 28:15,16, 22 29:5 33:1 36:22 38:23 39:3, 18 45:3 46:9,11 65:5,18 66:10 68:9 69:8,16 71:24 72:5,16,24 74:11,15 76:11 77:21 82:18 85:8 91:25 92:10 94:17,22 98:8,9 100:21 106:14,17 107:22 110:24 119:7

theory 94:4

there 5:22 6:25 8:11 9:18 11:19 13:10,23 15:8 16:12 18:2,12,23 20:13 21:19 46:12, 18 51:17 52:7 53:15,16,22 54:20 63:21 65:3 72:24,25 76:19 77:4 82:10 85:1 91:3,5, 8,25 92:1,4 93:21 99:25 106:22 118:8 119:7 120:18,24

Job 24808

Index: testify..think

there'd 101:3,8

there's 25:11 44:15 47:12 50:3 55:5,7 59:5,22 62:7 68:19 72:16 77:9,10 84:18 96:23 97:3,8 100:25 101:22 113:5 121:11

therefore 24:1 29:25 95:13

thereupon 4:12

these 23:10 50:24 51:2,5,9,14 53:24 54:10 65:15 77:24 82:9, 14 84:6,14,16,17,21 86:18 87:8 99:25 100:9 106:2 111:23

they 7:14 13:22,24,25 14:3,4, 18 15:10,13 18:3 23:9 25:3,15, 16,19,23 30:17,18,20 31:4 33:2 43:11 48:5,19,21 49:14 51:9 52:20,21,25 53:19 54:12 55:6 59:10,11,14 62:23,25 63:7 64:13 65:12,13 67:17,19, 24,25 68:18 80:5,11,12 81:25 92:8 95:22,23 96:1 97:22 100:13 104:3,11 110:24,25 116:21 117:2 118:17

they're 21:16,19 51:13 59:23 60:19,22 64:12,13 65:10,16 71:2 73:14 81:19,24 82:10 89:6 95:24 96:24 120:3

they've 55:6 84:22

thing 9:5 44:11 52:17 62:4 76:8 81:20 93:5

things 5:22 17:25 23:3 34:1,3, 5,7 51:10,11,13 52:13 56:2 62:5 79:11 104:7,10,14 105:1 112:5

think 6:21 7:6 21:10 31:10 36:14 45:13,21 46:16,20,23 47:1,3,9 49:3,11 50:7,18,19 52:6,13 53:25 54:6,12,13,18, 19,20 55:12,15,19,23,24 56:2, 5,9 57:10 59:13 67:2 69:4 73:2,14,21,23 74:12 75:3,5,10, 15,17,20,21,22,23 76:4,6

Job 24808

78:13,24 79:19 81:8 83:12 84:16,25 85:2 93:6,25 94:14 100:19 101:24 102:21 104:1,8, 9 108:20 112:23 113:9 114:16 115:17 117:10 118:15 119:9

thinking 93:10

third 33:19 51:3 69:11

this 4:4 8:11 10:22 11:3 12:4 15:16 18:4 19:1,20 20:12,21, 22 21:13 23:23 24:5 26:19 27:25 29:15 30:14 32:18 33:7. 11 34:19,24 35:17,19 38:2,3,5, 6,12,14 40:17,24 43:9,10,22 44:7,13,21 45:2 47:11 49:16, 18,23 50:7,10,22 52:7,16 53:8 54:16 55:9,18,22,24,25 56:5, 24 57:7,11,25 58:6 60:9 63:20 64:9,22 65:6,17,22,24 67:10 68:6,23 70:6,11 71:5,7 72:11 73:3 74:18 78:12 79:5 80:14, 18,20 81:7,10,16,21 82:1,20, 24 83:23 84:23 85:3 87:7,18 88:13,16,19,22,23 90:5,6,17, 21 91:8,13,18,21 92:20 93:13, 14,16 94:6,8,9 97:6,8,9,11,16 98:10,18,25 99:2,11,19,21,24 100:9,11 102:4,12,15,18,20 103:1,11,15,17 104:18,19 105:10,22 106:4,6 107:4,8 109:8,24 110:12 113:4,12 117:19,20 119:11 120:18

Thomas 15:7,11,22,25 16:3 18:4,7,11,20 19:7,10,18 20:11, 19,21 21:9,22 22:3,7,10 23:25 25:4,9,13,16,20,23 26:2,5,19 27:7,20 32:21 34:21 35:10,13, 23 36:6,8 37:4,10 38:1,6,10 39:10,15 40:15,21 41:3 51:20 53:4 57:17,19 58:14 61:16 66:21,24 67:2,7 76:2,5,14 78:11,16,22 79:1,6 82:19,23 83:3,7,16,19,22,25 84:7,12 85:25 86:9,12,14,17 87:2,13 88:22,25 89:2,5,9,12 90:4,7 91:5,14 93:22 96:4,7,11 99:23 102:6,10,14 104:17,22 105:1, 4,7,10,15,18,21,25 106:8,11, 16,19,25 107:3,7,11 108:2,21 109:8,13,19,24 110:2,7,11 113:4,13,20,22 114:4,19,22,25 115:5 116:15 118:6,10,14 121:2,3,17

Thomas's 29:22

those 20:16 21:6 28:6 29:6 30:13 32:5 68:14 79:15 85:25 91:16 101:8,17 104:1,2,9,14 114:2

though 7:2

thought 54:10 71:13,16 77:2,

three 7:13 13:23 15:16 18:2 19:5 51:1 57:20 94:1 100:14, 24 101:5,17 104:9 112:19

through 31:10 56:6 58:3 59:4 61:19 69:16 71:5,14,16 84:16, 17 91:15 96:22 99:19

throw 82:5

Thursday 108:18

ties 21:20

time 16:16 18:16 21:25 31:24 37:7,8 46:18,20,23 47:1 50:3, 4,9,13 53:23 55:24 64:9,23 67:25 80:10 85:1 92:25 112:2 120:18

times 51:2

titled 10:18 98:22

today 5:11,19,23,24 10:23,25 11:11 12:1,8 18:16 24:22 59:17 116:24 120:19

together 59:2

told 19:21 21:11 34:1 35:15,18 36:10,13 49:9 84:8 94:9 99:8, 11 100:22

tomorrow 27:22,23 29:22 73:6,20 120:21 121:8

Tony 116:10,25

took 87:23

top 13:20 43:14 44:15,21 56:14 90:22 93:21 98:23 99:2, 16 103:22

total 69:24 79:4

totally 21:4 115:11

Toth 42:7

touch 117:20

towards 83:11

township 67:5

training 16:21,24 80:18 81:4, 5,7,9,22,23

trains 81:12

transcript 121:19,22

transcripts 121:25

Trewhella 90:10

trick 66:25 71:7,12

tried 27:12 62:23

true 81:22 98:9 100:18,19,20

truly 103:22

trump 93:19 97:17

trumps 94:4

trust 98:2

truth 4:13,14 11:22,24 100:21 105:6 106:18,19 107:2

truthfully 5:12,19,24

try 8:16,18 27:15 39:2 48:24 54:6 118:12

trying 8:22 20:13 21:12 22:1 38:21 45:5,25 46:5 53:24 55:9 66:25 68:5 70:5 71:7,8 73:4 81:19 89:9 96:5 104:12 110:5, 9.11

TVS 9:14

twice 13:8,9

two 16:25 52:13 56:2 59:5 94:1 97:21 98:22 101:17

two-hour 116:7

two-thirds 47:21 95:16 96:1

type 9:6 10:5 17:4,7 58:3 84:4

types 31:25 84:6

U

Uh-huh 5:4 15:19 43:13 61:7 69:10 70:9 97:19 100:16 101:11 108:19

ultimate 15:8

ultimately 47:18,25 51:25 67:24

unconstitutional 96:18,23

Job 24808 Index: under..what

under 52:11,21 116:24

undermine 45:25 46:5

underneath 32:12 34:9

understand 8:22 10:25 15:16 25:6 26:4,11 29:14 30:14 37:18 70:23 71:12 73:4 81:5 99:7 118:7,10

understanding 27:24 30:1 43:23 64:15 71:8 79:2,4 80:12 91:11 93:4,7 99:6 101:16 104:12 107:9,25 108:1 110:6, 10 120:24

Understood 7:17

unfair 47:4,5

unless 34:23 53:7 76:19 101:22

until 5:1 24:23

up 8:6 9:3,5 14:20 18:23 32:18 33:6,7 48:1 49:8 54:20 58:24 59:12 60:19,22 64:24 65:1 91:17 92:16 98:12,14,15,16 103:16 108:4 117:11

us 8:8 13:11 34:6 46:1 76:11 87:25 96:16 102:14

use 8:18 69:1

used 8:2,12,13 45:14,19 46:10 47:6 98:15

useless 80:23 81:14

utter 60:16

٧

veins 86:18 91:16

vendor 20:8

very 16:6 28:17 41:25 55:16 57:8 58:7 60:2,9 65:3 71:17 84:17 87:23 89:3 91:1

vested 59:21,24 63:10 64:13

via 4:4

videoconferencing 4:5

view 27:19 100:4 105:14 106:2

violating 95:24

voices 85:24

voluntarily 106:15

vote 48:4 49:10 51:2,3 53:18 62:16,19 92:4,11 110:24 118:18,19 119:21,24

voted 48:5,19 53:12 68:23 91:7 101:17

voting 54:3 74:4,7 79:20 117:24 118:2 119:13

W

wait 5:1 14:9 32:21

Wanda 88:12

want 8:2,5,11 21:14 25:15 26:19 29:8 34:19 40:21,22 41:4 46:3 53:19 59:14 67:25 75:2,23 76:5 88:1 93:5 107:9 108:3 110:17,24 112:17,24 113:1,8 114:13

wanted 113:9

wants 99:16 100:4 109:4 110:23

Warren 41:19,22 42:14

was 4:9,12,14 7:1,5,7,11,19 11:19 12:5,14 13:7,10,14,16, 17,25 14:8,15,20 15:1,8,23,25 16:2,3,12 17:2,13 22:14 27:13 28:19 30:15 31:5,16 33:2 36:21 41:23 43:24 44:17,23 45:14,16,21,24 46:4,11,14,15, 16,20,23 47:3,4,14,16,22,23 49:10 50:9,12,13 51:1,7,17,20 52:6,7,17 53:22,23 54:8,9 58:22 59:15 64:1 67:3,10 71:21,25 72:2 78:3,5 80:10,12 81:7 84:9 85:23 86:2,6 87:3 88:10,11 90:10 91:1,10,13,18, 21 92:4,15 93:11 96:5,9,18 98:12,14,15 99:10 100:18 102:5 113:20,22 117:14 119:20 121:4

wasn't 28:24 72:2 92:16 119:23

waste 46:18,20,23 47:1 85:1

way 8:12 18:8 26:25 30:21,22 35:6 42:16,19,22 47:14,15,25 48:5,22 52:16 53:17 56:13 57:12 58:2,4 61:5 70:16 74:12 80:11,12 81:24 82:15 92:22

116:1 117:20 120:4

ways 89:24

we'll 54:20 80:17

we're 8:14 18:12,23,25 19:21 20:8 29:12 30:9,11,13 34:2 36:24 56:13,14 59:17 62:5,6 79:13,14 82:19 91:6 96:13 101:2 103:2 110:3 113:12,18, 24 114:2 121:17

We've 63:12 80:14 87:24 89:11

website 33:7,8 97:6 117:11,12

Wednesday 4:1

weeks 98:22

Weiser 58:22

welcome 30:18

well 8:4,7,21 11:18,21 14:9,13 16:6 18:18 20:1 23:21 28:4,10 29:20 30:9 31:14 33:4,7 36:14 37:1,5 38:23 41:25 43:23 45:24 46:7 54:16,18 55:7,12, 18 56:13 57:3,13,24 58:22 59:16 60:13 61:3,11 62:3,23 63:8 65:3,18 66:16,18 69:6 70:24 71:12 72:2 73:4,15,21 74:15,21,23,25 75:1,8,14 76:13 78:18,24 80:8 81:7 82:18,25 85:2,7 88:2 93:7 95:23,24 96:5,7 99:10,12 100:19 102:19,24 106:14 107:5,24 109:3,5 110:17 112:12 115:6 116:8,17 118:10 119:12

went 47:12

were 7:10,17,20 10:22 13:4, 22,23 14:18 16:14,16 17:16 24:23 27:21 35:7 47:24 48:19, 21 49:13 50:12,23 51:14,18 52:24 53:24 65:12 68:5 75:8 88:12 91:7,25 93:1 94:24 97:16,22 104:2 106:22 114:4 115:21 120:7 121:1,7,10

what 4:25 6:4,25 8:1,15,16,22 9:6,11,13 10:5,7,15 11:11,17 14:2,18,23 15:8 16:12,24 17:21,23 19:24 20:3,4 21:4,16, 18,21 22:13,18 23:1,2,6,9 24:3,11,20 25:9 27:6,9,15,25 28:2,7,9,18,19 29:21 30:5,6,7,

11,21,24,25 31:4,20,25 32:8, 14 33:7,21,24 34:16 35:4,15, 18,24 36:7,10,11 37:22,24 38:11,16 40:7,9,11,12,16 41:22 42:13,25 43:3,19,22,23 44:5,7,9,25 45:2,8,11 46:20 47:9 48:17,25 49:24 51:7,20 53:12,13,23 54:19 55:1,9,12 56:10,24 57:2,5,12 58:2 59:20, 25 61:22 62:11 63:5,7,8,16,25 64:24 65:5,17,19 66:3,6,7 67:3,6,7,9,10,13 68:1,4,8,13, 17,24 69:1 70:16 71:14 72:9, 24 73:5,24,25 74:1,7,9,13,16, 17 76:2,8,15,21 77:2 78:1,5, 12,16,17 79:7,9,12,13,19 80:8, 9,12 81:5,11,17,18,22 83:13 85:22 86:6,22 88:1 89:7,12,24 90:7,9,22 91:13,18,21 92:5 93:4,6,7,11 94:20 95:20 96:12, 21 97:9,23 98:20 99:8,20 100:21 103:24 104:3,4,15,17, 18,22 105:19,25 106:2,19,20 107:3,7,10,11,16,20 108:1,22 109:8,13,21,22 111:10 112:5, 11,12,19 113:6,13,21 114:18, 19,20,21,23 115:15,16 116:3 117:15,25 118:3,20,21

what's 8:10 15:10 20:3 24:25 25:4,14 41:4 43:8 44:1 50:17 69:16 80:1 81:10 83:8 87:21 89:5,9 99:23 100:21

whatever 9:5 18:24 40:23 46:3 52:20 59:14 75:2,23 78:4 90:11 96:25 104:3 110:22,24 114:12

whatsoever 59:24 65:24

when 9:6 10:3 12:13 13:7 17:11,13 21:25 23:1,12,16 24:19 27:10 35:4 50:4,9 53:23 56:25 63:2,5 65:19 66:9 67:21 77:2 81:12,18 85:22,23 86:6 87:14 88:10 90:1 93:10,11 96:23,25 111:3,5,19,21 112:2 113:11 114:7,14 117:3

whenever 108:7

where 6:10 7:24 10:1 17:16 44:21 53:17 55:6,7,8 59:1 63:21 65:2 81:10 91:7 93:20 97:4 99:19 116:8 119:11

Where'd 9:22

Where's 77:25 120:9

whether 28:8 52:20,21 54:8 64:25 69:1,23 71:20 73:14 74:3 92:11 96:21 104:11 109:6 110:15,25

which 13:11 14:12 28:22 29:1 30:7 34:10 48:24 49:17 53:2, 19 58:25 61:5 62:16 64:1 70:24 75:24 79:16 92:15,25 100:11 104:10 113:24

while 13:20 45:4 63:17 98:7 117:13

who 7:12 11:20 13:18 14:8 15:2,5 17:2 18:3 19:5 20:6 22:1 24:3 25:3,15,16,18,23 26:1,4,11 27:25 29:5,24 30:6, 13,16,20 32:16,25 33:2 46:12 56:9 59:7 62:9 67:20 68:8,10 69:8,15 72:12 77:13 78:1,3 79:22 85:6 90:17 97:5 98:2,4 99:9,11 116:11,18,20,21 117:2,23 118:1 120:8

who's 29:21 32:17 54:2 73:23, 24 79:17

whoever 20:5 22:12 47:19

whole 4:14 86:3 93:5 115:14

Wholihan 41:13

why 13:9,24 20:15 22:4,7 23:20,23 25:5,13,20,23 26:2,5 28:10 30:14 33:5 34:24 36:9 37:13 38:3,15 39:19 46:4,7 47:1 48:16 49:8,22 50:7 53:4 54:5 57:6 59:15,17 73:12 75:1, 24 76:11 78:21 82:4,19,23 84:21 87:22 88:22,25 91:6 92:1 97:25 104:2,5 109:21 115:10 117:18 121:10

widely 84:22

wife 40:9

William 42:4

willing 8:2,25 31:17

win 115:18

wins 78:10 79:10

wise 55:12 57:14 58:13,20,22

wish 48:10 116:6

within 21:4 36:14 50:2 57:25

58:1 70:7 80:25 98:22 111:11, 24

Job 24808

Index: what's..wrong

without 53:9 121:23

witness 4:5,6,9,12,18,22 5:4, 9,13,16,20,25 15:12 16:2 18:19,20 19:3 20:22 21:6 27:5, 11,22,23 30:4 36:7,17 38:11, 15,19,22,25 39:3,5 41:2 67:6,9 75:14 76:21,24 78:21 83:1,3,4, 5,8 87:6 89:21 90:6,9 91:13,18 102:8,11 105:13 106:4,10,18 107:15,17 109:17 113:5,15 114:1,21 115:6 116:21 120:13, 16 121:7,16

witness's 21:2 36:15

witnesses 83:6

wonderful 104:7

word 36:23 53:15 96:25 97:2 107:18,21

words 79:21 104:3 106:3

work 8:23 22:24 72:12 79:4 121:4

working 56:6 79:11

works 61:3,8 92:12 103:17,19

worst-case 53:7 54:6,7,9

would 5:8,11,15,18 8:13,18,19 11:25 12:9 14:25 16:8 20:5 29:23 30:2,3,18,25 31:7 32:9 33:5 37:1,22,24 39:19 40:10, 21,22 44:11,14 46:16 47:1 48:10,11 49:10,14 50:7 52:10, 18 53:10,13,22 54:8 55:19,20, 25 56:21 57:13,14,15,24 58:12,13,20 66:8 67:13,15 68:1,5 69:18,22 70:2 71:13 72:5,6,8 75:24 81:2,16,21 82:1,15 88:4,5,8,9 94:8,14 95:11 100:3,6 101:21,24,25 102:11 104:6 106:14 108:8 112:17 113:10 119:20 120:1, 11

wouldn't 54:5 86:21

write 33:5,6 54:1 59:21 92:8 94:13 95:15

written 72:5 76:17 90:10

wrong 21:9 25:11 95:24

wrote 36:18 51:8,9 52:8,9 89:14 92:17 98:2,4 99:11,13, 14 105:2

Υ

yeah 8:18 10:16,24 14:24 24:16 26:15 37:19 46:15 53:9 56:22 62:14,23 66:8 68:12 76:21 77:7,19,21 82:21 90:9 91:24 93:15 100:12 102:10 104:22 106:25 108:11 112:3,9, 12 118:10 119:7 120:4

year 12:15 33:23 79:14 111:4, 20,22 112:2

years 6:9,13 10:7 22:25 62:22 63:13 96:20

yelling 22:9,10 37:9,10 83:24, 25

yep 10:21 11:5 12:7 16:18 72:21,23 101:4,7,13

yes 9:21,25 13:6 14:7 16:23 17:10,20 33:18 34:15 39:11,13 41:21 42:12,24 49:19 50:16 51:6 61:11,14 78:8 87:20 89:22 90:18 93:17 97:10 112:14 119:2,18 120:23

yesterday 47:12 73:7

yet 20:13 36:5,7,23 43:16 44:2 71:5 82:17 115:18

you'd 50:6 119:23 120:19

vou're 7:6 8:25 9:3 10:25 11:17,19 13:22 18:8,11,18,21, 22 19:11,16 20:13,21,22 21:10,12,15,18,24 29:5 30:5,6 33:12 34:22 35:16 36:9 38:19 40:11 43:21 52:13 53:14,15,17 54:15,17,19 55:8,9,10,16 56:2 57:5,21 58:5,15,16,24 60:24 61:16 63:11,14 66:18 69:18 71:5,9 72:11,22 73:10,17,19 74:23 75:14,17,25 76:6,10,14, 17,19,21 77:4 78:1 79:23,24 83:12 85:10,12 86:13 87:1,19 88:2 89:13,19 92:21 99:25 100:24 101:18 104:24 105:19 106:12 107:24 108:7.17 109:9. 20 110:2,11 113:15 115:13,15, 17,22 117:20 118:15,24 119:1, 2,11 121:4

you've 6:22 18:24 28:4 29:10 30:12,13 37:16 49:16 57:1,20 86:15,18,24 91:16 99:24 110:20 115:14 119:7 120:18

Job 24808

Index: wrote..Zinner

Toll Free: 844.730.4066

your 4:16,17,20 5:8,11,15,18, 23 6:4,6 8:1,14,16,17,22 9:3, 10,16 10:9 11:11,19 17:4,21, 23 18:24 19:24 20:3,12,23 21:25 22:13 23:17,24 24:2,3, 20,25 28:24 29:20 30:1 31:16 36:17,24 37:1,14 38:22,23 40:23 43:9 44:1 49:22 50:15, 17 53:14 56:8.18.19.23.25 59:15 66:5 68:4,17 69:7,16 71:8,11,18 73:5 75:19 80:6,7, 12 81:5,10 83:1,5,6,8 84:20 85:22 86:6,19 87:21 89:6 91:3 93:4,7 94:4,23 100:13 103:4,6 104:12,20 105:12 106:15,23 107:14,25 109:15,16 115:8,16 116:24 118:20 120:19 121:21

yourself 41:3

Ζ

zero 9:17 24:17 28:15 67:23

Zinner 42:1